Nove	mber 15			Verbatim Court Reporters
		Page 1		Page 3
1	CLE		1	Natuashish and Sheshatshiu. So, that's a
2	Q.	This Commission of Inquiry is now open.	2	little bit about the work of the Commission.
3		Commissioner James Igloliorte presiding as	3	How we intend to proceed today is we'll
4		commissioner. Please be seated.	4	be hearing from, firstly from our mental
5	COM	MISSIONER:	5	health, Louise Bradley; next from Harry
6	Q.	Thank you very much, Madame Clerk, and	6	Blackmore, president of Newfoundland and
7	-	welcome back everybody to this final	7	Labrador Search and Rescue Association; then
8		session, we're referring to as response to	8	from Tom Williams, counsel to the Winters
9		the draft recommendations. Very happy to	9	family; then from Allison Philpott who is
10		see that everybody else has been able to	10	counsel to the concerned citizens group,
11		make it. I understand that Mr. O'Keefe may	11	Concerned Citizens for Search and Rescue I
12		or may not be here shortly, but in any	12	believe; then from Peter Ralph for the
13		event, his submission is being covered off.	13	Government of Newfoundland and Labrador and
14		Thank you.	14	then from Mark Freeman and Corrine Bedford
15		Yes, so just one word of housekeeping	15	on behalf of the Government of Canada and
16		and that is as before, we will be keeping	16	its agencies represented here.
17		our masks on in this facility, but removing	17	So, if there's no other further
18		them for the purpose of being able to hear	18	housekeeping matters, perhaps Ms. Bradley,
10		properly on the PA system. I think we're	10	you can begin with your comments on the
20		about ready to begin.	20	draft recommendations.
20			20 21	MS. BRADLEY:
		I'll just make one little statement		
22		from our last session. I hereby refute any	22	Q. Thank you very much. I want to – just some
23		optimistic statements I made of being on	23	very brief comments and start off by saying
24		time or on budget completely. Hopefully	24	again congratulations to the Inquiry for
25		that'll work itself out, but yeah, we're	25	including mental health in such a robust
		Page 2		Page 4
		very close thanks to all of your diligent	1	way. I think that we heard countless times
2		work. I'll hand it over now to Mr. Budden.	2	when evidence was being given of the mental
3		BUDDEN:	3	health impacts from the trauma endured
4	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Just for the	4	during searches by both the searchers and
5		benefit of everybody here, since the	5	the families and I think casting a light on
6		Commission last met at the end of the round	6	that is extremely important and I think
7		tables, we have been to Labrador.	7	that, you know, the next step then this
8		Specifically, our intention was to fly to	8	is one step towards making the mental health
9		Mary's Harbour to hear from the NunatuKavut	9	component as important as the equipment and
10		Community Council and unfortunately that	10	other health components in searches.
11		trip we were unable to make because of	11	So, I'm $-$ I think that the
12		weather issues that prevented our helicopter	12	recommendations following all of those
13		flying. However, we were able to adapt with	13	evidence and discussions that I had with
14		great cooperation of President Russell and	14	individuals is reflective of what needs to
15		his team and we had a very effective meeting	15	go forward. So, my sincere hope is that
16		by Zoom and heard from the Community Council	16	those recommendations pertaining to mental
17		and also from MP Yvonne Jones.	17	health supports and training will be heeded
18		The following day, we went to Nain.	18	and that there will be some action taken to
19		That trip was successful and we heard from	19	implementing them.
20		President Johannes Lampe of the NG and also	20	So, thank you very much for including
21		from Sid Pain of the Nain Ground Search and	21	mental health and including myself. It has
22		Rescue Team.	22	been a real pleasure, to say nothing of a
23		We have further consultations planned	23	huge learning curve, but it's wonderful.
24		for later this week with representations of	24	So, thank you very much.
		the Innu Nation and GSAR team members from	25	MR. BUDDEN:
25		ule IIIIu Nation and OSAK team members nom	4.7	

#### 5

	III0el 15, 2021		
1	Page 5	1	Page 7
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Thank you, Ms. Bradley. I overlooked one	1	Q. Correct.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	housekeeping matter. We have a number of	2	EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-206
	exhibits to enter, so I apologize, Harry,	3	MR. BUDDEN:
4	perhaps if you could let me deal with this	4	Q. P-207 is the submission from Leonardo
5	and then we'll turn to you. So, we do have	5	Helicopters that we received late this past
$\begin{bmatrix} 6\\7 \end{bmatrix}$	some exhibits to enter that either came in	6	week and that would be Exhibit P-207.
7	at the tail end of our final round of	/	CLERK:
8	roundtable discussions too late for us to	8	Q. Correct.
9	enter at that hearing or have come in in the	9 10	EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-207 MR. BUDDEN:
10	subsequent consultations.	10	
11 12	So, Exhibit 202 will be the CASARA	11 12	Q. 208 is the submission from Counsel Williams on behalf of the Winters family that we
	PowerPoint presentation that we received at our final day, I believe, or second final	12	-
13	day of the roundtable consultations. That	13 14	received yesterday, I believe, or Saturday,
14 15	would be 202, Madame Clerk, I believe.	14	and again that's 208. CLERK:
16	CLERK:	16 17	Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT 208
17	Q. Yes. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT 202	17	MR. BUDDEN:
18	MR. BUDDEN:		
19		19 20	Q. That's our – no, yes, there's one more
20	Q. The next exhibit is the CASARA video, also	20	exhibit. Exhibit 209 received just this
21 22	presented at that time, which would be Exhibit P-203.	21 22	morning is the submission from the
$\begin{bmatrix} 22\\23 \end{bmatrix}$	CLERK:	22	Government of Canada and its agencies in
23		23 24	response to the draft recommendations. That would be 209.
24	Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-203	24 25	CLERK:
23		23	
1	Page 6 MR. BUDDEN:	1	Page 8 Q. That's correct.
1 $2$	Q. The next one was a submission from the	2	EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT 209
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	NunatuKavut Community Council that we	3	MR. BUDDEN:
4	received – was presented to us this past	4	Q. We anticipate several more submissions.
5	week, this past Tuesday I believe, and – or	5	Yvonne Jones MP spoke to the Commission also
6	Monday rather, and that would be Exhibit –	6	last Monday by Zoom from Mary's Harbour. I
	the submission is Exhibit P-204.	7	understand from her office that her written
8	CLERK:	8	presentation will be received by us early
9	Q. Correct.	9	this week and I understand as well that the
10	EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-204	10	Concerned Citizens for Search and Rescue
11	MR. BUDDEN:	11	will be doing a write-up of their
12	Q. P-205 would be a document entitled	12	submissions that we will hear in oral form
12	Testimonials that was a companion document	12	today and that we will receive in writing,
13	i ostinionais that was a companion accument		
1 17		14	so I'm advised by Ms Philpott in the days
	to the submission also presented to us by	14 15	so I'm advised by Ms. Philpott, in the days ahead
15	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P-	15	ahead.
15 16	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205.	15 16	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT:
15 16 17	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK:	15 16 17	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct.
15 16 17 18	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct.	15 16 17 18	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN:
15 16 17 18 19	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205	15 16 17 18 19	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've
15 16 17 18 19 20	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205 MR. BUDDEN:	15 16 17 18 19 20	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've missed something, Madame Clerk?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205 MR. BUDDEN: Q. P-206 is a document entitled, I believe,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've missed something, Madame Clerk? CLERK:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205 MR. BUDDEN: Q. P-206 is a document entitled, I believe, Speaking – Johannes Lampe Speaking Notes,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've missed something, Madame Clerk? CLERK: Q. No.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205 MR. BUDDEN: Q. P-206 is a document entitled, I believe, Speaking – Johannes Lampe Speaking Notes, which would – was presented to us in Nain on	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've missed something, Madame Clerk? CLERK: Q. No. MR. BUDDEN:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the submission also presented to us by the NCC on that occasion. That would be P- 205. CLERK: Q. Correct. EXHIBIT ENTERED AND MARKED EXHIBIT P-205 MR. BUDDEN: Q. P-206 is a document entitled, I believe, Speaking – Johannes Lampe Speaking Notes,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ahead. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. Correct. MR. BUDDEN: Q. So, that is it for – I believe, unless I've missed something, Madame Clerk? CLERK: Q. No.

	mber 15, 2021		Verbatim Court Reporters
	Page 9		Page 11
1	Mr. Blackmore, you can give us your response	1	And other teams have been also out that
2	to the draft recommendations.	2	made some comments on this from Red Indian
3	I should note as well, these very much	3	Lake, which you all heard out in Grand
4	are draft recommendations. The Commissioner	4	Falls. They've been out three times in the
5	obviously will be considering what he hears	5	last week and a half. So, it's been busy.
6	here today in the shaping of those	6	Some of the conditions of this coastal
7	recommendations into their final form. Go	7	searches we're not 100 percent sure
8	ahead, Mr. Blackmore, when you're ready.	8	exactly the way this reads, what's the
9	MR. BLACKMORE:	9	intent, but we do have a good working
10	Q. Okay, thank you. Since the draft	10	relationship with Coast Guard when we do
11	recommendations come out I know there are	11	call them. So, that one there, it's just
12	a few more exhibits that should be coming	12	keep on going, see what we can do.
13	in. I think they were sent to the	13	The 911 part, the Association feels
14	gsarinquiry.ca email this past weekend. So,	14	that we shouldn't be dispatched by 911.
15	you should be getting a couple of more of	15	That was only one team's organization. It
16	those.	16	still should go through the police or the
17	But, after the draft recommendations	17	ambulance department, if they're being
18	came out, we pretty well contacted most of	18	called. We don't self-task, so therefore
19	our teams that are out there, especially the	19	911 can't task us. They have to go through
20	ones that were involved somewhere across the	20	one of the agencies or none of our
21	Province and Labrador, to see what they	21	insurances will kick in place or anything
22	thought of it all. Overall, they thought	22	else. So, that's a bit of frustration for
23	they were, in their words, all right.	23	them, but we are working on it.
24	And we went down through it all, piece	24	Since then, there has been some
25	by piece. We are glad to see some of the	25	meetings on the go at the we'll call it
	Page 10		Page 12
1	recommendations that are in there. Some of	1	the higher level of the Ministers to do with
2	them I think, if they ever come to fruition	2	the whole thing of dispatching GSAR for
3	(phonetic), it'll be a miracle. If we can	3	people that are hurt in the woods. There
4	get an MOU signed with everybody that's on		
1 '	get un mo o signed while every obly mut b on	4	was another one this weekend in Torngat
5	this sheet, good luck, because we've been	5	was another one this weekend in Torngat Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on
	e e		-
5	this sheet, good luck, because we've been	5	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on
5 6	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the	5 6	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this
5 6 7 8 9	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government	5 6 7 8 9	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach.
5 6 7 8 9 10	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in	5 6 7 8 9 10	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved, last night the Cormorant was dispatched and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one will go ahead and that's this month coming.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved, last night the Cormorant was dispatched and Aurora aircraft was dispatched. The Coast	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one will go ahead and that's this month coming. The insurance is a big part of what we're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved, last night the Cormorant was dispatched and Aurora aircraft was dispatched. The Coast Guard mothership was dispatched for this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one will go ahead and that's this month coming. The insurance is a big part of what we're doing and it takes care of a lot of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved, last night the Cormorant was dispatched and Aurora aircraft was dispatched. The Coast Guard mothership was dispatched for this person or people. They're hard to find	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one will go ahead and that's this month coming. The insurance is a big part of what we're doing and it takes care of a lot of different things. We're having things like
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this sheet, good luck, because we've been trying it for years. But, I would like to thank the Commission, if nothing else, for making the general public and, I think, the Government partners aware of how GSAR works in Newfoundland and Labrador and since the Inquiry, it's been very busy. We actually have a team out again today in Exploits. It's two young fellows went out in a 14-foot aluminum boat with a two horsepower motor in saltwater and right now, we were tasked – once we seen what we had, we actually called in for Coast Guard and because of certain people being involved, last night the Cormorant was dispatched and Aurora aircraft was dispatched. The Coast Guard mothership was dispatched for this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mountains. The Cormorant ended up going on the end of it, but that happened this weekend and it was done through an in-reach. That's how they were actually spotted or notified. The funding part, we're happy with that naturally, because if you go from nothing to what's written in this, we got nowhere to go but up and thank you for the year's work I got ahead of me if that comes true. The insurance part, hopefully this will come and be straightened out. We're trying to get a meeting with Government. We had one planned for three weeks ago that was cancelled again, so we're hoping this one will go ahead and that's this month coming. The insurance is a big part of what we're doing and it takes care of a lot of

	D 10		D 15
	Page 13	1	Page 15
	from the Federal Government in Ottawa under	1	single team takes a certain amount of energy
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Public Safety Canada. Now that teams are	2	out there to get done. So, we look at it
3	being brought from all over the country to	3	that if a team is fairly close, one team can
	help with other Provinces and Territories,	4	cover off other areas. They can have two or
5	so insurance is a big issue. We've had	5	three people, because people can join from
6	meetings on it Friday past and we also had	6	different spots and go from there.
7	them on Saturday, but it is moving forward	7	Civil air search and rescue, we'll be
8	hopefully.	8	working with those people the same as we
9	Recruitment. Recruitment, we looked at	9	always work with them. If we can use them,
10	here and to our part, we don't see anything	10	we'll use them. That's not a problem.
11	wrong with the recruitment. We want to make	11	Technology, that's pretty well
12	sure that anybody who wants to join can join	12	straightforward. As much as we can do with
13	and as I said to Mr. Budden earlier, we	13	technology, we will. In reaches, SAT
14	don't see holding any particular recruitment	14	phones, all that type stuff that we've been
15	for anybody in particular because we don't	15	using, that's to continue on and hopefully
16	have discrimination against anybody. If	16	if the Inquiry's funding process goes
17	they want to join, join. And so our members	17	through, we can help burden some of the
18	weren't 100 percent behind that one, but	18	teams with that in paying for their in
19	it's open to whoever does want to come into	19	reaches and everything else that they're
20	the system and they'll be trained the same	20	using.
21	as everybody else. And I know there is a	21	We had a great discussion on the audit
22	submission coming in out of Natuashish	22	part of search and rescue and doing an
23	(phonetic). It should have came to you this	23	external audit, an assessment and training
24	weekend. It was sent on Friday.	24	on the core competency. We follow the
25	Training. We have no problems with the	25	national core competencies of Canada, which
			-
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Page 14 training part of it going through, where	1	Page 16 are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	training part of it going through, where	1 2	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer
2	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with	2	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward.
2 3	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a	2 3	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So,
2 3 4	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for	2 3 4	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an
2 3 4 5	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this	2 3 4 5	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't
2 3 4 5 6	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are	2 3 4 5 6	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open
2 3 4 5 6 7	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't put a team in every single community. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on all the tracking systems right across the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't put a team in every single community. I know we'd like to, but it just is impossible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on all the tracking systems right across the country for GSAR. They sent me an invite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't put a team in every single community. I know we'd like to, but it just is impossible to keep – to do and it is impossible to keep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on all the tracking systems right across the country for GSAR. They sent me an invite yesterday or Friday, matter of fact, to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't put a team in every single community. I know we'd like to, but it just is impossible to keep – to do and it is impossible to keep straightened out and the funding, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on all the tracking systems right across the country for GSAR. They sent me an invite yesterday or Friday, matter of fact, to do a meeting in Vancouver in December. So, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	training part of it going through, where it's all feasible to get done and, but with training going into the communities, it's a great idea. We've talked about it for years, but hopefully now if some of this funds come through, that's what we are planning. But it all will depend on the funding part of it itself. Otherwise, we have to bring one person out and try to train them to go back and that's all we can do. The areas of coverage was discussed among the teams. We know there is a couple of places we need to put a few more teams, but it takes time, energy and money to get it done. We have three identified in Labrador that we've been working on. One is pretty well a go. But, once that gets done – one thing you have to remember, you can't put a team in every single community. I know we'd like to, but it just is impossible to keep – to do and it is impossible to keep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are laid out by Search and Rescue Volunteer Association of Canada, straightforward. Everybody here have a copy of those. So, that's what we follow. As to bringing an external person in to do an audit, I don't know who you would bring in, but we're open to any kind of audit, whether it's financial or training wise. Doesn't matter to us. We got it all done. We're doing it all the time to ourselves and one of the big things is with our provincial training committee, we have those people who are doing audits on this all the time to make sure that everything is done and tracked under the technology system under EMRIC (phonetic) is the one we use. And at the present time, we're involved with a group out of Saskatchewan called CanOps. They're actually doing a study on all the tracking systems right across the country for GSAR. They sent me an invite yesterday or Friday, matter of fact, to do a

Novembe	r 15, 2021		Verbatim Court Reporter
	Page 17		Page 19
1	them by Zoom. But it's been looked at as	1	We all work together in a cohesive way,
2	between the EMRIC (phonetic), D4H.	2	I suppose. It's just that the bureaucracy
3	Saskatchewan has a separate one. CanOps is	3	part of it a little bit gets everybody
4	trying to put a federal one in. So, there's	4	frustrated and that happens. But, we have
5	three or four on the move along with	5	been talking to different people. We met
6	Canadian Coast Guard.	6	with the new wing commander from Nine Wing
7	Mental health, we've already started	7	Gander last Friday.
8	some discussions with different people.	8	And we are putting in a NIF grant,
9	Some people are from the Health Sciences	9	which we told you about before. Last year
10	that we were dealing with and I would be	10	we got turned down to do highline rescue
11	very surprised if Ms. Bradley doesn't hear	11	with the smaller helicopters because it's
12	from some people by Christmas or just after.	12	all right to say someone is hurt in the
13	We're just waiting to see where all this	13	woods and stuff like this, but if we got to
14	unfolds first. But, that's been a big issue	14	get them out, a lot of times we got to wait
15	with us, so we're going to go ahead with	15	three-four hours for a helicopter and so,
16	that one anyway, one way or another, and see	16	she actually gave us a letter of support
17	where it all goes.	17	from DND supporting the highline system that
18	The rest of it that we seen, the	18	we're talking about, which is called Boost,
19	interaction with families, to us that's a	19	so that if we do have a person that's
20	police thing. We do do some interaction	20	injured, we can get them out of the woods
21	with them, but mainly that is a police thing	21	fairly quick. It's great when you can get
22	that we see overall.	22	them out with the Cormorant, but if you got
23	But we're happy with the Commission on	23	to wait four to five hours, which we've done
24	what we've seen and heard so far. We are	24	numerous times, that's not on for us.
25	looking forward to the final draft that	25	Nighttime makes a bit of difference, but if
20	Page 18	20	Page 20
1	would come out the end of the month	1	we got to, we can stay overnight and lift
2	hopefully and go from there.	2	them out in the morning, if we got to, or
3	We've been talking to the different	3	get the bigger gear in.
4	teams since this came out. Barry Anderson,	4	But we still find the smaller
5	who you all met, he did an interview with	5	helicopters better than the big stuff. The
6	CBC in Labrador. They did call and we put	6	bigger helicopters are great for big, big
° 7	them straight back to Barry because it was a	7	searches, but in small area when they come
8	Labrador. Since then, we had an AGM held in	8	in, it does make things a bit difficult
9	St. John's. We brought most of – asked all	9	trying to get everything done because they
10	the teams to come. We got most of them.	10	blow the tops off the trees on you just
11	And Barry is now the director for search and	10	about. So, but we're working altogether and
12	rescue on the north coast of Labrador. We	11	hopefully if we get a NIF grant this year,
12	split Labrador into two regions. One takes	12	we'll be able to put this in park. If not,
13	in all the coast, which would be Barry, and	13	we'll be going back to Government again. We
14	the other one will take in the three teams	14	
15 16		13 16	went back to them last June to try to get
10	from Goose Bay to Lab West and Churchill	10	this put in through the Provincial
	Falls. So, they're all moving forward with		Government and we haven't heard anything or it since. So, hopefully all that part will
18	our provincial training team, how we're	18	it since. So, hopefully all that part will
19 20	trying to do it, and go from there.	19 20	work too, and they are supporting our NIF
20	Overall, it's not a big – much more we	20	program to try to get it through the Federal
21	got to say about it. We think this has to	21	funds, but we don't know if that'll come or
22 23	be tightened up a little bit because of the	22	not.
14	MOU part and if the Commissioner can get the	23	So, overall, that's my take on the
	-		•
23 24 25	MOU part to work. I know it's only a recommendation. Kudos to him.	24 25	recommendations. Like I said, we are glad to see them and I just hope a lot of it can

	Page 21		Page 23
1	be put into the system. I do understand	1	called. And although it wasn't solely
2	it's only a recommendation and you have no	2	focused on Burton's loss, it would serve a
3	power to be able to do it. But, I guess	3	greater good to try and ensure that this
4	then it's up to us to go after the	4	type incident may never happen again and no
5	Department of Justice, who we answer to, to	5	other family would have to endure the pain
6	make sure as much of this is put in place as	6	and suffering that the Winters family have
7	possible. Thank you.	7	gone through.
8	MR. BUDDEN:	8	Mr. Commissioner, the Winters family,
9	Q. Thank you very much, Mr. Blackmore. Mr.	9	and on their behalf, we've taken this
10	Ralph, would you like to make your – no, I'm	10	process very serious from the very outset,
11	sorry, I overlooked Mr. Williams.	10	both as it relates to the loss of their son
11	WILLIAMS, Q.C.:	11	and their grandson and as well as to see
12	-	12	-
	Q. Thank you, Mr. Budden. Good morning,		improvements to the system as a whole.
14	everybody. Nice to see everybody back	14	They've stated publicly on a number of
15	again. Hopefully we'll get things wound up	15	occasions that while they know they can't
16	today and the matter will be in the	16	bring Burton back, they just hope that the
17	Commissioner's hands.	17	system can be improved so nobody else has to
18	First of all, I'd like to take the	18	go through it again.
19	opportunity to thank both the Commissioner	19	As everyone knows, the family has been
20	and his staff, as well as all parties in the	20	engaged, first working with myself over the
21	room with respect to their sincere	21	last four years in particular, as well as
22	expressions and gestures that were shown to	22	meeting with the Commissioner and his staff
23	the Winters family throughout this entire	23	on a number of occasions and fully
24	process. I've had an opportunity since	24	participating in the Inquiry proceedings at
25	obviously this matter has been concluded	25	every opportunity they had, and again are
	Page 22	4	Page 24
	from the formal hearings to speak with the	1	grateful for the opportunities that the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Winters family and they are all very	2	Province, as well as the Commissioner,
3	grateful for the respect that they were	3	provided them to participate both in their
4	shown, as well as they truly felt like they	4	home community of Makkovik, as well as
5	were included in this process and they were	5	having the opportunity to attend in St.
6	listened to, and I think that's something	6	John's. They attended every day that they
7	that's very important.	7	could be here.
8	I'll keep my remarks relatively brief,	8	Mr. Commissioner, as you're aware,
9	given that I have submitted a very detailed	9	we've submitted a very detailed submission
10	written brief both for the Commissioner's	10	on behalf of the family and in discussing
11	benefit and as well for the benefit of the	11	this matter with them, they were of the
12	family.	12	opinion that their views and concerns should
13	As we all know, this matter, I guess,	13	be captured in writing for the record and
14	came to fruition or started back in January	14	given their commitment to the process, they
15	2012 when the Winters family, together with	15	wanted a document that captured their issues
16	the community in Labrador at large, as well	16	and concerns into the future.
17	as the Province, and even across the	17	As recently as this weekend, Natalie
18	country, fought for an inquiry into the loss	18	Jacque emailed me and stated "Tom, thank you
19	of young Burton Winters. I think everybody	19	for putting this together. It's difficult
20	would agree that this inquiry probably would	20	to read through, but it will be a keepsake
21	not have happened but for their relentless	21	as a timeline throughout this whole ordeal.
22	dedication for nearly ten years to make it	22	It means a lot." And while we all have
23	happen.	23	electronic systems, I think being able to
24 25	On January 14th, 2021, that all came to fruition when the inquiry was officially	24 25	provide them with a paper document that they will carry into the future means something.

Table 25         The set of the se	November I			Verbatim Court Reporters
2       intending to go through the entire       2       Government are here through their own         3       submission that we're made, but I think       3       cooperation and we are grateful ad         4       through this process, we are grateful that       4       acknowledge that and we know also appreciate         5       the Commissioner saw fit to take time in       5       that the Inquiry does not have the authority         6       Makkovik, as wel as his counsel, to       7       Department of National Defence. But I think         9       Burton. This is something that was very       9       in the manner in which the Commissioner has         10       important to the family and we stated it at       10       done in his drafi recommendations, it does         11       the very ouset when the truns of reference       10       and Federal counterparts of what they could         13       on this at this aspect and I know there were       10       the review of portions of the exements in the system and me such improvement may be         14       other cases that were included.       14       agree, while none of his, can be mandated,         15       The not going to go through the       15       manuals for search and rescue by the Federal         16       detailed analysis of the events and the twe spet on it was       8       manuals for search and rescue by the Feder		Page 25		Page 27
3       submission that we've made, but 1 think       3       cooperation and we are grateful and         4       through this process, we are grateful that       4       acknowledge that and we know also appreciate that the Inquiry does not have the authority         6       Makkovik, as well as his counsel, to       6       10       that the Inquiry does not have the authority         7       recognize some of the events and to review       7       Department 0 National Defence. But 1 think         8       the situations that happened in relation to       8       by having some of these means highlighted         10       important to the family and we stated it at       10       done in his draft recommendations, it does         11       the very outset when the terms of reference       11       allow for consideration by both Provincial         12       were being stated that we would like to look       12       and Federal counterparts of what they could         13       on this at this aspect and 1 know there were       13       do to improve the system. I think we'll all         14       other cases that were included.       14       agree, while nome of this, porton at that study         14       other cases that we in and is key out state at the system and one such improvement may be       20         15       Thm not going to go through the       15       everybody wants to				
4       through this process, we are grateful that       4       acknowledge that and we know also appreciate that the lequiry does not have the authority to the the terms of the events and to review         5       Makkovik, as well as his counsel, to       7         6       Makkovik, as well as his counsel, to       7         7       recognize some of the events and to review       7         9       Burton. This is something that was very       9         10       important to the family and we stated it at       10         11       the very outset when the terms of reference       11         12       were being stated that we would like to look       12         13       on this at this aspect and I know there were       13         14       other cases that were included.       14         15       The not going to go through the       15         16       detailed analysis of the events back in       16         18       and the time that we spent on it was       18         20       number of things that we can take forward       20         18       and ke out of this, both for the Winters       21         21       family, as well as ground scarch and rescue       22         22       family, as well as absolutely phenomenal how       24				e
5       the Commissioner saw fit to take time in cognize some of the events and to review for the situations that happened in relation to the situations that happened in relation to the situations that happened in relation to the family and we stated it at the manner in signification to the family and we stated it at the manner in which the Commissioner has allow for consideration by both Provincial and Federal counterparts of what they could the to look in they could they they to ustate when the terms of reference       11       the were volution they could like to look in they could do to improve the system. I think we'll all and Federal counterparts of what they could do to improve the system. I think we'll all and federal counterparts of the operational and federal counterparts of the operational in the commission of the cycens back in the dataled analysis of the events back in the the that that study and the time that we can take forward 20       and the time that we can take forward 20         19       worthwhile in that it brought to light a and take out of this, both for the Winters 21       manuals for search and rescue 22         21       and take out of this, both for the Winters 21       manuals for search and rescue 23       as a whole.         25       the commitment and the dedication of the 25       There also appears to be some serious issues that we talked about 24         26       They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 24       There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is going to dwell on all of them, but as to sove indige systems. To all we were and police resources, GSAR 13       There also appears to be a concert, an unmber of cast and madoud, and this is a frat tore all of molinging to dwen				
6       Makkovik, as well as his counsel, to       6       to make binding recommendations on         7       recognize some of the events and to review       7         8       the situations that happened in relation to       8         9       Burton. This is something that was very       9         10       important to the family and we stated it at       10         12       were being stated that we would like to look       11         13       on this at this aspect and I know there were       13         14       other cases that were included.       14         15       Trun to going to go through the       15         16       detailed analysis of the events back in       16         17       January of 2012 but I think that that study       17         18       and the time that we spent on it was       18         20       number of things that we can take forward       20         21       and take out of this, both for the Winters       21         3       as whole.       22         21       family, as well as ground search and rescue by and rescue by the Federal         22       family, as well as mould search and rescue by and rescue by the Federal         23       as whole.       23         24 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1			
7recognize some of the events and to review the situations that happened in relation to 97Department of National Defence. But I think by having some of these manners highlighted in the manner in which the Commissioner has done in his draft recommendations, it does allow for consideration by both Provincial and Federal counterparts of what they could do to improve the system. I think we'l 1all agree, while none of this can be mandated, 12 14 other cases that were included.Department of National by having some of these manners highlighted in the manner in which the Commissioner has and Federal counterparts of what they could do to improve the system. I think we'l 1all agree, while none of this can be madated, everybody wants to see some improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Covernment so that some of the, I think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we seado/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that we talked about kind of highlighted some as a whole.7Page 26Page 261GSAR crews and the community volunteers. They suid it was abolutely phenomenal how they sid it was abolutely phenomenal how the cord math	1			
8         the situations that happened in relation to Burton. This is something that was very 0         8         by having some of these manners highlighted in the manner in which the Commissioner thas done in his draft recommendations, it dees allow for consideration by both Provincial and Federal counterparts of what they could do to improve the system. I think we'll all agree, while once of this can be mandated, everybody wants to see some improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal 20           11         The not going to go through the detailed analysis of the events back in 16         16         16           17         January of 2012 but I think that that study 20         18         10           18         and the time that we spent on it was 19         18         19           20         number of things that we can take forward 21         18         19           21         and take out of this, both for the Winters 23         23         18           24         First of all, the family wanted to note 25         14         16         16           24         First of all, the family wanted to note 24         16         16         16         16           25         the commitment and the dedication of the 25         24         17         17         18           3         the commitment and the dedication of the 25         26         18         10         10				e
9Burton. This is something that was very important to the family and we stated it at the very outset when the terms of reference 11 and the very outset when the terms of reference 12 and the very outset when the terms of reference 13 and the term of this abet and 1 know there were 14 other cases that were included.16 14 arcs, while none of this can be mandated, arcs, while none of the system. I think we'll all arcs, while none of the system. I think we'll all arcs, while none of the system. Then way be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Governments to that some of the. I think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we can ad take out of this, both for the Winters 21 and take out of this, both for the Winters 22 firmly, as well as ground search and rescue 23 as a whole.20 24 saw in terms of adfining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seadoo/skido example that we talked about sisues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, secuse of its gographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems. Rege 28 For search and rescue in general. Labrador, we're not going to dwell on all of them, but secuses of its gographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems. Rege 28 we're not going to dwell on all of them, but secuses of its gographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems. Rege 28 we're not going to dwell on all of them, but secuses of its gographical structure, its	1			
10       important to the family and we stated it at       10       done in his draft recommendations, it does allow for consideration by both Provincial         11       were being stated that we would like to look       12       and Federal counterparts of what they could         13       on this at this aspect and 1 know there were       13       and Federal counterparts of what they could         14       other cases that were included.       14       agee, while none of this can be mandated,         15       I'm not going to go through the       15       the system and one such improvements in         18       and the time that we spent on it was       18       manuals for search and rescue by the Federal         20       number of things that we can take forward       20       saw interms of defining       saw interms of defining         21       and take out of this, both for the Winters       21       marine incidents. You know, the       sas advole.       22         23       as whole.       23       saw interms of the fining that we cand wet out and       3       because of its gographical structure, is         24       First of all, the family wanted to note       24       such adperast to be some serious       issues with respect to Labrador, and this is         3       the community commetagether and wet out and       3       for search and rescue antother manup is that	8	11		
11the very outset when the terms of reference were being stated that we would like to look 1311allow for consideration by both Provincial and Federal counterparts of what they could and Federal counterparts of what they could and Federal counterparts of what they could and rederal counterparts of what they could be overybody wants to see some improvement sing the operational the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Governments to that some of the. I think, deficiencies or some of the sisues that we seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the tings that could be looked at going forward.24First of all, the family wanted to note 251Page 2612GSAR crews and the community volunteers. 261126Page 26Page 2827They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 2623the community count of their son, as 344helped their family look for their son, as 445well as the efforts 10 the record that they had noted in our 111013communic	-			
12       were being stated that we would like to look       12       and Federal counterparts of what they could do to improve the system. I think we'l all dagree, while none of this can be mandated,         13       other cases that were included.       14         15       I'm not going to go through the       15         16       detailed analysis of the events back in       16         17       January of 2012 but 1 think that that study       17         18       and the time that we spent on it was       18         19       worthwhile in that it brought to light a       19         20       number of things that we can take forward       20         21       and take out of this, both for the Winters       21         23       as a whole.       22         24       First of all, the family wanted to note       24         25       the community voluncters.       1         2       Thry said it was absolutely phenomenal how       2         3       the community came together and went out and       3         4       helped their family look for their son, as       4         5       well as the efforts that were put in by       5       harsh climate, has its own unique systems.         6       local RCMP officers.       7       There exists obviously so	10	important to the family and we stated it at	10	
13on this at this aspect and I know there were other cases that were included.13do to improve the system. I think we'll all agree, while none of this can be mandated, everybody wants to see some improvements in the system and one such improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Foderal Government so that some of the, I think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seado/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.11GSAR crews and the community volunteers. They said it was absolutely phenomenal how a we'n end going to dwell on all of them; they said it was absolutely phenomenal how a we'n end going to dwell on all of them; they suid it was absolutely phenomenal how for search and rescue in general. Labrador, the community came together and went out and the conduction as it relates to when GSAR it will highlight them for the purposes of list siscus serimitated and followed through, it will highlight them for the purposes of list siscus serimitated and followed through, it will highlight them for the purposes of list siscus serimitated and followed through, it will highlight them for the purposes of list those being discussions and communications list those being discussions and communications list between RCMP and police resources, GSAR cresources, FESNL, and Department of National list those being discussions and communications list backed na admortizing and deploying resources. FESNL, and Department of National list chas the cont, were allyng toticient manner.1322Think also that came out and was a adiscussion in Labrador is with respect to ad discussio	11	the very outset when the terms of reference		allow for consideration by both Provincial
14other cases that were included.14agree, while none of this can be mandated, everybody wants to see some improvements in the system and one such improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Government so that some of the, 1 think, deficiencies or some of the, 1 think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that ecould be looked at going forward.22family, as well as ground search and rescue as a whole.2323as whole.2424First of all, the family wanted to note 252425the community volutteers. 101261Fage 267They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 423the community came together and went out and helped their family look for their son, as 444helped their family look for their son, as 449I will highlight them for the purposes of 1099I will highlight them for the purposes of 1299I will highlight them for the purposes of 12911discussions. There exist ovinously some 131115those being discussions and communications 161516between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 171617resources, FSAL, and Department of National 1718Defence when authorizing and deploying 1919resources, They just feel	12	were being stated that we would like to look		and Federal counterparts of what they could
15I'm not going to go through the detailed analysis of the events back in 1715everybody wants to see some improvements in the system and one such improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Government so that some of the. I think, deficiencies or some of the sustes that we and take out of this, both for the Winters 211920number of things that we can take forward 2119Government so that some of the. I think, deficiencies or some of the things that we and take out of this, both for the Winters 211922family, as well as ground search and rescue 2322marine incidents. You know, the 2324First of all, the family wanted to note 2524First of all, the family wanted to note 2526They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 221Susse with respect to Labrador, and this is 33the community came together and went out and 416164helped their family look for their son, as 545well as the efforts that were put in by 55harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the orth, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we see ak about the deployment of resources, and whether they be Foderal resources, just the time sitom are initiated and followed through, 1416between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1710While we apperais to be a concern. 1217resources. They just feel that this could 1919there, five, six, possibly seven hours,	13	on this at this aspect and I know there were	13	do to improve the system. I think we'll all
16detailed analysis of the events back in January of 2012 but 1 think that that study and the time that we spent on it was and the time that we spent on it was worthwhile in that it brought to light a 1916the system and one such improvement may be the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal 2019worthwhile in that it brought to light a 21 and take out of things that we can take forward 22 and take out of thins, both for the Winters 23 as a whole.18 20 21 22 mainte incidents. You know, the 23 23 23 23 2418 20 22 22 23 23 24 2510 24 24 2511 26 27 26 27 26 27 27 28 26 27 27 28 26 27 27 28 28 26 27 28 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 2516 20 28 26 27 28 28 26 27 28 28 26 27 28 28 26 27 28 28 28 26 27 28 29 28 28 29 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 21 21 21 21 21	14	other cases that were included.	14	agree, while none of this can be mandated,
17January of 2012 but 1 think that that study and the time that we spent on it was17the review of portions of the operational manuals for search and rescue by the Federal Government so that some of the, I think, unmber of things that we can take forward 201819worthwhile in that it brought to light a 2119Government so that some of the, I think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the sa a whole.23as a whole.21seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about 2424First of all, the family wanted to note 2522seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about 2425the community obunteers. 201There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, the community came together and went out and 4164helped their family look for their son, as 45hard rescue in general. Labrador, secause of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems. 6106local RCMP officers. 116Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and 999twill highlight them for the purposes of 109whether they be Forvincial resources, is ust the time lag of trying to get resources, spath 1211discussions. There exists obviously some 121112serious issues with respect to the lines of 121213communication as it relates to whe	15	I'm not going to go through the	15	everybody wants to see some improvements in
18       and the time that we spent on it was       18       manuals for search and rescue by the Federal         19       worthwhile in that it brought to light a       19       Government so that some of the, I think,         20       number of things that we can take forward       20       deficiencies or some of the issues that we         21       and take out of this, both for the Winters       21       saw in terms of defining vessels, defining         22       family, as well as ground search and rescue       22       marine incidents. You know, the         23       as a whole.       23       seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about         24       First of all, the family wanted to note       25       could be looked at going forward.         25       They said it was absolutely phenomenal how       2       secase of its gographical structure, its         3       the community came together and went out and       3       for search and rescue in general. Labrador,         4       helped their family look for their uson, as       5       well as the efforts that were put in by       5         6       local RCMP officers.       6       Not only is it the north, but there are a       number of coastal communities, and when we         9       I will highlight them for the purposes of       9       whether they be Federal resources, or	16	detailed analysis of the events back in	16	the system and one such improvement may be
19worthwhile in that it brought to light a number of things that we can take forward 2119Government so that some of the, I think, deficiencies or some of the issues that we saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the 2321and take out of this, both for the Winters 2121as a whole.22saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the 2323as a whole.23seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that 252424First of all, the family wanted to note 2524seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that 2625the commitment and the dedication of the 2525Page 287They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 32issues with respect to Labrador, and this is 48wel as the efforts that were put in by 55harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we 87There were some issues, and again, 77wether they be adout the deployment of resources, and 99I will highlight them for the purposes of 99whether they be Provincial resources or 910the record that they had noted in our 11discussions. There exists obviously some 121111discussions are initiated and followed through, 14resources in Happy Valley, in this particular instance, they were down and so resources.	17	January of 2012 but I think that that study	17	the review of portions of the operational
20number of things that we can take forward and take out of this, both for the Winters family, as well as ground search and rescue as a whole.20deficiencies or some of the issues that we saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.24First of all, the family wanted to note 2524SAR crews and the community voluters. Page 26Page 282CSAR crews and the community voluters. 21There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, are to going to dwell on all of them, but 83He community came together and went out and helped their family look for their son, as 54because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems. Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, or whether they be Foderal resources, or whether they be Foderal resources, or whether they be Foderal resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources, deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.11discussions. There exists obviously some 1211time lag of trying to get resources, or whether they be Federal resources, or whether they be Federal resources, null we appreciate that DND do have resources in Happy Valley, in this particular instance, they were down and so resources in Labrador in a more timely and efficient 2013communication as it relates to when GSAR 1415Gander. We	18	and the time that we spent on it was	18	manuals for search and rescue by the Federal
21and take out of this, both for the Winters family, as well as ground search and rescue as a whole.21saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.23as a whole.23seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.24First of all, the family wanted to note 2524seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.25They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 32There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.4helped their family look for their son, as 44helped fheir family look for their, son, as 445well as the efforts that were put in by 656Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and whether they be Provincial resources or 10number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, gust the time lag of trying to get resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources. They suit feel that this could16 </td <td>19</td> <td>worthwhile in that it brought to light a</td> <td>19</td> <td>Government so that some of the, I think,</td>	19	worthwhile in that it brought to light a	19	Government so that some of the, I think,
21and take out of this, both for the Winters family, as well as ground search and rescue as a whole.21saw in terms of defining vessels, defining marine incidents. You know, the seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.23as a whole.23seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.24First of all, the family wanted to note 2524seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.25They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 32There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.4helped their family look for their son, as 44helped fheir family look for their, son, as 445well as the efforts that were put in by 656Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and whether they be Provincial resources or 10number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, gust the time lag of trying to get resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources. They suit feel that this could16 </td <td>20</td> <td>number of things that we can take forward</td> <td>20</td> <td>deficiencies or some of the issues that we</td>	20	number of things that we can take forward	20	deficiencies or some of the issues that we
23as a whole.2324First of all, the family wanted to note2425the commitment and the dedication of the2426Page 261GSAR crews and the community volunteers.12They said it was absolutely phenomenal how23the community came together and went out and34helped their family look for their son, as45well as the efforts that were put in by56local RCMP officers.67There were some issues, and again,78we're not going to dwell on all of them, but89I will highlight them for the purposes of99I will highlight them for the purposes of910the record that they had noted in our1011discussions. There exists obviously some1112serious issues with respect to the lines of1213communication as it relates to when GSAR1316between RCMP and police resources, GSAR1617resources. FESNL, and Department of National1718Defence when authorizing and deploying1819resources. They just feel that this could1919resources. They just feel that this could1919resources. They iust feel that this could1920be done in a more timely and efficient2021manner.2122I think also that came out and was a2223d	21		21	saw in terms of defining vessels, defining
23as a whole.23seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.24First of all, the family wanted to note the commitment and the dedication of the 2524seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about kind of highlighted some of the things that could be looked at going forward.25They said it was absolutely phenomenal how the community came together and went out and 41There also appears to be some serious issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.67There were some issues, and again, 978we're not going to dwell on all of them, but 989I will highlight them for the purposes of 999I will highlight them for the purposes of 9911discussions. There exists obviously some 111112serious issues with respect to the lines of 121213communication as it relates to when GSAR 141516between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 171617resources. FESNL, and Department of National 181718Defence when authorizing and deploying 191819resources. FESNL, and Department of National 181920be done in a more timely and efficient 202021manner.2122I think also that came out and was a 23 <td>22</td> <td>family, as well as ground search and rescue</td> <td>22</td> <td>marine incidents. You know, the</td>	22	family, as well as ground search and rescue	22	marine incidents. You know, the
25the commitment and the dedication of the25could be looked at going forward.Page 261GSAR crews and the community volunteers.1There also appears to be some serious2They said it was absolutely phenomenal how2issues with respect to Labrador, and this is3the community came together and went out and3for search and rescue in general. Labrador,4helped their family look for their son, as4because of its geographical structure, its5well as the efforts that were put in by5harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a7There were some issues, and again,7number of coastal communities, and when we8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but8speak about the deployment of resources, and9I will highlight them for the purposes of9whether they be Provincial resources or10the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources, just the12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources, FESNL, and Department of National15those being discussions and communications15 <td>23</td> <td></td> <td>23</td> <td>seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about</td>	23		23	seadoo/skidoo example that we talked about
Page 26Page 261GSAR crews and the community volunteers.1There also appears to be some serious2They said it was absolutely phenomenal how2issues with respect to Labrador, and this is3the community came together and went out and3for search and rescue in general. Labrador,4helped their family look for their son, as4because of its geographical structure, its5well as the efforts that were put in by5harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a7There were some issues, and again,7number of coastal communities, and when we8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but8speak about the deployment of resources, and9I will highlight them for the purposes of9whether they be Foderal resources or10the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources may have had to be deployed out of15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR <t< td=""><td>24</td><td>First of all, the family wanted to note</td><td>24</td><td>kind of highlighted some of the things that</td></t<>	24	First of all, the family wanted to note	24	kind of highlighted some of the things that
1GSAR crews and the community volunteers.1There also appears to be some serious2They said it was absolutely phenomenal how2issues with respect to Labrador, and this is3the community came together and went out and3for search and rescue in general. Labrador,4helped their family look for their son, as4because of its geographical structure, its5well as the efforts that were put in by5harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a7There were some issues, and again,7number of coastal communities, and when we8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but8speak about the deployment of resources, and9I will highlight them for the purposes of9whether they be Provincial resources, or10the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources and actually getting <td>25</td> <td>the commitment and the dedication of the</td> <td>25</td> <td>could be looked at going forward.</td>	25	the commitment and the dedication of the	25	could be looked at going forward.
2They said it was absolutely phenomenal how 32issues with respect to Labrador, and this is issues with respect to Labrador, and this is for search and rescue in general. Labrador, because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.4helped their family look for their son, as 44because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we we're not going to dwell on all of them, but 88speak about the deployment of resources, and we ther they be Provincial resources, or whether they be Provincial resources, or whether they be Federal resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR 1413While we appreciate that DND do have resources, FESNL, and Department of National 1516between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1616resources and actually getting there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and 2019resources. They just feel that this could 2019there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and in the case of search and rescue, that's a 2121I think also that came out and was a 2322So, given the geographic location and 2424some of the definitions and application as24the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need to have a look of having sufficient		Page 26		Page 28
3the community came together and went out and helped their family look for their son, as well as the efforts that were put in by local RCMP officers.3for search and rescue in general. Labrador, because of its geographical structure, its harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and 99I will highlight them for the purposes of 99whether they be Provincial resources or 1011discussions. There exists obviously some 1211wether they be Federal resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources, deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR 1413While we appreciate that DND do have resources, FESNL, and Department of National 1516between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1616resources and actually getting there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and a manner.12I think also that came out and was a 21211122I think also that came out and was a 2322So, given the geographic location and the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need to have a look of having sufficient	1	GSAR crews and the community volunteers.	1	There also appears to be some serious
4helped their family look for their son, as4because of its geographical structure, its5well as the efforts that were put in by5harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a7There were some issues, and again,7number of coastal communities, and when we8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but8speak about the deployment of resources, and9I will highlight them for the purposes of9whether they be Provincial resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources, deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I t	2	They said it was absolutely phenomenal how	2	issues with respect to Labrador, and this is
5well as the efforts that were put in by local RCMP officers.5harsh climate, has its own unique systems.6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a7There were some issues, and again, 87number of coastal communities, and when we8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but 98speak about the deployment of resources, and 99I will highlight them for the purposes of 99whether they be Provincial resources or10the record that they had noted in our 1110whether they be Federal resources, just the 1111discussions. There exists obviously some 1211time lag of trying to get resources deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR 1413While we appreciate that DND do have resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications 1615particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1717Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying 1918between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could 1919the case of search and rescue, that's a21I think also that came out and was a 2222So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to 2323the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and applicati	3	the community came together and went out and	3	for search and rescue in general. Labrador,
6local RCMP officers.6Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and we're not going to dwell on all of them, but 96Not only is it the north, but there are a number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and whether they be Provincial resources or 1010the record that they had noted in our the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.12serious issues with respect to the lines of to serious issues are initiated and followed through, 1414resources in Happy Valley, in this particular instance, they were down and so to be deployed out of resources, FESNL, and Department of National 1817Gander. Well, we were talking timelines between readiness and actually getting there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and to the case of search and rescue, that's a long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a 2322So, given the geographic location and the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need to have a look of having sufficient	4	helped their family look for their son, as	4	because of its geographical structure, its
7There were some issues, and again, 87number of coastal communities, and when we speak about the deployment of resources, and 99I will highlight them for the purposes of 99whether they be Provincial resources or 910the record that they had noted in our discussions. There exists obviously some 1110whether they be Federal resources, just the time lag of trying to get resources deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR 1413While we appreciate that DND do have resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications 1615particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1716resources may have had to be deployed out of 1718Defence when authorizing and deploying 1918between readiness and actually getting 1919resources. They just feel that this could 2019there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and 2020be done in a more timely and efficient 2120in the case of search and rescue, that's a 2121I think also that came out and was a 2222So, given the geographic location and the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need 2424some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	5	well as the efforts that were put in by	5	harsh climate, has its own unique systems.
8we're not going to dwell on all of them, but8speak about the deployment of resources, and9I will highlight them for the purposes of9whether they be Provincial resources or10the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24 <td>6</td> <td>local RCMP officers.</td> <td>6</td> <td>Not only is it the north, but there are a</td>	6	local RCMP officers.	6	Not only is it the north, but there are a
9I will highlight them for the purposes of the record that they had noted in our9whether they be Provincial resources or whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed out of various areas seems to be a concern.12serious issues with respect to the lines of 1212out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR missions are initiated and followed through, 1414resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications 1615particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR 1716resources may have had to be deployed out of18Defence when authorizing and deploying 1918between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could 2019there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and in the case of search and rescue, that's a long period of time.21I think also that came out and was a 2322So, given the geographic location and the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need to have a look of having sufficient	7	There were some issues, and again,	7	number of coastal communities, and when we
10the record that they had noted in our10whether they be Federal resources, just the11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	8	we're not going to dwell on all of them, but	8	speak about the deployment of resources, and
11discussions. There exists obviously some11time lag of trying to get resources deployed12serious issues with respect to the lines of12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	9	I will highlight them for the purposes of	9	whether they be Provincial resources or
12serious issues with respect to the lines of communication as it relates to when GSAR12out of various areas seems to be a concern.13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through, 1514resources in Happy Valley, in this16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	10	the record that they had noted in our	10	whether they be Federal resources, just the
13communication as it relates to when GSAR13While we appreciate that DND do have14missions are initiated and followed through,14resources in Happy Valley, in this15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	11	discussions. There exists obviously some	11	
14missions are initiated and followed through, 1514resources in Happy Valley, in this particular instance, they were down and so particular instance, they were down and so resources may have had to be deployed out of Gander. Well, we were talking timelines between readiness and actually getting 1918Defence when authorizing and deploying 1918between readiness and actually getting 1919resources. They just feel that this could 2019there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and 2020be done in a more timely and efficient manner.20in the case of search and rescue, that's a 2122I think also that came out and was a 2322So, given the geographic location and 2324some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	12	serious issues with respect to the lines of	12	out of various areas seems to be a concern.
15those being discussions and communications15particular instance, they were down and so16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	13			11
16between RCMP and police resources, GSAR16resources may have had to be deployed out of17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	14	missions are initiated and followed through,		
17resources, FESNL, and Department of National17Gander. Well, we were talking timelines18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	15	•		1
18Defence when authorizing and deploying18between readiness and actually getting19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	1	-		resources may have had to be deployed out of
19resources. They just feel that this could19there, five, six, possibly seven hours, and20be done in a more timely and efficient20in the case of search and rescue, that's a21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient		· · · ·		
20be done in a more timely and efficient manner.20in the case of search and rescue, that's a long period of time.21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a discussion in Labrador is with respect to 2422So, given the geographic location and the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need 2424some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	1			
21manner.21long period of time.22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	1			- ·
22I think also that came out and was a22So, given the geographic location and23discussion in Labrador is with respect to23the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	1	be done in a more timely and efficient		
23discussion in Labrador is with respect to 2423the uniqueness of Labrador, we really need to have a look of having sufficient	1			
24some of the definitions and application as24to have a look of having sufficient	1			• • • • •
••	1	-		· · ·
25to what constitutes marine incidents. I25resources placed in Labrador so they can	1			
	25	to what constitutes marine incidents. I	25	resources placed in Labrador so they can

Page 29	D 21
	Page 31
	is was a very good format in that
	to see not only the strong points
	tem, but also some deficiencies
	exist in our Provincial system.
	ere's clearly 26 highly trained and
	SAR teams that are committed
e	s across this Province. I think it
	by b
	n this process as a whole from the
	nning that we had no idea of the
	ommitment, dedication and training
	uired of volunteers. And you
	something that I think the
	f the Province should be made more
•	for a couple of purposes, not only
•	cation of more resources being
	is field, but also for purposes
e	nent in that we have a lot of
	nen, we have a lot of hunters, we
	of people who enjoy using the
	who if were aware that this
	as in need of further volunteers,
	give consideration to the same.
	ithout a doubt, there needs to be more
25 that while, you know, we don't anticipate 25 dedicated	funding. While Government is
Page 30	Page 32
1 that the Province is going to bring on its 1 under tigh	t financial restraints, the area
2 own helicopter fleet for search and rescue, 2 of search a	
	and rescue is life and death.
1 /	and rescue is life and death. o be a priority for Government and
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e	
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e	o be a priority for Government and
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recomment	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent.
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's adations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's indations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr.
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore9at a pilot project in consultation with9drone reso	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's indations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has to4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore9at a pilot project in consultation with9drone reso10private resources whereby some of these10St. John's11resources could be utilized when required.11can be mat	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has to we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 83This has to we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 97also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso 1010private resources whereby some of these 1111can be ma 1212I guess the final issue in terms of the 1212	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has ta we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these 64we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these tiems, and we appreciate that, but that's 85recommer 67items, and we appreciate that, but that's 87also have 89at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso 1010private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's 1112I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 13	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's adations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the burce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed tt the Province, it comes to light
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has to we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money 73This has to we fully e6are all big ticket items, big ticket money 106As As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's at a pilot project in consultation with 107also have Blackmore 910private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's resources could be utilized when required. 1211can be ma 1213Makkovik matter, and I know it's been 1413throughout that both it	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the ource that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed tt the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has to we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money 73This has to we fully e6are all big ticket items, big ticket money 106As As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's at a pilot project in consultation with 107also have Blackmore 910private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's resources could be utilized when required. 1211can be ma 1213Makkovik matter, and I know it's been 1413throughout that both it	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's adations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the burce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed tt the Province, it comes to light
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has to we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 84we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 87also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso 1010private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's 1112I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 1314highlighted quite clearly in our report, is 1514that both i 15	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed tt the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has ta we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 83This has ta we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 97also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso10private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's 1212I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 1314highlighted quite clearly in our report, is 1514that both i15that there should be a policy whereby no 1615the more re16resource is not made available if required 1716search and 1717to be engaged. Again, I won't go through17delayed git	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has ta we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 83This has ta we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 87also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso10private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's 1212I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 1314highlighted quite clearly in our report, is 1414that there should be a policy whereby no 151516resource is not made available if required 1716search and 1717to be engaged. Again, I won't go through17delayed git	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's additions in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the burce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has to we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 83This has to we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 87also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 109drone reso10private resources whereby some of these 1110St. John's 1112I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 1314highlighted quite clearly in our report, is 1514that both it 1515that there should be a policy whereby no 1615the more report, is 1716resource is not made available if required 1816search and 1718this in any great detail, but that is18to be flow	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's adations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the burce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has ta we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's 84we fully e7items, and we appreciate that, but that's 87also have 88not to say that the Province could not look 98Blackmore 99at a pilot project in consultation with 99drone reso10private resources whereby some of these 1010St. John's11resources could be utilized when required. 1111can be ma12I guess the final issue in terms of the 1312probably of 1314highlighted quite clearly in our report, is 1414that both i 1515that there should be a policy whereby no 1615the more r 1616resource is not made available if required 1816search and 1718this in any great detail, but that is 1918to be flow 1919probably one of the most troubling aspects19underwate	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's ndations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have n in to conduct, whether it be
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity 43This has ta we fully e4to see that there are private resources that may be available to be utilized. Now, these are all big ticket items, big ticket money items, and we appreciate that, but that's mot to say that the Province could not look mot mot mot mot mot mot mot mot mot mot	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's indations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have n in to conduct, whether it be er searches, whether it be drone
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has ta4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6Ass7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore9at a pilot project in consultation with9drone reso10private resources whereby some of these10St. John's11resources could be utilized when required.11can be ma12I guess the final issue in terms of the12probably of13Makkovik matter, and I know it's been13throughou14highlighted quite clearly in our report, is14that both it15that there should be a policy whereby no15the more resource16resource is not made available if required16search and17to be engaged. Again, I won't go through17delayed gi18this in any great detail, but that is18to be flow19probably one of the most troubling aspects19underwate20for the family as a whole is that there were20searches, a21resources available that weren't deployed21placed res	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's indations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the ource that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed it the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have n in to conduct, whether it be er searches, whether it be drone and if we had even regionally
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has ta4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6Ass7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore9at a pilot project in consultation with9drone reso10private resources whereby some of these10St. John's11resources could be utilized when required.11can be ma12I guess the final issue in terms of the12probably of13Makkovik matter, and I know it's been13that there should be a policy whereby no16resource is not made available if required16search and17to be engaged. Again, I won't go through17delayed gi18this in any great detail, but that is18to be flow19probably one of the most troubling aspects19underwate20for the family as a whole is that there were20searches, a21resources available that weren't deployed21placed res22and whether or not this process and policy22accessed a	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's adations in that extent. well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. e had the occasion to speak to the ource that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light n the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have n in to conduct, whether it be er searches, whether it be drone and if we had even regionally ources so that this could be
3given expenses, we did have the opportunity3This has ta4to see that there are private resources that4we fully e5may be available to be utilized. Now, these5recommer6are all big ticket items, big ticket money6As7items, and we appreciate that, but that's7also have8not to say that the Province could not look8Blackmore9at a pilot project in consultation with9drone reso10private resources whereby some of these10St. John's11resources could be utilized when required.11can be ma12I guess the final issue in terms of the12probably of13Makkovik matter, and I know it's been13throughou14highlighted quite clearly in our report, is14that both i15that there should be a policy whereby no15the more reso16resource is not made available if required16search and17to be engaged. Again, I won't go through17delayed gi18this in any great detail, but that is18to be flow20for the family as a whole is that there were20searches, a21resources available that weren't deployed21placed res22and whether or not this process and policy22accessed a23protocols need to be reviewed.23certainly a	o be a priority for Government and ndorse the Commissioner's indations in that extent. If well, when it comes to funding, you to look at technology. I know Mr. If have, and the occasion to speak to the purce that they have, the Rovers in have, and the extensive use that de of. While we know there can't be 26 drones placed at the Province, it comes to light in the Winters' case and I know in recent – some of the more recent d rescue missions that missions are iven the fact that resources have in in to conduct, whether it be er searches, whether it be drone and if we had even regionally ources so that this could be a little quicker, I think it would

Г

	Page 33		Page 35
1	the things that I think that were	1	some of these issues could be done without a
2	highlighted was the lack of communication	2	big economic consequence.
3	that exists between all search and rescue	3	I guess the only other aspect of people
4	agencies. While there are – there is	4	who participated in the policy sessions was
5	interplay obviously between police agencies	5	CASARA, and I was contacted by members of
6	we have police agencies, we have ground	6	CASARA after we finished up our hearings and
7	search and rescue, we have marine search and	7	I think again it came to light that this is
8	rescue, and we have CASARA. It doesn't seem	8	another resource that we have readily
9	- I'll quote because it kind of resonated	9	available that is, for all intent and
10	with me when she said it, when Edna Winters	10	purposes, a volunteer resource. These are
11	came out and said "it seems like everybody's	11	people who have their own equipment who
12	in the sandbox, but they're not playing	12	again like NLSARA are highly trained and
13	together". It kind of rang true for a lot	13	they can't wait to help out. And the
14	of reasons.	14	message that was given to me through
15	We have all these great and talented	15	discussions with them is that "we're
16	groups, but it seems that not everybody is	16	underutilized. We're there to help, but we
17	coordinating efforts, and this is just a	17	don't get called to the degree that we
18	matter of setting up a system whereby these	18	could." And again, that's something
19	groups could meet perhaps on a biannual	19	obviously for the agencies involved to
20	basis to review priorities and seek lobbying	20	consider and review with respect to
21	of their own authorities to improve the	21	implementing changes to that end.
22	system. And I think this came out through a	22	Mr. Commissioner, I won't go through
23	number of people. I think of both the	23	all the draft recommendations. I've
24	Concerned Citizens Coalition, Merv Wiseman,	24	highlighted some aspects. We do endorse –
25	and I had the opportunity to speak with	25	we endorse all the draft recommendations.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	other Coast Guard rescue coordinators who	1	Our report highlights it because I'm sure we
2	said that there needs to be better	2	could all come up with a list of 15 or 20
3	communication and coordination as amongst	$\frac{2}{3}$	items we'd like to see, all different from
4	resources and while obviously for	4	the ones submitted. But obviously I was
5	jurisdictional purposes we do have	5	pleased that it was highlighted and limited
6	Provincial jurisdiction and Federal	6	to a degree that we're not looking at
7	jurisdiction, the area of search and rescue	7	hundreds of recommendations coming here that
8	requires that these blend together in a	8	are going to sit in a report and sit on a
9	better format.	9	shelf and never be acted.
10	The next area that I think we could	10	I thought the efficiency of the report,
11	have a look at, without having a big money	11	the draft recommendations was very good.
12	item, is the area of mental health, and I	12	Our recommendations, we have expanded on
12	thank Louise Bradbury – Bradley, I'm sorry,	12	some. The issue with respect to
13	for her efforts and I thank the Commission	13	communications with families we thought was
15	and Government because they brought Louise	15	very important in that that seems to be
16	in very early as a support system for the	16	there, but as Mr. Blackmore noted, that's
17	Inquiry. But I think as a result of her	10	more the first line of defence that comes to
18	involvement in this Inquiry, we got a bigger	18	police resources and yet, when asked, they
18	picture. It wasn't not just for the support	18	don't have any formal policies they do try
20	of families that were involved or searchers	20	to put in practice and that's not meant as a
20	and police resources. Through our	20 21	criticism, but I think something that came
21 $22$	discussions, we realized that mental health	21	out of this whole inquiry is how important
22 23	has been a major void in search and rescue	22 23	communications is with both families during
23	and I think if nothing else, this Inquiry	23 24	traumatic events, as well as immediately
24 25	has established that and I think to address	24 25	after to keep them updated and things of
23	has established that ally I tillik to addless	23	and to keep ment updated and unings of

Nover	nber 15, 2021		Verbatim Court Reporters
	Page 37		Page 39
1	that nature.	1	Labrador coast. We saw all those areas that
2	So, our recommendations are before the	2	needed to be addressed. So, we're pleased
3	Inquiry. Like I said, we did add some new	3	to see them as part of the draft
4	ones and we've also tried to supplement some	4	recommendations.
5	other ones. But I think the important thing	5	In terms of proposed additions to the
6	about having submissions and having formal	6	recommendations, there are two topics we
7	records and transcripts of these things is	7	feel are also important to consider moving
8	that even though a report will be formulated	8	forward, one being a recommendation
9	and they're frequently not acted upon	9	regarding future efforts to further
10	immediately, that they were there. These	10	harmonize ground search and rescue
11	reports will be there for families of	11	coordination with maritime coordination, and
12	missing persons. They'll be there for	12	secondly recommendation to address the lack
13	police agencies related to search and rescue	13	of primary resources for large geographic
14	and they'll be there for the Newfoundland	14	northern regions like Labrador. For
15	Search and Rescue Association that they will	15	example, primary resources being stationed
16	be able to use and to continue to fight to	16	in the Goose Bay military airbase or
17	get the improvements that are needed.	17	civilian airport and the Inquiry did hear
18	So, this Inquiry started on a very	18	evidence regarding commercial operators in
18	small isolated incident of one mission that	19	the Province that could be engaged for this
	meant a lot to a family and to a region and	19 20	•••
20 21	• •	20 21	purpose. And that's all at this moment that we
	I think it's grown to serve the Province and		
22	maybe search and rescue across the country	22	have to offer in terms of comments on the
23	very well in that those comments that have	23	recommendations, and we'll circulate this in
24	been made, and there's been evidence adduced	24	writing as well after the Inquiry. So, we
25	by experts in the field that we will	25	may provide some more details in that.
	Page 38		Page 40
1	hopefully see benefits to our Provincial	1	Thank you.
2	system and the system as a whole.	2	MR. BUDDEN:
3	So once again, on behalf of the family,	3	Q. Thank you, Ms. Philpott. Mr. Ralph, would
4	we thank you for the opportunity to have	4	you like to now make the submission on
5	been involved to the extent that we are and	5	behalf of the Provincial Government?
6	we wish all the best to you in the	6	MR. RALPH:
7	completion of your report.	7	Q. Yes, thank you, Mr. Budden. Good morning,
8	MR. BUDDEN:	8	Commissioner. For those who don't know, my
9	Q. Thank you, Mr. Williams. Ms. Philpott,	9	name is Peter Ralph and I represent the
10	would you now like to give the submission on	10	Province of Newfoundland and Labrador and I
11	behalf of your group?	11	understand that the purpose of today's
12	MS. PHILPOTT:	12	hearing is to provide entities who are
13	Q. So, I just have some brief comments, and as	13	involved with this Inquiry an opportunity to
14	Mr. Budden mentioned, we'll circulate them	14	make submissions regarding the draft
15	in writing just shortly following today's	15	submissions which you've released.
16	session.	16	On behalf of the Province, I will not
17	So, first, we'd just like to endorse	17	direct my comments to the substance of the
18	the important recommendations included in	18	recommendations. I will have one comment
	the draft regarding addressing funding and	19	about the recommendations, but that comment,
19		20	I would suggest, is more about form than
19 20	recruitment for the GSAR groups, mental	20 21	I would suggest, is more about form than substance. In fact, what I intend to do
19 20 21	recruitment for the GSAR groups, mental health support for survivors and GSAR	21	substance. In fact, what I intend to do
19 20 21 22	recruitment for the GSAR groups, mental health support for survivors and GSAR personnel, examination of the 911	21 22	substance. In fact, what I intend to do today is to explain why the Province is not
19 20 21 22 23	recruitment for the GSAR groups, mental health support for survivors and GSAR personnel, examination of the 911 communications system in Labrador and	21 22 23	substance. In fact, what I intend to do today is to explain why the Province is not making submissions about the substance or
19 20 21 22	recruitment for the GSAR groups, mental health support for survivors and GSAR personnel, examination of the 911	21 22	substance. In fact, what I intend to do today is to explain why the Province is not

November 13			Verbatim Court Reporters
	Page 41		Page 43
1	Lieutenant Governor in Council.	1	you to review ground search and rescue and
2	Effectively, the Inquiry was created by the	2	make recommendations that you consider
3	executive branch of the Provincial	3	necessary and advisable.
4	Government. I will refer to the Provincial	4	The Province did not have to create a
5	Government as the Province for ease of	5	public inquiry in order to get advice about
6	reference.	6	ground search and rescue. Civil servants
7	I think it's fair to say that this	7	employed with the Province provide advice
8	Inquiry happened because of the death of	8	every day. The Province could have asked
9	Burton Winters and after his death, there	9	for advice from the civil service, but chose
10	was a considerable amount of discussion	10	not to do so. The Province also routinely
11	about what had happened. But much of that	11	retains experts outside Government to
12	discussion was not impartial and not	12	provide advice and the Province chose not to
13	independent and I'd suggest that that's	13	do that. The Province decided to create a
14	finally happened. This has happened much	14	public inquiry instead and there are two
15	later than perhaps it should have, but it	15	main reasons, I'd suggest, to create an
16	has happened.	16	inquiry rather than use civil servants or
17	This Inquiry conducted an independent	17	outside experts, and they are transparency
18	examination of the search for Burton, and	18	and independence.
19	most importantly, it was done in Makkovik	19	Clearly transparency was an essential
20	amidst the family and community that loved	20	aspect of the examination of the search for
20	Burton. So, I think the Province believes	20	Burton. No other type of examination could
21	this Inquiry has already accomplished	22	have accomplished what was accomplished in
23	something very significant.	23	Makkovik in September. That examination had
23	It's interesting to note that the terms	23	to be done in public with the family, the
24	of reference did not require you,	24	community and the Province watching and
23		23	
1	Page 42	1	Page 44
	Commissioner, to do that. Clearly it was a	1	listening to the people that were involved
2	logistical challenge to conduct hearings in	2	in the search for Burton, and I think we
3	that community and perhaps that wasn't	3	learned a lot. Certainly, it's hard to
4	apparent to the public, but I think many	4	imagine that anyone who listened to all the
5	people would have abandoned the plan to	5	evidence did not develop a better
6	conduct the hearings there.	6	understanding of what happened.
7	The conduct of the hearing in Makkovik	7	The other important value of a public
8	was not an essential part of the mandate as	8	inquiry is that in a public inquiry, the
9	enunciated by the terms of reference, but it	9	Commissioner is independent and you are
10	will be one of the most impactful things to	10	independent in a number of ways. Firstly,
11	come out of it. And that may not have	11	you're independent to interpret your
12	happened, Commissioner, if anyone else but	12	mandate. The Province gave you a mandate,
13	you were the Commissioner.	13	but you were free to interpret how to carry
14	The Province created the Inquiry and	14	out the mandate as you saw fit, and you are
15	what the Province wanted to get out of this	15	also free to make whatever recommendations
16	Inquiry is described in Section 9 of the	16	you see fit.
17	Terms of Reference. Section 9 states "the	17	The advice you give the Government will
	Commissioner shall prepare a final report	18	also be independent in another sense. You
18		10	1
18 19	which shall review the organization and	19	have no agenda, no axe to grind, and this
	which shall review the organization and operation of ground search and rescue in the	19 20	does not happen very often. A government
19	-		
19 20	operation of ground search and rescue in the Province, including air support for ground	20	does not happen very often. A government usually gets advice from people or entities
19 20 21	operation of ground search and rescue in the	20 21	does not happen very often. A government
19 20 21 22	operation of ground search and rescue in the Province, including air support for ground search and rescue, and make recommendations that the Commissioner considers necessary	20 21 22	does not happen very often. A government usually gets advice from people or entities and the people or entities giving the advice
19 20 21 22 23	operation of ground search and rescue in the Province, including air support for ground search and rescue, and make recommendations	20 21 22 23	does not happen very often. A government usually gets advice from people or entities and the people or entities giving the advice usually have a stake in the matter.

November			Verbatim Court Reporters
	Page 45		Page 47
1	to attempt to influence the recommendations	1	should not be making recommendations, the
2	of the draft recommendations. To do so is	2	Province suggests, to the Federal Government
3	almost contrary to the reasons why the	3	about how it conducts policy.
4	Province created a public inquiry in the	4	Secondly, an examination of marine and
5	first place.	5	aeronautical search and rescue is not part
6	Now, the terms of reference require	6	of the mandated inquiry. That would have
7	that the final report is given to the	7	been a different inquiry. It would have
8	Minister of Justice and Public Safety. It	8	involved looking at the legal context for
9	does not go to the House of Assembly. It is	9	marine and aeronautical search and rescue,
10	not released to the public first. The	10	both domestic and international. It would
11	report is given to a minister who is a	11	have involved looking at budgets for the
12	member of the Executive Council or the	12	military and the Coast Guard and it would
13	Cabinet.	13	have involved looking at demands with
14	This is a report containing advice for	14	respect to marine and aeronautical search
15	Executive Council and ultimately the	15	and rescue.
16	Province will consider your recommendations	16	But it is certainly within the
17	in the context of many competing demands and	17	jurisdiction of this Inquiry to recommend
18	scarce resources. And this situation leads	18	that the Province approach the Federal
10	to really one comment, which I believe is	19	Government and ask the Federal Government to
20	more about form than substance, one comment	20	reconsider its policy in terms of the
20	about the draft recommendations.	20	
		21	Federal Government policies' impact on
22	I suggest that on behalf of the Province that where recommendations are	22	ground search and rescue.
23			It is fair to say that this Inquiry did
24	addressed to a government, the	24	not look at marine and aeronautical search
25	recommendation should be addressed to the	25	and rescue in a comprehensive manner, but
	Page 46		Page 48
	Province and not the Federal Government.	1	that is not true of ground search and
2	There are two related reasons for this and	2	rescue. You are in a position to make
3	both reasons are about jurisdiction. I'm	3	recommendations as to how the Province
4	going to ask you to look at one of your	4	should conduct ground search and rescue.
5	recommendations to demonstrate the point.	5	There are also recommendations that are
6	Recommendation one states "the	6	directed at NLSARA and other ground search
7	Commissioner therefore recommends that	7	and rescue teams. However, I don't think
8	Government of Newfoundland and Labrador and	8	anyone can take issue with that. NLSARA and
9	the Government of Canada, in consultation	9	the associated teams are conducting a
10	with policing agencies and GSAR and MSAR	10	service which can be considered an aspect of
11	agencies, seek to arrive at a memorandum of	11	Provincial policing and therefore within the
12	understanding."	12	jurisdiction of a Provincial inquiry.
13	And I suggest that you consider	13	Secondly, the Inquiry has examined NLSARA
14	changing the wording as follows: The	14	and the associated teams in a comprehensive
15	Commissioner therefore recommends that the	15	manner.
16	Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, in	16	The Province has earlier expressed
17	consultation with policing agencies and GSAR	17	thanks in a comprehensive manner at the
18	and MSAR agencies, seek to arrive at a	18	Inquiry. However, the Province again would
19	memorandum of understanding with the	19	like to express its thanks to everyone that
20	Government of Canada."	20	has participated in the Inquiry, especially
21	I don't think that that change in	21	the family of Burton Winters.
22	wording changes the substance of your	22	The Province would like to thank you,
23	recommendations and that's why I suggest	23	Commissioner, personally for taking on this
24	it's more about form than substance. A	24	Inquiry. It was no small task and there are
			1
25	provincially constituted inquiry though	25	likely many things that you would rather be

Page 51a main ting your time at this point in your2life than an inquiry. However, the Provinceit's correct.3wants to acknowledge that this languiry willMR. BUDDEN:4be remembered for several things and one off.5those things would be the manner in which itf.6was conducted. Commissioner, you are quitef.7yustly respected throughout the Province and se demonstrated during thisf.9Laquiry. Everyone involved in this laquiryf.10was treated respectivilly and that's a raref.11quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, butf.12that speaks to you, who you are as a person.f.13The Province is based to have you as thef.14Commissioner of this Inquiry and thef.15Province thanks you.f.16MR, BUDDEN:f.17Q. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.18Commissioner, J ust had, I guess, a19question for Mr. Ralph. and I'l be asking19question for Mr. Ralph. and I'l be asking10the laquing and Michael21Bedford, whoever makes the submission.22The laquiry, as you know, solicited an23opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the25conclusion of that is -1'll just read as26Canada, "describes the Federal and7Government's owers not their7 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>verbatilit Court Reporters</th>				verbatilit Court Reporters
2Ife than an inquiry. However, the Province2if's correct.3wants to acknowledge that this fuquiry will4MR. BUDDEN:4be remembered for several things and one of4Q.Okay. That's - that comment is helpful.5those things would be the manner in which it5MR. BUDDEN:6was conducted. Commissioner, you are quite7Q.You're welcome.8why this is so was demonstrated during this8MR. BUDDEN:9Q.Mr. Freeman or Ms. Bedford, I'm not sure10was treated respectfully and that's a rare10So Thank you, Mr. Budden, Ir's Mark Freeman11quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but13Q. Thank you, Mr. Budden, Ir's Mark Freeman14Commissioner of this Inquiry and the13Q. Thank you, Mr. Budden, Ir's Mark Freeman15Province thanks you.15Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as16Q.Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Jist had, I guess, a1817Q.Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Jist had, I guess, a1918Commissioner J fist had, I guess, a19participate and to hopefully positively21Bedford, whever makes the submission.21Itave provided, we have provided a23opinion forn Arian Ding and Michael23writen version essentially of what we24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the24say, given the number of people and25follows, the very last section of it.1say, given the number of peo	1 1	Page 49	1	Page 51
3       wants to acknowledge that this Inquiry will       3       MR. BUDDEN:         4       Q. Okay. That's - that comment is helpful.         5       those things would be the manner in which hit         6       Was conducted. Commissioner, you are quite         7       Q. You're velcome.         8       why this is so was demonstrated during this         9       Q. Mr. Freeman or Ms. Bedford, I'm not sure         10       was treated respectfully and that's a rare         11       quality. I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but         12       that speaks to you, Who you are as a person.         13       The Province this Inquiry and the         14       Commissioner of this Inquiry and the         15       Province thanks you.         16       MR. BUDDEN:         17       O. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. fl I may, Mr.         18       Commissioner, J ust had, I guess, a         19       question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking         20       The havy, why was the submission.         21       Bedford, whoever makes the submission.         22       The havy, wy, Kreath and Michael         23       opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael         24       Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the         25       <				<b>e</b> .
4be remembered for several things and no of those things would be the manner in which it strank you.4Q.Okay. That's - that comment is helpful. Thank you.5those things would be the manner in which it is usdy respected throughout the Province and why this is so was demonstrated during this inquity. Everyone involved in this Inquiry us as treated respectfully and that's a rare that speaks to you, who you are as a person. The Province is blessed to have you as the that speaks to you, who you are as a person. The Province is blessed to have you as the that speaks to you, who you are as a person. To Commissioner of this Inquiry and the the Commissioner of this Inquiry and the the Commissioner of this Inquiry and the the Same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking the same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking the same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking the same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking the same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the conclusion of that is - I'll just read as the constitution of the responsibility. How the Provincial and the constitution of the responsibility. How the Provincial and the constitution of the constitution of that the constitution of the the constitution of the the constitution of the the constitution of the the constitution of the responsibility. How the Provincial and the province is aquestion of politics and the constitution provides cach the province should seek to as the the constitution provides cach the province should seek to a				
5         those things would be the manner in which it is was conducted. Commissioner, you are quite is usity respected throughout the Province and why this is so was demonstrated during this unarry province involved in this haquiry in was treated respectfully and that's a rare quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but it appeals to you, who you are as a person. If the Province is blessed to have you as the Commissioner of this Inquiry and the Province thanks you.         MR. RUDDIN: Was treated respectfully and that's a rare quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry and the Province thanks you.         MR. RUDDEN: It must you was the Province thanks you.         MR. RUDDEN: It mak you, Mr. Ralph, alf I may, Mr.           16         MR. BUDDEN: It guests no for Mr. Ralph, and I'b as asking impact on the recommendations that you are conclusion of Mr. Freeman or Ms.         It's Mark Freeman and Corinne Bedford and we're here representing the Atomey General of Canada and all of our clients, various departments. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as we have throughout, honourced to be able to participate and to hopefully positively impact on the recommendations that you are creating.           21         Bedford, whoever makes the submission. The Inguiry, as you know, solicited an 23         21         Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as we have throughout, honourced to be able to participate and to hopefully positively impact on the recommendations that you are creating.           23         The Inguiry, as you know, solicited an 3         21         In my set reading off a - yes, the 4         23           4         Constitution of that is - I'll just read as 5         Sary, one second. 5         1         1         29				
6       was conducted. Commissioner, you are quite justly respected ly motify the Province and 8 why this is so was demonstructed during this 9 Inquiry. Everyone involved in this Inquiry was treated respectfully and that's a rare quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but 12 that speaks to you, who you are as a person. 13 The Province is blessed to have you as the 14 Commissioner of this Inquiry and the 15 Province thanks you.       MR. RALPH: 10 whom of you will be making the submission, so I'll turn it over to you guys.         10 MR. BUDDEN: 11 Q. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr. 12 Commissioner, just had. J guess, a question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking 20 the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms. 21 Bedford, whover makes the submission. 22 The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an 23 opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael 24 Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 25 conclusion of that is - I'll just read as 3 Th just reading off a - yes, the 4 Constitution, this is the Constitution of 5 Cranada, "describes the Federal and 6 Provincia flowerments to their 7 responsibility. How the Provincia and 8 Federal Governments be readmis and 3 Cranada, "describes the Federal and 6 Provincial Governments powers not their 7 responsibility. How the Provincial and 8 Federal Governments use their 7 responsibility. How the Constitution of 8 Federal Governments use their 7 responsibility. How the Constitution of 8 Federal Governments use their 7 responsibility. How the Constitution of 8 Federal Governments use their constitutional 9 powers to allow effective searches in 9 provincing and we dare al overlapping 11 administration of the result in constitutional 12 provincing and we dare al overlapping 13 jurisdiction, the Constitution or to that 14 conclusion general areas in a paretion or on that 15 corening from our general suggesti		č		· · ·
7       justly respected throughout the Province and       7       Q.       You're welcome.         8       why this is so was demonstrated during this       9       Q.       You're welcome.         9       Injury. Everyone involved in this Inquiry       MR. BUDDEN:       9       Q.       MR. Freeman or Ms. Bedford, I'm not sure whom of you will be making the submission, so I'll turn it over to you guys.         11       quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry and the       11       So I'll turn it over to you guys.         12       The Province is blessed to have you as the       13       Q.       Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.         16       MR. BUDDEN:       16       Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.       17       Thank you, Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking         10       question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking       10       participate and to hopefully positively       11         21       Bedford, whoever makes the submission.       21       Thank you, Mr. Ralph, and T'll be asking       20       Impact on the recommendations that you are         23       opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael       21       Thank you, Mr. Suder, 'describes the feedral and       22       Thave provided, we have provided a         23       oprinon of that is - I'll just read as       25       sicking fairly close to the script, as theve torsonstiturion at theseleffeed and		e		
8         Why this is so was demonstrated during this puquity. Everyone involved in this Inquiry usatisticated espectFully and that's a rare quality, 7d suggest, in an inquiry, but that speaks to you, who you are as a person.         MR. BUDDEN:           11         quality, 7d suggest, in an inquiry, but that speaks to you, who you are as a person.         MR. FREEMAN:           13         The Province inhask you.         Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.         MR. FREEMAN:           16         MR. BUDDEN:         Imak you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.         MR. FREEMAN:           16         MR. BUDDEN:         Imak you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.         Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.           17         Q. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. and I'I be asking 19         question for Mr. Ralph, and I'I be asking 20         The same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.           21         Bedford, whoever makes the submission.         11         Thak you, Mr. Commissioner. Ve remain, as we have throughout, honoured to be able to participate and to hopetfully positively impact on the recommendations that you are creating.           23         opinion form Adrian Ding and Michael 24         Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 25         21         Iware provided, we have provided a written version essentially of what we intend to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they           24         Follows, the very last section of it. 2         Sary, given the number of poople and 4         Sary, given the number of poople and 4				
9Inquiry. Everyone involved in this Inquiry was treated respectfully and that's a rare quality. I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but 129Q.Mr. Freeman or Ms. Bedford, I'm not sure whom of you will be making the submission, so I'll urn it over to you guys.13The Province is blessed to have you as the Commissioner of this Inquiry and the 1411So I'll urn it over to you guys.13The Province thanks you.15MR. FREEMAN:14Commissioner of this Inquiry and the 1414Thank you, Mr. Budden. It's Mark Freeman and Corinne Bedford and we're here representing the Attorney General of Canada and all our clients, various departments.16MR. BUDDEN:16Thank you, Mr. Ralph, all I'll be asking upaction from Adrian Ding and Michael 231720The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an 2421Thave provided, we have provided a writen version essentially of what we imad to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 25232425The just reading off a - yes, the 43and be constitution of 426Constitution, this is the Constitution of 51say, given the number of people and departments who take this very seriously and who have given us detailed instructions as the Province and of overleapping 1217responsibility. How the Province is a question of politics and 167Page s218Federal Governments' powers not their 77Page s219powers to allow effective scarches in 9				•
10was treated respectfully and that's a rare quality, 1d suggest, in an inquiry, but that speaks to you, who you are as a person. 1310whom of you will be making the submission, so 111 turn it over to you guys.11that speaks to you, who you are as a person. 131115NR. FREEMAN:13The Province is blessed to have you as the Commissioner of this Inquiry and the 1513Q.Thank you, Mr. Budden. It's Mark Freeman and Corinne Bedford and we'n here representing the Attorney General of Canada and all of our clients, various departments.16MR. BUDDEN:1617Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph, 1f I may, Mr. 181718Commissioner, 1 just had, I guess, a 191820the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms. 212021Bedford, whoever makes the submission. 222122The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an 232223opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael 242324Collins, which is at Exhibil 198, and the 242425sticking fairly close to the script, as they26follows, the very last section of it. 25126follows, the very last section of it. 26127represention, his is the Constitution of 4428Federal Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and 429powers to allow effective searches in 920powers to allow effective searches in 921gorina in qui we thank and and if our client, which as a si part	8	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
11       quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but       11       so I'l turn it over to you guys.         12       that speaks to you, who you are as a person.       13       Q       Thank you, Mr. Budden. It's Mark Freeman         14       Commissioner of this Inquiry and the       14       G       Thank you, Mr. Rubt, If I may, Mr.         15       Province thanks you.       15       representing the Attorney General of Canada         17       Q.       Thank you, Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking       19       question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking         19       question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking       19       10       participate and to hopefally positively         20       the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.       20       innank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as         21       Bedford, Moveer makes the submission.       21       21       have provided, we have provided a         22       The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an       23       written version essentially of what we         23       collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the       24       Sorry, one second.       22         16       follows, the very last section of it.       2       say, given the number of people and         24       Constitution, this is the Constitution of       4       to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our				
12that speaks to you, who you are as a person.13CMR. FREEMAN:13The Province tis blessed to have you as the13Q.14Commissioner of this Inquiry and the1415Province thanks you.1516MR. BUDDEN:1617Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.1718Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a1819question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking1920the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.2021Bedford, whoever makes the submission.2122The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an2223The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an2324Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the2425conclusion of that i - I'll just read ag off a - yes, the126Sorry, one second.127Sory, one second.23Tr inster reding off a - yes, the34Constitution, this is the Constitution of45Canada, "describes the Federal and76Provincial Governments' powers not their77responsibility. How the Provincial and78Federal Governments use their constitutional89powers to allow effective searches in99porovincial areas is a question of politics and1011administration rather than law. By1112most appropriately be directed to the13government with room to ac	10			whom of you will be making the submission,
13The Province is blessed to have you as the 1413Q.Thank you, Mr. Budden. It's Mark Freeman and Corinne Bedford and we're here representing the Attorney General of Canada and all of our clients, various departments.16MR. BUDDEN:1617Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.1718Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a1819question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking1920the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.2021Bedford, whoever makes the submission.2122The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 252224Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 252325follows, the very last section of it.2426Constitution, this is the Constitution of 5 Cranada, "describes the Federal and 5127responsibility. How the Provincial and 52428Provincial Governments' powers not their 7729powers to allow effective searches in 9920powers to allow effective searches in 10921provincial areas is a question of politics and 11 administration rather than law. By 1121provincial area of overlapping 1222This just wondering if you have any 1533Question rather than law. By 1644Government with room to act, experiment and 1655Constitution provides each 1766Tri just wondering if you have any 16 <tr< td=""><td>11</td><td>quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	11	quality, I'd suggest, in an inquiry, but		
14Commissioner of this Inquiry and the Province thanks you.14and Corinne Bedford and we're here representing the Attormy General of Canada and all of our clients, various departments.16MR. BUDDEN:1617Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If 1 may, Mr.1718Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a uestion for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking 201820the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms. 212022The Inquiry, as you know, solicided an 232123opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael 242324Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 252525follows, the very last section of it. 262626Page 50127follows, the very last section of it. 26128Sorry, one second. 3129powers to allow effective searches in 993The isotre constitution of 514Constitution, this is the Constitution of 517responsibility. How the Provincial and 878Federal Governments' powers not their 779powers to allow effective searches in 999porvincial areas is a question of politics and 101011administration rather than law, By 111112Constitution, moto to act, experiment and 1413jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 151414Government with room to act, experiment and 1514 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>that speaks to you, who you are as a person.</td><td></td><td>MR. FREEMAN:</td></t<>	12	that speaks to you, who you are as a person.		MR. FREEMAN:
15Province thanks you.15representing the Attorney General of Canada and all of our clients, various departments.16MR. BUDDEN:16and all of our clients, various departments.18Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a18we have throughout, honoured to be able to participate and to hopefully positively20the same question of Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking 2019participate and to hopefully positively21Bedford, whoever makes the submission.21Ihaw provided, we have provided a written version essentially of what we 2424Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 2525Ihaw provided, we have provided a written version essentially of what we 2425sorry, one second.2say, given the number of people and 426follows, the very last section of it.2say, given the number of people and 427Canada, "describes the Federal and 67responsibility. How the Provincial and 73Tim just reading off a – yes, the 713uriten submissions, which have now been 66Provincial Governments 'powers not their 77Iagree with my friend, my learned 	13	The Province is blessed to have you as the	13	Q. Thank you, Mr. Budden. It's Mark Freeman
16MR. BUDDEN:16and all of our clients, various departments.17Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph. 1f I may, Mr.1718Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a18Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as19question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking19participate and to hopefully positively20the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.20impact on the recommendations that you are21Bedford, whoever makes the submission.21The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an23opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael23Thave provided, we have provided a24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the25sticking fairly close to the script, as they25conclusion of that is – I'll just read as25sticking fairly close to the script, as they26Page 50Page 521follows, the very last section of it.1say, given the number of people and3I'm just reading off a – yes, the3who have given us detailed instructions as4Constitution, this is the Constitution of4to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our5Canada, "describes the Federal and5marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and8Federal Governments use their constitutional8Federal Governments use their constitutional9powers to allow effective searches in10coastal areas is a question of politics and10reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should11 <td< td=""><td>14</td><td>Commissioner of this Inquiry and the</td><td>14</td><td>and Corinne Bedford and we're here</td></td<>	14	Commissioner of this Inquiry and the	14	and Corinne Bedford and we're here
17Q.Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.17Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as we have throughout, honoured to be able to participate and to hopefully positively impact on the recommendations that you are impact on the recommendations that you are creating.18Q.The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an 231022The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an 242123opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael 252324Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 252425conclusion of that is - I'll just read as 32526Page 5027Page 5028Page 5029Page 5020Page 5021follows, the very last section of it.23Sorry, one second.34Constitution, this is the Constitution of 445Canada, "describes the Federal and 666Provincial Governments 'powers not their 77responsibility. How the Provinciand and 986Federal Governments use their constitutional 990coastal areas is a question of policis and 1010coastal areas is a question of policis and 1111givest constitution provides each 1212providing a wide area of overlapping 1313jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1515cooperate."16I'm just wondering if you have any 1717comment on th	15	Province thanks you.	15	representing the Attorney General of Canada
18       Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a       18       we have throughout, honoured to be able to         19       question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking       19       participate and to hopefully positively         20       the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.       20       impact on the recommendations that you are         21       Bedford, whoever makes the submission.       21       creating.         23       opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael       23       written version essentially of what we         24       Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the       25       sticking fairly close to the script, as they         25       conclusion of that is – I'll just read as       25       say, given the number of people and         3       I'm just reading off a – yes, the       3       who have given us detailed instructions as         4       Constitution, this is the Constitution of       4       to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our         5       Canada, "describes the Federal and       5       marked, I believe, as an exhibit.         7       responsibility. How the Provincial and       7       I agree with my friend, my learned         8       Federal Governments' powers not their       7       I agree with my friend, my learned         7       responsibility. How the Provincical and       7       I	16	MR. BUDDEN:	16	and all of our clients, various departments.
19question for Mr. Ralph, and T'll be asking 2019participate and to hopefully positively impact on the recommendations that you are creating.21Bedford, whoever makes the submission. 230124Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 25211 have provided, we have provided a written version essentially of what we intend to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 2522125conclusion of that is - I'll just read as25sticking fairly close to the script, as they2Page 50Page 501follows, the very last section of it. 21say, given the number of people and departments who take this very seriously and who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, 1 believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and 97I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the lnquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 15congerate."15cooperate."16Firm just wondering if you have any 1716T'm just wondering if you have any 171717comment on that legal opinion or on that 151718conclusion generally with respect to your 18 <td< td=""><td>17</td><td>Q. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.</td><td>17</td><td>Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as</td></td<>	17	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ralph. If I may, Mr.	17	Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We remain, as
20the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.2021Bedford, whoever makes the submission.2122The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an2223opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael2224Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the2325conclusion of that is - 1'll just read as2526follows, the very last section of it.127Sorry, one second.23I'm just reading off a - yes, the34Constitution, this is the Constitution of55Canada, "describes the Federal and56Provincial Governments' powers not their67responsibility. How the Provincial and77responsibility. How the Provincial and78Federal Governments us their constitutional89powers to allow effective searches in910coastal areas is a question of politics and1011administration rather than law. By1112providing a wide area of overlapping1213jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each1314Government with room to act, experiment and1415cooperate."1516I'm just wondering if you have any1617comment on that legal opinion or on that1718conclusion generally with respect to your1819submission on the relative powers of the1910governments with regard to ground searc	18	Commissioner, I just had, I guess, a	18	we have throughout, honoured to be able to
21Bedford, whoever makes the submission.21creating.22The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an232323opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael232324Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the24and the25conclusion of that is - I'll just read as25sticking fairly close to the script, as they7Page 50Page 521follows, the very last section of it.2say, given the number of people and3I'm just reading off a - yes, the3departments who take this very seriously and4Constitution, this is the Constitution of4who have given us detailed instructions as6Provincial Governments' powers not their6marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and7I agree with my friend, my learned8Federal Governments use their constitutional8friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this9powers to allow effective searches in9provincial inquiry and for that10coastal areas is a question of politics and10reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should14Government with room to act, experiment and14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say15cooperate."15Government with regard to ground search and16I'm just wondering if you have any16Government with regard to ground search and17conclusion on the relative powers of the2020governments with regard to	19	question for Mr. Ralph, and I'll be asking	19	participate and to hopefully positively
22The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an Qinion from Adrian Ding and Michael 2322Thave provided, we have provided a written version essentially of what we intend to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 2524Page 5025Page 50Page 501follows, the very last section of it. 21Say, given the number of people and departments who take this very seriously and who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.3Provincial Governments' powers not their 77 responsibility. How the Provincial and 97 powers to allow effective searches in 99 powers to allow effective searches in 99 powers to allow effective searches in 99 provincial areas is a question of politics and 1110 administration rather than law. By 1111 administration rather than law. By 11 gurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1610 reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying 'the Province 13 gurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1610 rescue in coastal areas in particular. 21 rescue in coastal areas in particular. 2210 rescue in coastal areas in particular. 21 governments with regard to ground search and 22 submission.230 Rescue and the down't day the assign as yeign the province is familiar with the 232411 respect to the respect to your should seek to ask the government with regard to ground sear	20	the same question of Mr. Freeman or Ms.	20	impact on the recommendations that you are
23opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael2324Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the2425conclusion of that is – I'll just read as2526Page 5027follows, the very last section of it.12Sorry, one second.23I'm just reading off a – yes, the34Constitution, this is the Constitution of45Canada, "describes the Federal and56Provincial Governments' powers not their67responsibility. How the Provincial and78Federal Governments use their constitutional89powers to allow effective searches in1010coastal areas is a question of politics and1011administration rather than law. By1112providing a wide area of overlapping1213jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each1414Government with room to act, experiment and1515I'm just wondering if you have any1616I'm just wondering if you have any1617conclusion generally with respect to your18conclusion on the relative powers of the20governments with regard to ground search and21rescue in coastal areas in particular.22MR. RALPH:23Q.24opinion and we don't take issue with the24opinion and we don't take issue with the24opinion and we don't take issue with the <td>21</td> <td>Bedford, whoever makes the submission.</td> <td>21</td> <td>creating.</td>	21	Bedford, whoever makes the submission.	21	creating.
24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 2524intend to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they25Page 50Page 501follows, the very last section of it. 21say, given the number of people and departments who take this very seriously and to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should nost appropriately be directed to the should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1416I'm just wondering if you have any r comment on that legal opinion or on that ecolusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and rescue in coastal areas in particular. 2320We have several other suggestions in our submissions.23Q. Certainly the Province is familiar with the qopinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	22	The Inquiry, as you know, solicited an	22	I have provided, we have provided a
24Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the 2524intend to say here today. I will be sticking fairly close to the script, as they25Page 50Page 501follows, the very last section of it. 21say, given the number of people and departments who take this very seriously and who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and 97I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should 1110coastal areas is a question of politics and 1210reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should should seek to'' or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1512Province should seek to ask the 1616I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government with regard to ground search and rescue in coastal areas in particular. 2122MR. RALPH: 23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 242123Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 2421	23	opinion from Adrian Ding and Michael	23	written version essentially of what we
Page 50Page 501follows, the very last section of it.12Sorry, one second.23I'm just reading off a – yes, the34Constitution, this is the Constitution of45Canada, "describes the Federal and56Provincial Governments' powers not their67responsibility. How the Provincial and78Federal Governments powers not their69powers to allow effective searches in99powers to allow effective searches in910coastal areas is a question of politics and1011administration rather than law. By1112providing a wide area of overlapping1213jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each1314Government on that legal opinion or on that1416I'm just wondering if you have any1517comment on that legal opinion or on that1718conclusion generally with respect to your1819submission on the relative powers of the1919submission on the relative powers of the1920governments with regard to ground search and2021mescue in coastal areas in particular.2122MR. RALPH:2223Q. Certainly the Province is familiar with the2424opinion and we don't take issue with the24	24	Collins, which is at Exhibit 198, and the	24	intend to say here today. I will be
1follows, the very last section of it.1say, given the number of people and2Sorry, one second.2departments who take this very seriously and3I'm just reading off a – yes, the3who have given us detailed instructions as4Constitution, this is the Constitution of4to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our5Canada, "describes the Federal and5written submissions, which have now been6Provincial Governments' powers not their6marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and7I agree with my friend, my learned8Federal Governments use their constitutional8friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this9powers to allow effective searches in9being a provincial inquiry and for that10coastal areas is a question of politics and10reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should11administration rather than law. By11most appropriately be directed to the12providing a wide area of overlapping12Province primarily saying "the Province13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each13should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and14Government with room to act, experiment and17think that doesn't deny the ability to say15conperate."16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and17comments with respect to your18well. It's just a minor thing and we think19submission on the relative powers of the19 <td>25</td> <td>conclusion of that is – I'll just read as</td> <td>25</td> <td>sticking fairly close to the script, as they</td>	25	conclusion of that is – I'll just read as	25	sticking fairly close to the script, as they
2Sorry, one second.2departments who take this very seriously and3I'm just reading off a - yes, the3who have given us detailed instructions as4Constitution, this is the Constitution of4who have given us detailed instructions as5Canada, "describes the Federal and5written submissions, which have now been6Provincial Governments' powers not their6marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and7I agree with my friend, my learned8Federal Governments use their constitutional8friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this9powers to allow effective searches in9being a provincial inquiry and for that10coastal areas is a question of politics and10reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should11administration rather than law. By11most appropriately be directed to the12providing a wide area of overlapping12Province primarily saying "the Province13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each13should seek to or an equivalent phrase and14Government with room to act, experiment and14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say15comperate."15the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any17comment of that legal opinion or on that17comment on that legal opinion or on that17that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as18conclusion generally with respect to your </th <th></th> <th>Page 50</th> <th></th> <th>Page 52</th>		Page 50		Page 52
3I'm just reading off a - yes, the 43who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.4Constitution, this is the Constitution of 54to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and 87I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should nost appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1514Forvince frimarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1416I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.21MR. RALPH:22We have several other suggestions in our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the2424opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	1	follows, the very last section of it.	1	say, given the number of people and
4Constitution, this is the Constitution of 54to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and 87I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that 109powers to allow effective searches in 99910coastal areas is a question of politics and 11101011administration rather than law. By 1211most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province 1313jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1413Footenation of that nost appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province 1316I'm just wondering if you have any 1516I'm just wondering if you have any 1616I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and 1719submission on the relative powers of the 20 20 governments with regard to ground search and 21212223Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 23232424opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	2	Sorry, one second.	2	departments who take this very seriously and
5Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and 85written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.7responsibility. How the Provincial and 87I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this 99powers to allow effective searches in 1099being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should 1111administration rather than law. By 1211most appropriately be directed to the most appropriately be directed to the 1213jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1413should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1514I think that doesn't deny the ability to say 1516I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and 1718conclusion generally with respect to your 1818well. It's just a minor thing and we think 1919submission on the relative powers of the 209purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 2423We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	3	I'm just reading off a – yes, the		1 2 2
6Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and 86marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the 1210coastal areas is a question of politics and 1110reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the 1213jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1313should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1514I think that doesn't deny the ability to say 1516I'm just wondering if you have any 1715the Province should seek to ask the 1618conclusion generally with respect to your 1918well. It's just a minor thing and we think 1920governments with regard to ground search and 21202122MR. RALPH: 2322We have several other suggestions in our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 242324opinion and we don't take issue with the24	A		3	
7responsibility. How the Provincial and 87I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this 99powers to allow effective searches in 99910coastal areas is a question of politics and 11101011administration rather than law. By 12111012providing a wide area of overlapping 1312Province primarily saying "the Province 1314Government with room to act, experiment and 1414I think that doesn't deny the ability to say 1515cooperate."15the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and 1717comment on that legal opinion or on that 1817that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think 1919submission on the relative powers of the 2019that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.21MR. RALPH: 2322We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	4	Constitution, this is the Constitution of	4	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our
8Federal Governments use their constitutional 98friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this 99powers to allow effective searches in 109being a provincial inquiry and for that 			4	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our
9powers to allow effective searches in 109being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the 	5	Canada, "describes the Federal and	4 5	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been
10coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By10reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should11administration rather than law. By11most appropriately be directed to the12providing a wide area of overlapping12Province primarily saying "the Province13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each13should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and14Government with room to act, experiment and14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say15cooperate."15the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and17comment on that legal opinion or on that17that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as18conclusion generally with respect to your18well. It's just a minor thing and we think19submission on the relative powers of the19that that change would be beneficial for the20governments with regard to ground search and20purposes of this provincial inquiry. This21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their	4 5 6	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit.
11administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping11most appropriately be directed to the12providing a wide area of overlapping12Province primarily saying "the Province13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each13should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and14Government with room to act, experiment and14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say15cooperate."15the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and17comment on that legal opinion or on that17that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as18conclusion generally with respect to your18well. It's just a minor thing and we think19submission on the relative powers of the19that that change would be beneficial for the20governments with regard to ground search and20purposes of this provincial inquiry. This21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and	4 5 6 7	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned
12providing a wide area of overlapping12Province primarily saying "the Province13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each13should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and14Government with room to act, experiment and14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say15cooperate."15the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and17comment on that legal opinion or on that17that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as18conclusion generally with respect to your18well. It's just a minor thing and we think19submission on the relative powers of the19that that change would be beneficial for the20governments with regard to ground search and20purposes of this provincial inquiry. This21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional	4 5 6 7 8	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this
13jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each 1413should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and 1414Government with room to act, experiment and 1514I think that doesn't deny the ability to say 1516I'm just wondering if you have any 1715the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any 1716Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and 1717comment on that legal opinion or on that 1817that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as 1819submission on the relative powers of the 2019that that change would be beneficial for the 2020governments with regard to ground search and 2120purposes of this provincial inquiry. This 2122MR. RALPH:22opinion and we don't take issue with the 2423We have several other suggestions 24	5 6 7 8 9	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in	4 5 6 7 8 9	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that
14Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate."14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the15I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and comment in coastal areas in particular.14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your governments with regard to ground search and coastal areas in particular.16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should
14Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate."14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the15I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and comment in coastal areas in particular.14I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the16I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your governments with regard to ground search and coastal areas in particular.16Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the
16I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the 2016Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think 1919submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and 2117that that change would be beneficial for the 2020governments with regard to ground search and 2120purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province
16I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the 2016Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think 1919submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and 2117that that change would be beneficial for the 2020governments with regard to ground search and 2120purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the24We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and
18conclusion generally with respect to your18well. It's just a minor thing and we think19submission on the relative powers of the19that that change would be beneficial for the20governments with regard to ground search and20purposes of this provincial inquiry. This21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the
19submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and 2119that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the23We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the
20governments with regard to ground search and 2120purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.21MR. RALPH:21is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the 2423We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and
21rescue in coastal areas in particular.21is coming from our general suggestions in22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as
22MR. RALPH:22our submissions.23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the23We have several other suggestions24opinion and we don't take issue with the24there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think
23Q.Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the23We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This
24 opinion and we don't take issue with the 24 there. I won't go into detail. They're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and rescue in coastal areas in particular.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in
25opinion. So, I'm not sure exactly what you25mostly stylistic with respect to how things	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and rescue in coastal areas in particular. MR. RALPH: Q. Certainly the Province is familiar with the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions. We have several other suggestions
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Canada, "describes the Federal and Provincial Governments' powers not their responsibility. How the Provincial and Federal Governments use their constitutional powers to allow effective searches in coastal areas is a question of politics and administration rather than law. By providing a wide area of overlapping jurisdiction, the Constitution provides each Government with room to act, experiment and cooperate." I'm just wondering if you have any comment on that legal opinion or on that conclusion generally with respect to your submission on the relative powers of the governments with regard to ground search and rescue in coastal areas in particular.</li> <li>MR. RALPH:</li> <li>Q. Certainly the Province is familiar with the opinion and we don't take issue with the</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>who have given us detailed instructions as to how to proceed. So, I'll go right to our written submissions, which have now been marked, I believe, as an exhibit. <ul> <li>I agree with my friend, my learned friend, Mr. Ralph, with respect to this being a provincial inquiry and for that reason, the Inquiry's recommendations should most appropriately be directed to the Province primarily saying "the Province should seek to" or an equivalent phrase and I think that doesn't deny the ability to say the Province should seek to ask the Government of Canada to do X, Y or Z and that's, I think, what Mr. Ralph is saying as well. It's just a minor thing and we think that that change would be beneficial for the purposes of this provincial inquiry. This is coming from our general suggestions in our submissions.</li> <li>We have several other suggestions there. I won't go into detail. They're</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### Page 53

Verbatim Cour	t Repo	orters
	_	

	Page 53		Page 55
1	are referenced in the search and rescue	1	would say for the most part, in 2021, this
2	world and we'll let those speak for	2	system is working. The Canadian Armed
3	themselves and we've spoken to Inquiry	3	Forces responds affirmatively to virtually
4	counsel about those, and it seems like maybe	4	all of the Provincial humanitarian ground
5	those will be agreeable changes, but we'll	5	search and rescue requests, as you heard
6	wait and see. Referring to the Canadian	6	from Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. The MOU
7	military as Canadian Armed Forces, for	7	contemplated in that regard really may not
8	example, and referring to military search	8	change the functional status quo, I guess is
9	and rescue as MSAR I think may just be a	9	how I would put it. If the Canadian Armed
10	typo or something like that that'll be dealt	10	Forces are already responding affirmatively
10	with without issue.	10	to almost virtually all humanitarian
12	So, in terms of general suggestions,	12	requests, the MOU may not change that
12	really the biggest overhanging umbrella	12	functional status quo, but that's 2021 and
13	suggestion is that the recommendations, if	13	that's how things are $-$ we think things are
14	they were to speak in the language of the	14	working now.
1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		e
16	Province should seek to, with respect to	16 17	One issue in that recommendation number
17	their jurisdiction over ground search and		one that we wanted to raise was the idea
18	rescue, that would be very helpful, so thank	18	that Canadian Armed Forces would abandon an
19	you.	19	ongoing humanitarian GSAR mission for an
20	With respect to recommendation number	20	aeronautical search and rescue call as if to
21	one, the Government of Canada, obviously we	21	say it's like a light switch where if a call
22	saw the reference to an equal basis in that	22	comes and it has an airplane involved that
23	recommendation and we found that somewhat	23	CAF would just abandon a ground search.
24	unclear and we hope maybe that can be	24	It's much more nuanced than that and
25	clarified. Of course, when it comes to	25	Lieutenant Colonel Marshall did his best to
	Page 54		Page 56
	aeronautical and maritime search and rescue,	1	describe that and perhaps it just didn't
2	the Government of Canada is the lead. So,	2	come through, but once a GSAR mission is
3			-
1	it's difficult to say that Canada should	3	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian
4	support ground search and rescue in the same	4	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't
5	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and	4 5	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly
5 6	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really	4 5 6	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making
5 6 7	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to	4 5 6 7	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the
5 6 7 8	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue	4 5 6 7 8	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge.
5 6 7 8 9	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies.	4 5 6 7 8 9	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the
5 6 7 8 9 10	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are
$ \begin{array}{c} 5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read. For that purpose, I mean, the Province	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are they. It's a question of urgency and
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read. For that purpose, I mean, the Province at the moment provides its own air support	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are they. It's a question of urgency and location and mission and the resources. So,
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read. For that purpose, I mean, the Province at the moment provides its own air support for ground search and rescue, as we know,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are they. It's a question of urgency and location and mission and the resources. So, there is a thought process there. It's not
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read. For that purpose, I mean, the Province at the moment provides its own air support for ground search and rescue, as we know, through private contractors and CAF,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are they. It's a question of urgency and location and mission and the resources. So, there is a thought process there. It's not as simple as a light switch I would call,
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ \end{array} $	support ground search and rescue in the same way that it supports aeronautical and maritime search and rescue because really what Canada is doing when it comes to aeronautical and maritime search and rescue is running it as the lead agencies. So, we think this recommendation means to say that Canada should provide helicopter resources for GSAR whenever they're asked for and they shouldn't do a separate sort of humanitarian assistance assessment. I think that that's what this recommendation is really driving at. It's not meant to say that Canada should be the lead on all GSAR, although we were a little concerned that might be how it might be read. For that purpose, I mean, the Province at the moment provides its own air support for ground search and rescue, as we know,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	underway and CAF is participating – Canadian Armed Forces is participating, they won't simply disappear from that scene blindly without some triaging or decision making thought process being put into it by the officer in charge. So, imagine a scenario where the cormorant is about to lower a cable to hoist someone out of search and rescue provincially and an aeronautical call comes in. Well, it would never be that CAF would simply leave as the phone rings for an aeronautical search without more nuanced thinking and decision making as to what was the nature of what they were doing at the time and how many GSARs and/or nautical SARs are under go at the same time and where are they. It's a question of urgency and location and mission and the resources. So, there is a thought process there. It's not

	Page 57		Page 59
1	recommendation is that the Province	1	it's not really the mandate of the Inquiry
2	presently doesn't have sufficient owned or	2	to deal with those types of searches when
3	contracted air support for ground search and	3	the lead agencies are CAF or Coast Guard.
4	rescue and the Inquiry is suggesting that	4	So, the Inquiry appears to be
5	maybe the Province and Canada could arrive	5	recommending here what Canada should do and
6	at an MOU in that regard. However, we do	6	able to better respond to aeronautical or
7	note that the Inquiry heard evidence	7	maritime incidents when they need to call on
8	respecting commercial operators in the	8	GSAR. And this would be sort of like what
9	Province being able to provide contracted	9	happened in Makkovik when we had a downed
10	air support to the Province for ground	10	aircraft and CAF couldn't get there and
11	search and rescue and the Province is	11	needed the heroic efforts of ground search
12	responsible for ground search and rescue.	12	and rescue people.
13	So, if the Inquiry wants to recommend		We're not – Canada is not presently
14	that the Province improve its air support	14	encountering any difficulty engaging GSAR
15	for ground search and rescue, which makes	15	resources when needed, and so the MOU that
16	sense, then the focus shouldn't be so	16	maybe is contemplated here, we don't feel
17	limited as to just the MOU, potential MOU	17	would be necessary at this time. The
18	with Canada. You can never say, and Mr.	18	mechanisms – there are mechanisms in place
19	Blackmore's points are well taken, you can	19	for JRCC to call NLSARA and/or the Province
20	never say that an MOU will be struck. You	20	to get that or the RCMP for that matter, to
21	know, people can make best efforts to do so,	21	get ground support when needed, and those
22	but governments and politics and resources	22	mechanisms are functioning satisfactorily.
23	and things will play into that. And so, the	23	So number two, we would say respectfully, is
24	idea that $-$ if it's been identified that the	24	somewhat outside the mandate and we'd also
25	air support isn't sufficient, to just use	25	suggest maybe not necessary at this time,
	Page 58		Page 60
1	Page 58 the MOU as the only suggestion to the	1	Page 60 from our perspective.
1	the MOU as the only suggestion to the	1 2	from our perspective.
2	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully	2	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number
2 3	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say	2 3	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our
2 3 4	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada	2 3 4	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's
2 3 4 5	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private	2 3 4 5	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's
2 3 4 5 6	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put	2 3 4 5 6	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal
2 3 4 5 6 7	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that	2 3 4 5 6 7	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number two, we sort of – we see this one as almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well. So, we think that it's correct that the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number two, we sort of – we see this one as almost the flip side of the coin and appreciate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well. So, we think that it's correct that the public and possibly people in the system
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number two, we sort of – we see this one as almost the flip side of the coin and appreciate the gesture, although what we would say is in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well. So, we think that it's correct that the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number two, we sort of – we see this one as almost the flip side of the coin and appreciate the gesture, although what we would say is in respect of the opposite situation where it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well. So, we think that it's correct that the public and possibly people in the system aren't clear on how that works and so, we've
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	the MOU as the only suggestion to the Province may be leaving it not fully developed, I guess. Perhaps you could say to the Province "seek an MOU with Canada and/or contract provincially with private operators as necessary". Basically to put it another way, suggest to the Province that they improve their air support and then leave it to the Province to determine how best to do that and/or suggest menu options for them to pursue. So, that's with respect to recommendation number one. We really think that there's something there that can be developed and maybe if the Commissioner would consider our comments on that, we would appreciate it. With respect to recommendation number two, we sort of – we see this one as almost the flip side of the coin and appreciate the gesture, although what we would say is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from our perspective. In terms of recommendation number three, there's – we're – a lot of our clients are experts in this area and that's probably why they don't feel that there's uncertainty and confusion around coastal searches. They live this work every day and the system, again in 2021, they feel is working. We agree with the legal opinion, as Mr. Budden, has mentioned that this is an area essentially of cooperative federalism, where each of the respective governments has occupied the field, as they say in constitutional law, in a certain way and the field has been occupied as it has and that's the way things are working and we think they're working well. So, we think that it's correct that the public and possibly people in the system aren't clear on how that works and so, we've done our best to describe that aeronautical

	Page 61		Page 63
1	search and rescue is going to be involving a	1	is your coverage. By Coast Guard, here is
2	vessel and a Federal waterway and that's	2	your coverage" or how that all works. We
3	going to be led by Coast Guard. And then	3	think that – we agree that there may be some
4	anything else, anything that's not	4	lack of clarity there and we've heard that
5	aeronautical and maritime, is ground search	5	from NLSARA. We just wouldn't want this
6	and rescue.	6	recommendation to lead to RCMP being added
7	And the players who answer the calls	7	on to a search when it wasn't really their
8	and go out in the middle of the night have a	8	primary search, just to get the insurance
9	clear understanding of how this works, but	9	coverage. So, more clarity in that regard
10	perhaps if the Province were to produce a	10	would be helpful. So, recommendation number
11	document to educate the public or perhaps	10	six is a positive one overall.
12	the report itself will do that task, to	12	With respect to recommendation number
12	-	12	14, this is with respect to mental health
	educate the public as to how coastal		-
14	searches are organized, because we do feel	14 15	training for dealing with people who are
15	that they are working appropriately.		lost who may have mental health issues.
16	So recommendation number three, we	16	This hasn't really been the subject of much
17	think could – maybe not an MOU, but perhaps	17	exploration at the Inquiry, but the RCMP
18	just the report itself may do the work here,	18	does provide that sort of training already
19	which is to educate the public as to how an	19	to its members and so, while it may be
20	aeronautical search is run by and a maritime	20	helpful for NLSARA or other participants in
21	search is run by the Feds, the Federal	21	the system, we feel that that training that
22	departments that is, CAF and/or Coast Guard	22	RCMP is receiving with respect to dealing
23	through JRCC as the lead agency, and then a	23	with people with mental health issues is
24	ground search and rescue incident which is	24	presently working. But more training in
25	run by the police as the primary agency	25	these areas could never hurt, and so we
	Page 62		Page 64
1	consulting with the Province.	1	appreciate the gist of what's desired there,
2	So, that's recommendations one through	2	but we do want to say that the RCMP does
3	three. The next recommendation we wanted to	3	train its members dealing with people with
4	make a comment on is recommendation number	4	mental health issues who are involved in the
5	six.	5	justice system and/or who are lost.
6	Canada is, you know, mildly concerned,	6	With respect to $14.2 - so$ , that first
7	I would say that, about whether this	7	$\alpha = \alpha + $
8	•		comment was on 14.1. With respect to 14.2
	recommendation could be interpreted as	8	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner,
9	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by	9	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an
10	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a	9 10	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again
10 11	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't	9 10 11	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered
10 11 12	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the	9 10 11 12	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government
10 11 12 13	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be	9 10 11 12 13	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in
10 11 12 13 14	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in	9 10 11 12 13 14	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could
10 11 12 13 14 15	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack clarity and we agree that perhaps this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for what when it comes to the recommendations
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack clarity and we agree that perhaps this recommendation could ask the Province to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for what when it comes to the recommendations would be helpful and this is one where you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack clarity and we agree that perhaps this recommendation could ask the Province to advise NLSARA about their current coverage	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for what when it comes to the recommendations would be helpful and this is one where you wouldn't want there to be confusion in the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack clarity and we agree that perhaps this recommendation could ask the Province to advise NLSARA about their current coverage and maybe in an organized fashion say "if	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for what when it comes to the recommendations would be helpful and this is one where you wouldn't want there to be confusion in the middle of the night about who's getting the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendation could be interpreted as meaning that NLSARA are only covered by insurance if the RCMP are involved in a particular mission. And so, we wouldn't want to get in a situation by the recommendations where RCMP could be bootstrapped essentially to searches in order to make sure that that coverage is in place. But we agree with the thrust of this recommendation, which is that the present insurance coverage for NLSARA seems to lack clarity and we agree that perhaps this recommendation could ask the Province to advise NLSARA about their current coverage	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of your recommendations, Mr. Commissioner, respectfully Canada thinks that such an initiative should be clearly directed again toward the Province, and that may be covered by my earlier comments, but the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, maybe in consultation with the agencies, could determine how to assign such an individual, if such an individual is assigned to help with finding people who have mental health issues. So, just it would – important that the more clarity about who's responsible for what when it comes to the recommendations would be helpful and this is one where you wouldn't want there to be confusion in the

	$\mathbf{D}_{a} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$		$\mathbf{D}_{a} \approx 67$
1	Page 65	1	Page 67
	would be good to know that it's Provincial	1	with families, that wouldn't be something we
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	contract that's in place. This person will	2 3	would see as police, a police matter.
3	come and help and talk to the searchers as		Normally the RCMP's involvement ends when
4	needed then or how that might work. So,	4	the file is closed. So, a long-term follow-
5	just some more clarity in that area perhaps	5	up for mental health would be more of a
6	with 14.2.	6	provincial health care mandate, we would
7	With respect to recommendation number	7	say, with respect to recommendation number
8	15, and this is for the health, the mental	8	16.
9	health of the people participating in the	9	And really that is the sum of our
10	system more so, so the officers, NLSARA	10	comments here today, Mr. Commissioner.
11	members and things like that. Again, we do	11	Again, we are honoured to have been able to
12	<ul> <li>we want to make sure that the Inquiry</li> </ul>	12	participate. We hope that these comments,
13	understands that the police officers, while	13	which you'll receive in writing as well,
14	some of them you did hear from, have	14	will be helpful as you finalize your
15	experienced critical stress from their jobs,	15	recommendations which for the most part seem
16	they are receiving support and we've listed	16	that they'll be very beneficial for all the
17	some of the support that they do receive	17	parties involved.
18	here in our written submissions. So, the	18	And so, unless you have any questions
19	RCMP members themselves, while experiencing	19	for us, I'll conclude our submissions there.
20	trauma still from some of these searches, in	20	Thank you.
21	particular Winters, the search for Burton	21	MR. BUDDEN:
22	Winters, they are receiving support. But of	22	Q. That's it for the evidence here today, I
23	course, we'd support that funding from the	23	believe. If I may, Commissioner, if I may
24	Province for mental health services for	24	make a couple of comments. It's the last
25	NLSARA is a good idea and if NLSARA is not	25	day of the hearing and I would like to thank
	Page 66		
			Page 68
1	-	1	Page 68 everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure,
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	presently sufficiently covered in that	1 2	everybody on - the Commissioner, I'm sure,
2	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee	2	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my
23	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the	2 3	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically
2 3 4	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes	2 3 4	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major
2 3 4 5	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is.	2 3 4 5	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to
2 3 4 5 6	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP	2 3 4 5 6	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the
2 3 4 5 6 7	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when	2 3 4 5 6 7	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful.
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do that and I'm not sure on the RNC side how	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank counsel and Mr. Carter and Mr. Blackmore and
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do that and I'm not sure on the RNC side how that works obviously, and perhaps this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank counsel and Mr. Carter and Mr. Blackmore and Ms. Bradley and everybody else who has been
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do that and I'm not sure on the RNC side how that works obviously, and perhaps this is more directed to them, but we do have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank counsel and Mr. Carter and Mr. Blackmore and Ms. Bradley and everybody else who has been part of this Inquiry for the cooperation and
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do that and I'm not sure on the RNC side how that works obviously, and perhaps this is more directed to them, but we do have a policy in place about regular interactions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank counsel and Mr. Carter and Mr. Blackmore and Ms. Bradley and everybody else who has been part of this Inquiry for the cooperation and constant decency and spirit of collaboration
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	presently sufficiently covered in that regard, then more funding for employee assistance program or whatever the equivalent might be provincially makes sense, for recommendation number 15 that is. So, we think the police are – the RCMP anyway are in okay shape in that regard when it comes to taking care of the members. With respect to recommendation 16, the RCMP generally assigns a family liaison when a GSAR incident occurs and we do have RCMP policy in that regard. So, it's Exhibit No. 11 at the Inquiry here. It talks about how to designate a family liaison officer and communicate with the family. That said, there are times when those communications break down and we understand that that can be very painful for the families involved. So, but the RCMP does make an effort to do that and I'm not sure on the RNC side how that works obviously, and perhaps this is more directed to them, but we do have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everybody on – the Commissioner, I'm sure, will make his own remarks, but just on my own personal behalf. I was ironically somewhat the last person of the major players, as they then existed, to come to this Inquiry in that there was already – the Inquiry had been called and Mr. Williams, of course, had been engaged on a long-term basis with the Winters family, but Mr. Ralph and Mr. Carter and Mr. Freeman and others here had already been involved and had done a terrific amount of work and background work and I benefitted from, not just that, but from their willingness to help educate me in the early going especially and all of that was offered with real grace and spirit of cooperation, which I'm very grateful. And just on my own behalf, as this will be our final session, I would like to thank counsel and Mr. Carter and Mr. Blackmore and Ms. Bradley and everybody else who has been part of this Inquiry for the cooperation and

	Page 69		Page 71
1	extremely fortunate to have, Ruth Steele and	1	stronger for the submissions here today, I
2	Marcella Mulrooney.	2	believe, and also they will be stronger for
3	I've been involved in a number of	3	submissions that we had yet to receive when
4	commissions and I think this is the one,	4	we authored this two weeks ago, that for
5	even – it needn't have been this way because	5	weather and other reasons, it was simply not
6	it's – there's a horrible loss at the heart	6	possible to consult – to have some very
7	of this and other searches we looked at also	7	important consultations that have now taken
8	involve loss and heartbreak, but the parties	8	place.
9	involved, and especially the Winters family	9	So, I don't believe we're anticipating
10	and also the Sweetapple and Lovell families	10	a further round of draft recommendations.
11	and others rose above their personal traumas	11	There isn't time for that. But just as the
12	to act in a progressive and ultimately an	12	comments here today will inform the final
13	extremely respectful manner to all the	13	report and the final recommendations, so
14	players and that really made for an	14	will what we heard virtually from Mary's
15	experience that could have been very	15	Harbour and we heard in person from Nain and
16	confrontational and bitter and divisive. I	16	we will hear this week in Goose Bay and
17	think that it is instead something that	17	further consultations that we've had
18	hopefully will be positive for everybody	18	discussions around with other parties.
19	going forward, particularly for people who	19	So, yes, the answer is yes, I think
20	are yet to be lost and to go missing and	20	that I can say that the – what we heard in
21	hopefully be found.	20	Mary's Harbour, I think you may be referring
22	So, finally, I'd like to thank Mr.	22	to in particular, but other matters will
23	Commissioner for taking me on back in	23	inform the final report and final
24	January and whom I've had the pleasure of	23	recommendations.
25	working together in many capacities,	25	MS. PHILPOTT:
	Page 70	20	Page 72
1	including appearing before him for the first	1	-
			$O_{1}$ $O_{1}$ $O_{2}$ $O_{2$
			Q. Okay, thank you. COMMISSIONER
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	time 30 years ago, and working with you has	2	COMMISSIONER:
3	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank	2 3	COMMISSIONER: Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel,
3 4	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER: Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received
3 4 5	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up. MS. PHILPOTT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. I just had a question there from my client.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you. So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up. MS. PHILPOTT: Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank you, Ms. Philpott, and one I should have</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in writing. I would ask that people try to do</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank you, Ms. Philpott, and one I should have clarified. That was my oversight. We have,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in writing. I would ask that people try to do it in a timely fashion because we're very,</li> </ul>
3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank you, Ms. Philpott, and one I should have clarified. That was my oversight. We have, as I said at the very beginning, everybody</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in writing. I would ask that people try to do it in a timely fashion because we're very, very close to our final deadline.</li> </ul>
3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank you, Ms. Philpott, and one I should have clarified. That was my oversight. We have, as I said at the very beginning, everybody will have noticed that these draft</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in writing. I would ask that people try to do it in a timely fashion because we're very, very close to our final deadline.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>time 30 years ago, and working with you has been a pleasure, every minute of it. Thank you.</li> <li>So, on my behalf, I just – I did want to take the liberty of saying those comments and to thank everybody personally for the great help that's been extended to me. Okay. Any additional closing comments before I wrap this up.</li> <li>MS. PHILPOTT:</li> <li>Q. I just had a question there from my client. He was wondering if we can expect additional modified recommendations a result of the most – of the new presentations last week in Labrador where you had attended that NCC or Nain GSAR, I believe.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. Yes, that's a very good question and thank you, Ms. Philpott, and one I should have clarified. That was my oversight. We have, as I said at the very beginning, everybody</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>COMMISSIONER:</li> <li>Q. In a similar vein, I expect Federal counsel, you may want to look at a recently received exhibit, Cormorant midlife upgrade projects. So, if you want to put a Federal lens on that before things are all said and done, we'd be happy to receive that as well.</li> <li>MR. FREEMAN:</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We'll certainly review anything that you direct us to and respond as needed.</li> <li>MR. BUDDEN:</li> <li>Q. And I should also say that as these documents have been entered here today and we anticipate a couple of more that will be entered and there will likely be transcripts posted online. So, if parties feel the need to respond to those that can be done in writing. I would ask that people try to do it in a timely fashion because we're very, very close to our final deadline.</li> </ul>

	Page 73		Page 75
1	made your submissions today. It's very	1	prevent its reoccurrence.
2	clear that the level of advocacy that you	2	And you have all very seriously adhered
	• •	$\frac{2}{3}$	
3	bring to your area of responsibility is		to this Canadian institution of inquiries in
4	extremely high and it's appreciated by the	4	the way that you've presented yourselves and
5	Commission.	5	the position of the parties for whom you are
6	My thanks should go out to Ruth and	6	very able advocate. Without either of any
7	Marcella for the wonderful work they've done	7	of you having been participating, this would
8	since we began and we haven't forgotten	8	really have been an exercise of one hand
9	Richard Smith, who was contracted through	9	clapping. It would not be able to have been
10	the grace of the Province's counsel, and we	10	done without the kind of information each
11	certainly found the right individual to give	11	one of you have presented. So, we're very
12	us the necessary overall view of ground	12	grateful for the knowledge you're giving not
13	search and rescue, as well as what he	13	only to the Commission and my counsel, but
14	famously now refers to as interoperability,	14	also to the Province and the country as a
15	and without that interoperability	15	whole. Recognizing the value of
16	discussion, I think that there would be a	16	volunteerism, recognizing the value of the
17	lot missed on this Inquiry.	17	Federal constitutional arrangements we have
18	Absolutely this has been a learning	18	between the Province and Canada, and also,
19	experience for the Commission and for the	19	most importantly seeing that there are many
20	public in general. As Federal counsel	20	areas which allow both of these parties to
21	points out that the nuances of how the	21	work together to achieve a great nation.
22	ground search and rescue system works and	22	So, I want to say, in closing, that
23	how the Federal ground search and rescue	23	it's been a wonderful exercise. That we,
24	system works does have some areas where we	24	Mr. Budden and I, will try to stick to the
25	have to consider what happens between the	25	schedule that the Province has given us,
	Page 74		Page 76
1	two levels of organization and if we simply	1	apart from possibly a little delay, but
2	make a statement that there is one solution	2	hopefully I'll push him very hard and at
3	for both the Province and Canada, the	3	least try to get to that point.
4	nation, we're really missing out a lot of	4	So, thank you all. Thank you for your
5	what actually happens.	5	attendance, your participation and your
6	As for the generous comments you've	6	willingness to work for the Province and for
7	made about myself, I want to remind you that	7	the country. Thank you.
8	I'm handsomely compensated for what I do.	8	CLERK:
9	I do want to reflect on what is	9	Q. All rise. This Commission of Inquiry is
10	mentioned in the trilogy of works around	10	concluded. Thank you.
11	Canadian inquiries and in one of them, I	11	COMMISSIONER:
12	read from an author named Bessner &	12	Q. Thank you.
12	Lightstone who says "the uniquely Canadian	12	
13	model of investigating wrongs and developing		
15	solutions to public policy issues is done	15	
16	through the use of inquiries" and he talks	16	
17	about how counsel have to use their advocacy	10	
18	skills not to prove or disprove a criminal	18	
19	charge or to assign fault between civil	19	
20	litigants but – or in criminal cases to	20	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20\\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	criminal charges, and in case of the civil	20	
21 22	litigants, but as lawyers to study	21	
22 23	holistically how certain events transpired	22	
23	and to make meaningful recommendations to	23 24	
24	alleviate a particular problem and to	24	
	and that a particular problem and to	23	

November 15, 2021	verbatim Court Reporters
Page 77 CERTIFICATE	
I, Cindy Sooley, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of a hearing of the Public Inquiry Respecting Ground Search and Rescue heard on the 15th day of November, 2021 before Commissioner James Igloliorte in St. John's, NL, and was transcribed by me to the best of my ability by means of a sound apparatus.	
Dated at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador This 24th day of November, 2021	
Cindy Sooley DISCOVERIES UNLIMITED INC.	

-, -				- 1
A           Abandon - 55:18,           55:23           Abandoned - 42:5           Ability - 29:13,           52:14           Able - 1:10, 1:18,           2:13, 20:13, 21:3,           24:23, 37:16,           51:18, 57:9, 59:6,           67:11, 75:6, 75:9           Above - 69:11           Accessed - 32:22           Accomplished - 41           :22, 43:22           Achieve - 75:21           Acknowledge - 27:           4, 49:3           Across - 9:20,           16:20, 22:17, 31:7,           37:22           Act - 50:14, 69:12           Acted - 36:9, 37:9           Action - 4:18           Adapt - 2:13           Add - 32:23, 37:3           Added - 63:6           Additions - 39:5           Addressed - 39:2,           45:24, 45:25           Addressed - 39:2,           45:24, 45:25           Addressing - 38:1           9           Adduced - 37:24           Adhered - 75:2           Administration - 5           0:11           Adrian - 49:23           Advice - 43:5,           43:7,	Against - 13:16 Agencies - 3:16, 7:22, 11:20, 33:4, 33:5, 33:6, 35:19, 37:13, 46:10, 46:11, 46:17, 46:18, 54:9, 59:3, 64:14 Agency - 61:23, 61:25 Agenda - 44:19 AGM - 18:8 Agree - 22:20, 27:14, 52:7, 60:10, 62:17, 62:20, 63:3 Agreeable - 53:5 Ahead - 8:15, 9:8, 12:14, 12:20, 12:25, 17:15 Air - 15:7, 42:21, 54:21, 57:3, 57:10, 57:14, 57:25, 58:8 Airbase - 39:16 Aircraft - 10:21, 59:10, 60:24 Airplane - 55:22 Airport - 39:17 Alleviate - 74:25 Allison - 3:9 Allow - 27:11, 50:9, 75:20 Allowed - 31:2 Altogether - 20:11 Aluminum - 10:15 Ambulance - 11:17 Amidst - 41:20 Among - 14:13 Amongst - 34:3 Amount - 15:1, 41:10, 68:12 Analogy - 56:24 Analysis - 25:16 And/Or - 56:18, 58:5, 58:10, 59:19, 61:22, 64:5 Anderson - 18:4 Anticipate - 8:4, 29:25, 72:16 Anticipating - 71:9 Anyway - 17:16, 66:7 Apologize - 5:3 Apparent - 42:4 Appearing - 70:1 Appears - 28:1, 59:4 Application - 26:24	27:4, 28:13, 30:7, 58:17, 58:20, 64:1 Appreciated - 73:4 Approach - 47:18 Appropriate - 44:2 5 Appropriately - 52: 11, 61:15 Area - 20:7, 32:1, 34:7, 34:10, 34:12, 50:12, 60:4, 60:11, 65:5, 73:3 Areas - 14:12, 15:4, 28:12, 39:1, 50:10, 50:21, 63:25, 73:24, 75:20 Aren't - 60:21 Armed - 53:7, 54:24, 55:2, 55:9, 55:18, 56:4, 60:25 Arrangements - 75 :17 Arrive - 46:11, 46:18, 57:5 Aspect - 25:13, 29:10, 35:3, 43:20, 48:10 Aspects - 30:19, 35:24 Assembly - 45:9 Assessment - 15:2 3, 54:14 Assign - 64:15, 74:19 Assigned - 64:16 Assigns - 66:10 Assistance - 54:14 , 66:3 Associated - 48:9, 48:14 Association - 3:7, 11:13, 16:2, 37:15 Attempt - 45:1 Attenda - 24:5 Attendance - 76:5 Attended - 24:6, 70:16 Attorney - 51:15 Audit - 15:21, 15:23, 16:5, 16:7 Audits - 16:12 Author 74:12 Author 74:12 Authorities - 33:21 Authority - 27:5 Authority - 27:5 Authority - 27:5 Authority - 27:5 Authority - 27:5 Authority - 27:5	8 Autism - 64:25 Available - 29:23, 30:5, 30:16, 30:21, 35:9 Aware - 10:10, 24:8, 31:15, 31:21 Axe - 44:19 Back - 1:7, 14:10, 18:7, 20:14, 20:15, 21:14, 22:14, 23:16, 25:16, 69:23 Background - 68:1 2 Barry - 18:4, 18:7, 18:11, 18:14 Basis - 33:20, 53:22, 54:25, 68:9 Bay - 18:16, 39:16, 71:16 Became - 31:8 Bedford - 3:14, 49:21, 51:9, 51:14 Began - 73:8 Behind - 13:18 Believes - 41:21 Benefited - 68:13 Benefited - 68:13 Bermuda - 29:7 Bessner - 74:12 Biannual - 33:19 Big - 12:21, 13:5, 16:10, 17:14, 18:20, 20:5, 20:6, 30:6, 34:11, 35:2 Biggest - 29:12, 53:13 Binding - 27:6 Bit - 3:2, 11:22, 18:22, 19:3, 19:25, 20:8 Bitter - 69:16 Blackmore - 3:6, 9:1, 9:8, 9:9, 21:9, 32:8, 36:16, 68:20 Blatant - 29:16 Blend - 34:8 Blessed - 49:13 Blindly - 56:5	Boat - 10:15, 10:25 Boost - 19:18 Bott - 4:4, 21:19, 22:10, 23:11, 24:3, 25:21, 27:11, 32:14, 33:23, 36:23, 46:3, 47:10, 74:3, 75:20 Bradbury - 34:13 Bradley - 3:5, 3:18, 3:21, 5:1, 17:11, 34:13, 68:21 Branch - 41:3 Break - 66:17 Brief - 3:23, 22:8, 22:10, 38:13 Brought - 13:3, 18:9, 25:19, 34:15 Budden - 2:2, 2:3, 4:25, 5:19, 6:1, 6:11, 6:20, 7:3, 7:10, 7:18, 8:3, 8:18, 8:23, 13:13, 21:8, 21:13, 38:8, 38:14, 40:2, 40:7, 49:16, 51:3, 51:8, 51:13, 60:11, 67:21, 70:18, 72:13, 75:24 Budget - 1:24 Budgets - 47:11 Burden - 15:17 Bureaucracy - 19: 2 Burton - 22:19, 23:16, 25:9, 41:9, 41:18, 41:21, 43:21, 44:2, 48:21, 65:21 Burton's - 23:2 Busy - 10:12, 11:5 C C Ca - 9:14 Cabinet - 45:13 Cable - 56:10 CAF - 54:23, 55:23, 56:3, 56:13, 59:3, 59:10, 61:22, 62:25 Call - 11:11, 11:25, 18:6, 29:18, 55:20, 55:21, 56:12, 56:23, 59:7, 59:19 Called - 10:18, 11:18, 16:18, 19:18, 23:1, 35:17, 54:24,
61:5, 61:20	Appreciate - 27:1,	Authorizing - 26:1	Blow - 20:10	68:7

			1	
Calls - 61:7	44:3, 47:16, 50:23,	Collaboration - 68:	Committee - 16:11	Consider - 35:20,
Camo - 10:24	72:11, 73:11	23	Communicate - 66	39:7, 43:2, 45:16,
<b>Can -</b> 3:19, 9:1,	Challenge - 42:2	<b>Collins -</b> 49:24	:15	46:13, 58:16, 73:25
10:3, 11:12, 13:12,	Change - 46:21,	<b>Colonel -</b> 55:6,	Communication -	Considerable - 41:
14:10, 15:3, 15:4,	52:19, 55:8, 55:12	55:25	26:13, 33:2, 34:3	10
15:5, 15:9, 15:12,	Changes - 35:21,	<b>Come -</b> 5:9, 9:11,	Communications -	Consideration - 27
15:17, 18:23,	46:22, 53:5	10:2, 12:16, 13:19,	26:15, 36:14,	:11, 31:23
19:20, 19:21, 20:1,	Changing - 46:14	14:6, 18:1, 18:10,	36:23, 38:23, 66:16	Considered - 48:1
20:25, 23:17,	Charge - 56:8,	20:7, 20:21, 36:2,	Communities - 14:	0
25:20, 27:14,	74:19	42:11, 56:2, 65:3,	3, 28:7, 38:25	Considering - 9:5
28:25, 29:21, 32:11,	Charges - 74:21	68:5	<b>Community -</b> 2:10,	Considers - 42:23
48:8, 48:10, 53:24,	Chequebook - 14:	<b>Comes -</b> 12:14,	2:16, 6:3, 14:20,	Constant - 68:23
57:18, 57:19,	25	32:6, 32:13, 36:17,	22:16, 24:4, 26:1,	Constituted - 46:2
57:21, 58:14,	<b>Chose -</b> 43:9,	53:25, 54:7, 55:22,	26:3, 41:20, 42:3,	5
66:17, 70:13,	43:12	56:12, 64:20, 66:8	43:25	Constitutes - 26:2
71:20, 72:19	<b>Christmas -</b> 16:25,	<b>Coming -</b> 9:12,	Companion - 6:13	5
<b>Canada -</b> 3:15,	17:12	12:20, 13:22, 36:7,	Compensated - 74	Constitution - 50:4
7:22, 13:2, 15:25,	Churchill - 18:16	52:21	:8	, 50:13
16:2, 46:9, 46:20,	Circulate - 38:14,	Commander - 19:6	Competencies - 15	Constitutional - 50
50:5, 51:15, 52:16,	39:23	<b>Comment -</b> 40:18,	:25	:8, 60:15, 75:17
53:21, 54:2, 54:3,	<b>Citizens -</b> 3:10,	40:19, 45:19,	Competency - 15:2	<b>Consult -</b> 71:6
		45:20, 50:17, 51:1,	4	
54:7, 54:11, 54:17,	3:11, 8:10, 31:14,			Consultation - 30:
57:5, 57:18, 58:4,	33:24	51:4, 62:4, 64:7	Competing - 45:17	9, 46:9, 46:17,
59:5, 59:13, 62:6,	<b>Civil -</b> 15:7, 43:6,	<b>Comments -</b> 3:19,	Completely - 1:24	64:14
64:9, 74:3, 75:18	43:9, 43:16, 74:19,	3:23, 11:2, 37:23,	Completion - 38:7	Consultations - 2:
<b>Canadian -</b> 17:6,	74:21	38:13, 39:22,	Component - 4:9	23, 5:10, 5:14,
53:6, 53:7, 54:24,	Civilian - 39:17	40:17, 58:16,	Components - 4:1	71:7, 71:17
55:2, 55:9, 55:18,	Clapping - 75:9	64:12, 67:10,	0	Consulting - 62:1
56:3, 60:25, 74:11,	Clarified - 53:25,	67:12, 67:24, 70:6,	Comprehensive -	Contacted - 9:18,
74:13, 75:3	70:21	70:9, 71:12, 74:6	47:25, 48:14, 48:17	35:5
<b>Cancelled -</b> 12:19	<b>Clarity -</b> 62:20,	<b>Commercial -</b> 39:1	<b>Concern -</b> 28:12	Containing - 45:14
Canops - 16:19,	63:4, 63:9, 64:19,	8, 57:8	<b>Concerned -</b> 3:10,	Contemplated - 55
17:3	65:5			:7, 59:16
		<b>Commission -</b> 1:2,	3:11, 8:10, 33:24,	
<b>Can't -</b> 11:19,	<b>CLERK -</b> 1:1, 1:6,	2:6, 3:2, 8:5, 10:8,	54:18, 62:6	<b>Content -</b> 40:24
14:19, 23:15,	5:15, 5:16, 5:23,	17:23, 34:14, 73:5,	<b>Concerns -</b> 24:12,	<b>Context -</b> 45:17,
32:12, 35:13	6:8, 6:17, 6:25, 7:7,	73:19, 75:13, 76:9	24:16	47:8
Capacities - 69:25	7:15, 7:25, 8:20,	Commissioner - 1:	<b>Conclude -</b> 67:19	<b>Continue -</b> 15:15,
<b>Captured -</b> 24:13,	8:21, 76:8	3, 1:4, 1:5, 2:4, 9:4,	<b>Concluded -</b> 21:25,	37:16
24:15	Clients - 51:16,	18:23, 21:19, 23:8,	76:10	<b>Contract -</b> 58:5,
<b>Care -</b> 12:22, 66:8,	60:4	23:22, 24:2, 24:8,	Conclusion - 49:25	65:2
67:6	Climate - 28:5	25:5, 27:9, 29:11,	, 50:18	Contracted - 57:3,
<b>Carry -</b> 24:25,	<b>Close -</b> 2:1, 15:3,	35:22, 40:8, 42:1,	Conditions - 11:6	57:9, 73:9
44:13	16:25, 51:25, 72:22	42:12, 42:13,	<b>Conduct -</b> 32:18,	Contractors - 54:2
<b>Carter -</b> 68:10,	<b>Closed -</b> 67:4	42:18, 42:23, 44:9,	42:2, 42:6, 42:7,	3
68:20		46:7, 46:15, 48:23,	48:4	<b>Contrary -</b> 45:3
	<b>Closing -</b> 70:9,			
<b>CASARA -</b> 5:11,	75:22	49:6, 49:14, 49:18,	<b>Conducted -</b> 41:17	Cooperate - 50:15
5:20, 33:8, 35:5,	Coalition - 33:24	51:17, 58:15, 64:8,	, 49:6	Cooperation - 2:14
35:6	<b>Coast -</b> 10:18,	67:10, 67:23, 68:1,	Conducting - 48:9	, 27:3, 68:17, 68:22
<b>Case -</b> 28:20, 29:5,	10:21, 11:10, 17:6,	69:23, 72:2, 72:10,	Conducts - 47:3	Cooperative - 60:1
32:14, 74:21	18:12, 18:14, 34:1,	72:23, 76:11	Confrontational -	2
<b>Cases -</b> 25:14,	39:1, 47:12, 59:3,	Commissioner's -	69:16	Coordinating - 33:
30:25, 74:20	61:3, 61:22, 63:1	21:17, 22:10, 32:4	Confusion - 60:6,	17
Casting - 4:5	Coastal - 11:6,	Commissions - 69:	64:22	Coordination - 34:
<b>CBC -</b> 18:6	28:7, 50:10, 50:21,	4	Congratulations -	3, 39:11
<b>Certain -</b> 10:19,	60:6, 61:13	Commitment - 24:	3:24	Coordinators - 34:
15:1, 60:15, 74:23	<b>Cohesive -</b> 19:1	14, 25:25, 31:11	Consequence - 35:	1
<b>Certainly -</b> 32:23,	<b>Coin -</b> 58:20	<b>Committed -</b> 31:6	2	<b>Copy -</b> 16:3
	<b>Jun</b> = JU.20		<del>^</del>	

Core - 15:24, 15:25 Corinne - 51:14 Cormorant - 10:20, 12:5, 19:22, 56:10, 72:5	63:14, 63:22, 64:3 <b>Dealt -</b> 53:10 <b>Death -</b> 32:2, 41:8, 41:9 <b>December -</b> 16:23	Difficult - 20:8, 24:19, 54:3 Difficulty - 59:14 Diligent - 2:1 Ding - 49:23	60:13, 72:24, 75:10 <b>Earlier -</b> 13:13, 48:16, 64:12 <b>Early -</b> 8:8, 34:16, 68:15	66:4 <b>Essential -</b> 42:8, 43:19 <b>Essentially -</b> 51:23 , 60:12, 62:14
<b>Corrine -</b> 3:14	Decency - 68:23	Directed - 48:6,	Ease - 41:5	Established - 34:2
<b>Couldn't -</b> 59:10	Decided - 43:13	52:11, 64:10, 66:22	Economic - 35:2	5
<b>Council -</b> 2:10,	Decision - 56:6,	Director - 18:11	Edna - 33:10	Events - 25:7,
· · · · ·	· · ·			
2:16, 6:3, 41:1,	56:16	Disappear - 56:5	Educate - 61:11,	25:16, 36:24, 74:23
45:12, 45:15	Declined - 16:24	Discrimination - 1	61:13, 61:19, 68:14	Everybody - 1:7,
<b>Counsel -</b> 3:8,	Dedicated - 31:6,	3:16	Effective - 2:15,	1:10, 2:5, 10:4,
3:10, 7:11, 25:6,	31:25	Discussing - 24:10	50:9	13:21, 16:3, 19:3,
1		Discussions - 4:13		
53:4, 68:20, 72:3,	Dedication - 22:22,		Effectively - 41:2	21:14, 22:19,
73:10, 73:20,	25:25, 31:11	, 5:8, 17:8, 26:11,	Efficiency - 36:10	27:15, 33:16, 68:1,
74:17, 75:13	Defence - 26:18,	26:15, 34:22,	Efficient - 26:20	68:21, 69:18, 70:7,
Counterparts - 27:	27:7, 36:17	35:15, 71:18	Effort - 66:19	70:22
12	Deficiencies - 27:2	Dispatched - 10:20	Efforts - 26:5,	Everybody's - 33:1
Countless - 4:1		, 10:21, 10:22,		
	0, 31:3		29:14, 33:17,	
<b>Country -</b> 13:3,	Defining - 27:21	11:14	34:14, 39:9, 57:21,	<b>Everyone -</b> 23:19,
16:21, 22:18,	Definitions - 26:24	Dispatching - 12:2	59:11	48:19, 49:9
37:22, 75:14, 76:7	<b>Degree -</b> 35:17,	Disprove - 74:18	Electronic - 24:23	Everything - 15:19,
<b>Couple -</b> 9:15,	36:6	<b>Divisive -</b> 69:16	Email - 9:14	16:14, 20:9, 32:25
14:13, 31:15,	Delay - 76:1	<b>DND -</b> 19:17, 28:13	Emailed - 24:18	Evidence - 4:2,
· · ·		· ·		
67:24, 72:16	Delayed - 32:17	<b>Document -</b> 6:12,	Employed - 43:7	4:13, 37:24, 39:18,
<b>Course -</b> 53:25,	<b>Demands -</b> 45:17,	6:13, 6:21, 24:15,	Employee - 66:2	44:5, 57:7, 58:25,
65:23, 68:8	47:13	24:24, 61:11	<b>EMRIC -</b> 16:15,	67:22
<b>Cover -</b> 15:4	Demonstrate - 46:	Documents - 72:15	17:2	Examination - 38:2
<b>Coverage -</b> 14:12,	5	Doesn't - 16:8,	Encountering - 59:	2, 41:18, 43:20,
62:15, 62:19,	Demonstrated - 49	17:11, 33:8, 52:14,	14	43:21, 43:23, 47:4
62:22, 62:25, 63:1,	:8	57:2	Endorse - 32:4,	Examined - 48:13
63:2, 63:9	<b>Deny -</b> 52:14	Dollars - 14:24	35:24, 35:25, 38:17	<b>Example -</b> 27:23,
<b>Covered -</b> 1:13,	Department - 11:1	Domestic - 47:10	Ends - 67:3	39:15, 53:8
62:9, 64:11, 66:1	7, 21:5, 26:17, 27:7	<b>Don't -</b> 11:18,	Endure - 23:5	Executive - 41:3,
<b>Create -</b> 43:4,	Departments - 51:	13:10, 13:14,	Endured - 4:3	45:12, 45:15
43:13, 43:15	16, 52:2, 61:22	13:15, 16:5, 20:21,	Energy - 14:15,	<b>Exercise -</b> 75:8,
<b>Created -</b> 40:25,	<b>Deployed -</b> 28:11,	29:19, 29:25,	15:1	75:23
41:2, 42:14, 45:4	28:16, 30:21	35:17, 36:19, 40:8,	Engaged - 23:20,	<b>Exhibit -</b> 5:11,
Creating - 51:21	Deploying - 26:18	46:21, 48:7, 50:24,	30:17, 39:19, 68:8	5:18, 5:20, 5:22,
<b>Crews -</b> 26:1,	Deployment - 28:8	59:16, 60:5, 71:9	Engaging - 59:14	5:25, 6:6, 6:7, 6:10,
29:20	Describe - 56:1,	Doubt - 31:24	Enjoy - 31:20	6:19, 7:2, 7:6, 7:9,
<b>Criminal -</b> 74:18,	60:22	Downed - 59:9	Ensure - 23:3	7:17, 7:20, 8:2,
74:20, 74:21	Described - 42:16	<b>Draft -</b> 1:9, 3:20,	Enter - 5:3, 5:6,	49:24, 52:6, 66:12,
<b>Critical -</b> 65:15	Describes - 50:5	7:23, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10,	5:9, 8:25	72:5
Criticism - 36:21	Designate - 66:14	9:17, 17:25, 27:10,	ENTERED - 5:18,	<b>Exhibits -</b> 5:3, 5:6,
Current - 62:22	<b>Detailed -</b> 22:9,	35:23, 35:25, 36:11,	5:25, 6:10, 6:19,	8:25, 9:12
Curve - 4:23	24:9, 25:16, 52:3	38:19, 39:3, 40:14,	7:2, 7:9, 7:17, 8:2,	Existed - 68:5
	Determine - 58:9,	40:24, 45:2, 45:21,	72:15, 72:17	Exists - 26:11, 33:3
D	64:15	70:23, 70:25, 71:10	Entire - 21:23, 25:2	Expanded - 36:12
	<b>Develop -</b> 44:5	Dressed - 10:24	Entities - 40:12,	Expect - 70:13,
<b>D4H -</b> 17:2				
Dark - 29:18, 29:21	Developed - 58:3,	Driving - 54:16	44:21, 44:22	72:3
<b>Day -</b> 2:18, 5:13,	58:15	Drone - 32:9, 32:19	Entitled - 6:12,	Expenses - 30:3
	Developing - 74:14	Drones - 32:12	6:21	Experience - 69:15
5:14, 24:6, 43:8,	<b>Didn't -</b> 56:1	<b>Duck -</b> 10:25	Enunciated - 42:9	, 73:19
60:7, 67:25	Difference - 19:25	<b>Dwell -</b> 26:8	Equal - 53:22	Experienced - 65:1
<b>Days -</b> 8:14		20.0		
			Equipment 4.0	15
Deadline - 72:22	Different - 12:23,		<b>Equipment -</b> 4:9,	5 Experiencing 65:
Deadline - 72:22	<b>Different -</b> 12:23, 15:6, 17:8, 18:3,	E	35:11	Experiencing - 65:
<b>Deadline -</b> 72:22 <b>Deal -</b> 5:4, 59:2	Different - 12:23,			
Deadline - 72:22	<b>Different -</b> 12:23, 15:6, 17:8, 18:3,	<b>E</b> <b>Each -</b> 50:13,	35:11	Experiencing - 65:

		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Experiment - 50:14 Experts - 37:25, 43:11, 43:17, 60:4 Explain - 40:22 Exploits - 10:14 Exploration - 63:1 7 Express - 48:19 Expressed - 48:16 Expressions - 21:2 2 Extended - 70:8 Extensive - 32:10 Extent - 32:5, 38:5 External - 15:23, 16:5 $\boxed{F}$ Facility - 1:17 Fair - 41:7, 47:23 Fairly - 15:3, 19:21, 51:25 Falls - 11:4, 18:17 Families - 4:5, 17:19, 34:20, 36:14, 36:23, 37:11, 66:18, 66:24, 67:1, 69:10 Family - 3:9, 7:12, 21:23, 22:2, 22:12, 22:15, 23:5, 23:6, 23:8, 23:19, 24:10, 25:10, 25:22, 25:24, 26:4, 30:20, 37:20, 38:3, 41:20, 43:24, 48:21, 66:10, 66:14, 66:15, 68:9, 69:9 Famously - 73:14 Far - 17:24 Fashion - 62:23, 72:21 Fault - 74:19 Feasible - 14:2 Federal - 13:1, 17:4, 20:20, 27:1, 27:12, 27:18, 28:10, 34:6, 46:1, 47:2, 47:18, 47:19, 47:21, 50:5, 50:8, 61:2, 61:21, 72:3, 72:6, 73:20, 73:23, 75:17	61:14, 63:21, 72:18 Feels - 11:13 Fellows - 10:14 Felt - 22:4 FESNL - 26:17 Field - 31:17, 37:25, 60:14, 60:16 Fight - 37:16 File - 67:4 Final - 1:7, 5:7, 5:13, 9:7, 17:25, 30:12, 42:18, 45:7, 68:19, 71:12, 71:13, 71:23, 72:22 Finalize - 67:14 Finally - 41:14, 69:22 Financial - 16:7, 32:1 Find - 10:23, 20:4 Finding - 64:17 First - 17:14, 21:18, 23:20, 25:24, 36:17, 38:17, 45:5, 45:10, 56:25, 64:6, 70:1 Firstly - 3:4, 44:10, 70:25 Five - 19:23, 28:19 Fleet - 30:2 Flip - 58:20 Flown - 32:18 Fly - 2:8 Flying - 2:13 Focus - 57:16 Focused - 23:2 Follow - 15:24, 16:4, 66:25, 67:4 Followed - 26:14 Followed - 26:14 Follo	25:20, 27:25, 39:8, 69:19 Fought - 22:18 Found - 53:23, 69:21, 73:11 Four - 17:5, 19:15, 19:23, 23:21 Free - 44:13, 44:15 Freeman - 3:14, 49:20, 51:9, 51:12, 51:13, 68:10, 72:9 Frequently - 37:9 Friday - 13:6, 13:24, 16:22, 19:7 Friend - 52:7, 52:8 Fruition - 10:2, 22:14, 22:25 Frustrated - 19:4 Frustration - 11:22 Fully - 23:23, 32:4, 58:2 Functional - 55:8, 55:13 Functioning - 59:2 2 Funding - 12:10, 14:8, 14:23, 15:16, 31:25, 32:6, 38:19, 65:23, 66:2 Funds - 14:6, 20:21 Further - 2:23, 3:17, 31:22, 39:9, 71:10, 71:17 Future - 24:16, 24:25, 39:9 Gander - 19:7, 28:17 Gaps - 38:25 Gave - 19:16, 44:12 Gear - 20:3 General - 10:9, 28:3, 51:15, 52:21, 53:12, 73:20 Generally - 50:18, 66:10 Generous - 74:6 Geographic - 28:2 2, 39:13 Geographical - 28:2 2, 39:13	14:2, 14:15, 15:2, 18:23, 19:14, 19:20, 19:21, 20:3, 20:9, 20:12, 20:15, 20:20, 21:15, 28:11, 35:17, 37:17, 42:15, 43:5, 59:10, 59:20, 59:21, 62:12, 63:8, 76:3 <b>Gets</b> - 14:18, 19:3, 29:18, 44:21 <b>Gist</b> - 64:1 <b>Give</b> - 9:1, 31:23, 38:10, 44:17, 73:11 <b>Given</b> - 4:2, 22:9, 24:14, 28:22, 30:3, 32:17, 35:14, 45:7, 45:11, 52:1, 52:3, 75:25 <b>Glad</b> - 9:25, 20:24 <b>Go</b> - 4:15, 9:7, 11:16, 11:19, 11:25, 12:11, 12:12, 12:20, 14:10, 14:18, 15:6, 17:15, 18:2, 18:19, 21:4, 23:18, 25:2, 25:15, 29:21, 30:17, 35:22, 45:9, 52:4, 52:24, 56:19, 61:8, 69:20, 73:6 <b>Going</b> - 11:12, 12:5, 14:1, 14:3, 17:15, 20:14, 25:15, 26:8, 27:25, 30:1, 36:8, 46:4, 60:24, 61:1, 61:3, 68:15, 69:19 <b>Goose</b> - 18:16, 39:16, 71:16 <b>Got</b> - 12:12, 12:14, 16:9, 18:10, 18:21, 19:10, 19:13, 19:14, 19:22, 20:1, 20:2, 34:18 <b>Government</b> - 3:13, 3:15, 7:22, 10:9, 12:17, 13:1, 20:14, 20:2, 34:18 <b>Government</b> - 3:13, 3:15, 7:22, 10:9, 12:17, 13:1, 20:14, 20:17, 27:2, 27:19, 31:25, 32:3, 34:15,	46:9, 46:16, 46:20, 47:2, 47:19, 47:21, 50:14, 52:16, 53:21, 54:2, 64:12 Governments - 50: 8, 50:20, 57:22, 60:13 Governor - 41:1 Grace - 68:16, 73:10 Grand - 11:3 Grand son - 23:12 Grant - 19:8, 20:12 Grateful - 22:3, 24:1, 25:4, 27:3, 68:17, 75:12 Greater - 23:3 Grind - 44:19 Ground - 2:21, 25:22, 29:20, 33:6, 39:10, 42:20, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 43:6, 47:22, 48:1, 48:4, 48:6, 50:20, 53:17, 54:4, 54:22, 55:4, 55:23, 57:3, 57:10, 57:12, 57:15, 59:11, 59:21, 61:5, 61:24, 73:12, 73:22, 73:23 Group - 3:10, 16:18, 38:11 Groups - 33:16, 33:19, 38:20 Grown - 37:21 GSAR - 2:25, 10:10, 12:2, 16:21, 26:1, 26:13, 26:16, 38:20, 38:21, 38:24, 46:10, 46:17, 54:12, 54:17, 55:19, 56:2, 59:8, 59:14, 66:11, 70:17 Gsarinquiry - 9:14 Gsars - 56:18 Guard - 10:18, 10:22, 11:10, 17:6, 34:1, 47:12, 59:3, 61:3, 61:22, 63:1 Guess - 21:3, 22:13, 30:12, 35:3,
47:21, 50:5, 50:8, 61:2, 61:21, 72:3, 72:6, 73:20, 73:23,	Formal - 22:1, 36:19, 37:6 Format - 31:1, 34:9	<b>Generous -</b> 74:6 <b>Geographic -</b> 28:2 2, 39:13	, 3:15, 7:22, 10:9, 12:17, 13:1, 20:14, 20:17, 27:2, 27:19,	34:1, 47:12, 59:3, 61:3, 61:22, 63:1 <b>Guess -</b> 21:3,
		-		

	<b></b>	1	V	
н	Heroic - 59:11	21:10, 25:1, 25:15,	48:12, 48:13, 48:18, 48:20,	<b>Items -</b> 30:6, 30:7,
Half - 11:5	<b>High -</b> 73:4	34:13, 36:1, 46:3,	, ,	36:3
Hand - 2:2, 75:8	Higher - 12:1	50:3, 50:16, 50:25,	48:24, 49:2, 49:3,	<b>It'll -</b> 10:3
Hands - 21:17	Highlight - 26:9,	51:9, 66:20, 68:1,	49:9, 49:11, 49:14,	<b>It's -</b> 4:23, 10:12,
Handsomely - 74:8	29:24	68:17, 74:8	49:22, 52:9, 52:20,	10:14, 11:5, 11:11,
	Highlighted - 27:8,	Imagine - 44:4,	53:3, 57:4, 57:7,	13:19, 14:2, 14:3,
<b>Happy -</b> 1:9, 12:10,	27:24, 29:11, 30:14,	56:9	57:13, 58:24, 59:1,	16:7, 17:1, 18:20,
17:23, 28:14, 72:8	33:2, 35:24, 36:5	Immediately - 36:2	59:4, 63:17, 65:12,	18:24, 19:2, 19:11,
Harbour - 2:9, 8:6,	Highlights - 36:1	4, 37:10	66:13, 68:6, 68:7,	19:21, 21:2, 21:4,
71:15, 71:21	Highline - 19:10,	Impact - 47:21,	68:22, 73:17, 76:9	24:19, 30:13,
Hard - 10:23, 44:3,	19:17	51:20	Inquiry's - 15:16,	31:13, 37:21, 41:7,
76:2	Highly - 31:5,	Impactful - 42:10	52:10	41:24, 44:3, 44:25,
Harmonize - 39:10	35:12	Impacts - 4:3	Institution - 75:3	46:24, 51:2, 51:13,
Harry - 3:5, 5:3	Hoist - 56:10	Impartial - 41:12	Instructions - 52:3	52:18, 54:3, 54:16,
Harsh - 28:5	Holding - 13:14	Implementing - 4:1	Insurance - 12:15,	55:21, 55:24,
<b>Hasn't -</b> 58:25,	Holistically - 74:23	9, 35:21	12:21, 13:5, 62:10,	56:20, 56:22,
63:16	Home - 24:4	Important - 4:6,	62:19, 63:8	57:24, 58:22, 59:1,
Haven't - 20:17,	Honoured - 51:18,	4:9, 22:7, 25:10,	Insurances - 11:21	60:19, 65:1, 66:12,
73:8	67:11	36:15, 36:22, 37:5,	Intend - 3:3, 40:21,	67:24, 69:6, 73:1,
Health - 3:5, 3:25,	Hope - 4:15, 20:25,	38:18, 39:7, 44:7,	51:24	73:4, 75:23
4:3, 4:8, 4:10, 4:17,	23:16, 53:24, 67:12	64:18, 71:7	Intending - 25:2	<b>I've -</b> 8:19, 21:24,
4:21, 17:7, 17:9,	Hopefully - 1:24,	Importantly - 41:19	Intent - 11:9, 35:9	35:23, 69:3, 69:24
34:12, 34:22,	12:15, 13:8, 14:5,	, 75:19	Intention - 2:8	, ,
38:21, 63:13,	15:15, 18:2, 20:12,	Impossible - 14:21	Intentional - 29:6	J
63:15, 63:23, 64:4,	20:18, 21:15, 38:1,	, 14:22	Interaction - 17:19,	
64:17, 65:8, 65:9,	51:19, 69:18,	Improve - 27:13,	17:20	Jacque - 24:18
65:24, 67:5, 67:6	69:21, 76:2	33:21, 57:14, 58:8	Interactions - 66:2	James - 1:3
Hear - 1:18, 2:9,	Hoping - 12:19	Improved - 23:17	3	January - 22:14,
8:12, 17:11, 39:17,	Horrible - 69:6	Improvement - 27:	Interesting - 41:24	22:24, 25:17, 69:24
65:14, 71:16	Horsepower - 10:1	16	International - 47:1	Jobs - 65:15
<b>Heard -</b> 2:16, 2:19,	6	Improvements - 23	0	<b>Johannes -</b> 2:20,
4:1, 11:3, 17:24,	Hours - 19:15,	:13, 27:15, 37:17	Interoperability - 7	6:22
20:17, 55:5, 57:7,	19:23, 28:19	Incidents - 26:25,	3:14, 73:15	<b>John's -</b> 18:9, 24:6, 32:10
58:25, 63:4, 71:14,	House - 45:9	27:22, 59:7, 66:24	Interplay - 33:5	
71:15, 71:20	Housekeeping - 1:	Independence - 43	Interpret - 44:11,	<b>Join -</b> 13:12, 13:17, 15:5
Hearing - 3:4, 5:9,	15, 3:18, 5:2	:18	44:13	<b>Jones -</b> 2:17, 8:5
40:12, 42:7, 67:25	Huge - 4:23	Indian - 11:2	Interpreted - 62:8	<b>JRCC -</b> 59:19,
Hearings - 22:1,	Humanitarian - 12:	Influence - 45:1	Interview - 18:5	61:23
35:6, 42:2, 42:6 Hears - 9:5	25, 54:14, 54:25,	Initiated - 26:14	Investigating - 74:	June - 20:15
Heart - 69:6	55:4, 55:11, 55:19	Initiative - 64:10	14	Jurisdiction - 34:6,
	Hundreds - 36:7	Injured - 19:20	Invite - 16:21	34:7, 46:3, 47:17,
Heartbreak - 69:8 Heeded - 4:17	Hunters - 31:19	<b>Innu -</b> 2:25	Involve - 69:8	48:12, 50:13, 53:17
Held - 18:8	Hunting - 10:25	Inquiries - 74:11,	Involvement - 34:1	Jurisdictional - 34:
	Hurt - 12:3, 19:12,	74:16, 75:3	8, 67:3	5
Helicopter - 2:12, 19:15, 30:2, 54:11	63:25	<b>Inquiry -</b> 1:2, 3:24,	Ironically - 68:3	Justice - 21:5,
Helicopters - 7:5,		10:12, 22:18,	<b>Isn't -</b> 57:25, 71:11	45:8, 64:5
19:11, 20:5, 20:6		22:20, 22:25,	Isolated - 37:19	Justification - 31:1
Help - 13:4, 15:17,	<b>I'd -</b> 21:18, 41:13,	23:24, 27:5, 34:17,	<b>Issue -</b> 12:25, 13:5,	6
35:13, 35:16,	43:15, 49:11, 69:22	34:18, 34:24,	17:14, 30:12,	Justly - 49:7
64:16, 64:24, 65:3,	<b>Identified -</b> 14:16,	36:22, 37:3, 37:18,	36:13, 48:8, 50:24,	
68:14, 70:8	57:24	39:17, 39:24,	53:11, 55:16	K
Helped - 26:4	Igloliorte - 1:3	40:13, 40:25, 41:2,	<b>Issues -</b> 2:12,	
Helpful - 51:4,	<b>I'II -</b> 1:21, 2:2, 22:8,	41:8, 41:17, 41:22,	24:15, 26:7, 26:12,	Keepsake - 24:20 Kick - 11:21
53:18, 63:10,	33:9, 49:19, 49:25,	42:14, 42:16, 43:5, 43:14, 43:16, 44:8,	27:20, 28:2, 29:12, 35:1, 63:15, 63:23,	Knowledge - 75:12
63:20, 64:21, 67:14	51:11, 52:4, 67:19,	45:4, 46:25, 47:6,	64:4, 64:18, 74:15	Knows - 23:19
Hereby - 1:22	76:2	47:7, 47:17, 47:23,	<b>Item -</b> 32:25, 34:12	Kudos - 18:25
Here's - 62:24	<b>l'm -</b> 4:11, 8:14,	,,,,		

,,				
	Listed - 65:16 Listened - 22:6,	40:23, 47:1, 51:10, 56:6, 56:16	17:7, 34:12, 34:22, 38:20, 63:13,	55:24, 63:16 <b>Mulrooney -</b> 69:2
Lab - 18:16		-		
Labrador - 2:7, 3:7,	44:4	Makkovik - 24:4,	63:15, 63:23, 64:4,	
3:13, 9:21, 10:11,	Listening - 44:1	25:6, 30:13, 41:19,	64:17, 65:8, 65:24,	N
14:17, 18:6, 18:8,	Litigants - 74:20,	42:7, 43:23, 59:9	67:5	Nain - 2:18, 2:21,
	74:22	<b>Mandate -</b> 42:8,	<b>Menu -</b> 58:10	6:23, 70:17, 71:15
18:12, 18:13,	Lobbying - 33:20	44:12, 44:14, 59:1,	<b>Merv -</b> 33:24	
22:16, 26:23, 28:2,	Local - 26:6	59:24, 67:6	Message - 35:14	Named - 74:12
28:3, 28:23, 28:25,	Location - 28:22,	Mandated - 27:14,	Met - 2:6, 18:5,	Natalie - 24:17
38:23, 39:1, 39:14,	56:21	47:6	19:5	<b>Nation -</b> 2:25, 74:4,
40:10, 46:8, 46:16,	Logistical - 42:2	Manners - 27:8	Michael - 49:23	75:21
64:13, 70:16	Long - 28:21,	Manuals - 27:18	Midlife - 72:5	National - 15:25,
Lack - 33:2, 39:12,				26:17, 27:7
62:19, 63:4	66:25, 67:4, 68:8	<b>Many -</b> 42:4, 45:17,	Mildly - 62:6	Natuashish - 3:1,
Lag - 28:11	Look - 15:2, 25:12,	48:25, 56:18,	<b>Military -</b> 39:16,	13:22
Laid - 16:1	26:4, 28:24, 30:8,	69:25, 75:19	47:12, 53:7, 53:8	Naturally - 12:11
Lake - 11:3	32:7, 34:11, 46:4,	Marcella - 69:2,	Million - 14:24	Nautical - 56:18
	47:24, 72:4	73:7	Minister - 45:8,	<b>NCC -</b> 6:15, 70:16
Lampe - 2:20, 6:22	Looked - 13:9,	Marine - 26:25,	45:11	
Language - 53:15	17:1, 27:25, 69:7	27:22, 33:7, 47:4,	Ministers - 12:1	<b>Necessary -</b> 42:23,
Large - 22:16,	Looking - 17:25,	47:9, 47:14, 47:24	Minor - 52:18	43:3, 54:25, 58:6,
39:13	36:6, 47:8, 47:11,	<b>Maritime -</b> 39:11,	Miracle - 10:3	59:17, 59:25, 73:12
Late - 5:8, 7:5	47:13	54:1, 54:6, 54:8,	Missed - 8:20,	<b>Needed -</b> 37:17,
Later - 2:24, 41:15	<b>Loss -</b> 22:18, 23:2,	58:24, 59:7, 60:25,	73:17	39:2, 59:11, 59:15,
Lawyers - 74:22				59:21, 65:4, 72:12
Lead - 54:2, 54:9,	23:11, 69:6, 69:8	61:5, 61:20	<b>Missing -</b> 37:12,	Needn't - 69:5
54:17, 59:3, 61:23,	<b>Lost -</b> 63:15, 64:5,	Mark - 3:14, 51:13	69:20, 74:4	<b>New -</b> 19:6, 37:3,
63:6	69:20	<b>MARKED -</b> 5:18,	Mission - 37:19,	70:15
Leads - 45:18	Lot - 12:22, 14:24,	5:25, 6:10, 6:19,	55:19, 56:2, 56:21,	Newfoundland - 3:
	19:14, 20:25,	7:2, 7:9, 7:17, 8:2,	62:11	6, 3:13, 10:11,
<b>Learned -</b> 44:3,	24:22, 31:18,	52:6	Missions - 26:14,	37:14, 40:10, 46:8,
52:7	31:19, 31:20,	Marshall - 55:6,	32:16	46:16, 64:13
Learning - 4:23,	33:13, 37:20, 44:3,	55:25	Model - 74:14	
73:18	60:3, 73:17, 74:4	Mary's - 2:9, 8:6,	Modified - 70:14	NG - 2:20
Leave - 56:14, 58:9	Louise - 3:5, 34:13,	71:14, 71:21	Monday - 6:6, 8:6	Nice - 21:14
Leaving - 58:2	34:15	Masks - 1:17	<b>Money -</b> 14:15,	<b>NIF -</b> 19:8, 20:12,
Led - 60:25, 61:3	Loved - 41:20	Matters - 3:18,	30:6, 32:25, 34:11	20:19
<b>Legal -</b> 47:8, 50:17,	Lovell - 69:10	71:22	<b>Month -</b> 12:20,	Night - 10:20, 61:8,
60:10	Lower - 56:10	Meaningful - 74:24	18:1	64:23
Lens - 72:6				Nighttime - 19:25,
Leonardo - 7:4	Luck - 10:5	<b>Means -</b> 24:22,	Morning - 7:21,	29:14
Letter - 19:16		24:25, 54:10	20:2, 21:13, 40:7	Nine - 19:6
Level - 12:1, 31:11,	M	<b>Meant -</b> 36:20,	Mothership - 10:22	NLSARA - 35:12,
73:2	Madame - 1:6,	37:20, 54:16	Motor - 10:16	48:6, 48:8, 48:13,
Levels - 74:1	5:15, 8:20	Mechanisms - 59:1	<b>MOU -</b> 10:4, 18:23,	59:19, 62:9, 62:19,
Liaison - 66:10,	Main - 43:15	8, 59:22	18:24, 55:6, 55:12,	62:22, 63:5, 63:20,
	Mainly - 17:21	Meet - 33:19	57:6, 57:17, 57:20,	65:10, 65:25
66:14	Maintenance - 29:	Meeting - 2:15,	58:1, 58:4, 59:15,	Nobody - 23:17
Liberty - 70:6		12:17, 16:23, 23:22	61:17	
Lieutenant - 41:1,	4, 29:7	Meetings - 11:25,	Mountains - 12:5	Normally - 67:3
55:6, 55:25	<b>Major -</b> 34:23, 68:4	13:6	Move - 17:5	North - 18:12, 28:6
Lift - 20:1	Make - 1:11, 1:21,	Member - 45:12	Moving - 13:7,	Northern - 39:14
Light - 4:5, 25:19,	2:11, 13:11, 16:13,	Members - 2:25,	18:17, 39:7	<b>Note -</b> 9:3, 25:24,
32:13, 35:7, 55:21,	20:8, 21:6, 21:10,	13:17, 35:5, 63:19,	<b>MP -</b> 2:17, 8:5	41:24, 57:7
56:23	22:22, 27:6, 40:4,	64:3, 65:11, 65:19,	<b>MSAR -</b> 46:10,	Noted - 26:10,
Lightstone - 74:13	40:14, 42:22, 43:2,		-	36:16
Limited - 29:21,	44:15, 48:2, 57:21,	66:8	46:18, 53:9	Notes - 6:22
36:5, 57:17	62:4, 62:15, 65:12,	Memorandum - 46:	Much - 1:6, 3:22,	Notified - 12:9
Line - 36:17	66:19, 67:24, 68:2,	11, 46:19	4:20, 4:24, 9:3,	Nuanced - 55:24,
Lines - 26:12	74:2, 74:24	<b>Mental -</b> 3:4, 3:25,	15:12, 18:20, 21:6,	56:15
List - 36:2	<b>Making -</b> 4:8, 10:8,	4:2, 4:8, 4:16, 4:21,	21:9, 41:11, 41:14,	Nuances - 73:21
L	1			

				I
Nunatukavut - 2:9,	<b>Opposite -</b> 58:22	6:24, 7:5, 9:14,	<b>Play -</b> 57:23	<b>Private -</b> 30:4,
6:3	Optimistic - 1:23	13:6	<b>Players -</b> 61:7,	30:10, 54:23, 58:5
	<b>Options -</b> 58:10	Paying - 15:18	68:5, 69:14	<b>Problem -</b> 15:10,
0	<b>Oral -</b> 8:12	<b>People -</b> 10:19,	Playing - 33:12	74:25
	Ordeal - 24:21	10:23, 12:3, 15:5,	Pleased - 36:5,	Problems - 13:25
Occasion - 6:15,				
32:8	<b>Order -</b> 40:25,	15:8, 16:12, 17:8,	39:2	<b>Proceed -</b> 3:3, 52:4
Occasions - 23:15,	43:5, 62:15	17:9, 17:12, 19:5,	Pleasure - 4:22,	Proceedings - 23:2
23:23	Organization - 11:	31:20, 33:23, 35:3,	69:24, 70:3	4
Occupied - 60:14,	15, 38:24, 42:19,	35:11, 42:5, 44:1,	Points - 31:2,	Produce - 61:10
60:16	74:1	44:21, 44:22, 52:1,	57:19, 73:21	<b>Program -</b> 20:20,
Occurs - 66:11	Organized - 61:14,	57:21, 59:12,	<b>Police -</b> 11:16,	66:3
Offer - 39:22	62:23	60:20, 63:14,	17:20, 17:21,	Progressive - 69:1
	Otherwise - 14:8	63:23, 64:3, 64:17,	26:16, 33:5, 33:6,	2
Offered - 68:16	<b>Ottawa -</b> 13:1	65:9, 69:19, 72:20	34:21, 36:18,	Project - 30:9
Office - 8:7	Ourselves - 16:10	Percent - 11:7,	37:13, 61:25,	Projects - 72:5
Officer - 56:8,	Outdoors - 31:21	13:18	65:13, 66:6, 67:2	Promoting - 38:24
66:14	Outdoorsmen - 31:	<b>Perhaps -</b> 3:18,	Policies - 36:19	Proper - 29:22
Officers - 26:6,	19	5:4, 8:25, 29:12,	Policies' - 47:21	Properly - 1:19
65:10, 65:13	Outline - 25:1	33:19, 41:15, 42:3,	Policing - 46:10,	Proposed - 39:5
Officially - 22:25			-	Protocols - 30:23
Often - 44:20	Outset - 23:10,	56:1, 58:3, 61:10,	46:17, 48:11	
O'keefe - 1:11	25:11 <b>2</b>	61:11, 61:17, 62:20,	<b>Policy -</b> 30:15,	<b>Provide -</b> 24:24,
<b>One -</b> 1:15, 1:21,	Overhanging - 53:	65:5, 66:21	30:22, 35:4, 47:3,	39:25, 40:12, 43:7,
4:8, 5:1, 6:2, 7:19,	13	Period - 28:21	47:20, 66:12,	43:12, 54:11, 57:9,
11:11, 11:15, 11:20,	Overlapping - 50:1	<b>Person -</b> 10:23,	66:23, 74:15	63:18
12:4, 12:18, 12:19,	2	14:9, 16:5, 19:19,	<b>Politics -</b> 50:10,	<b>Provided -</b> 24:3,
13:18, 14:9, 14:17,	Overlooked - 5:1,	49:12, 64:24, 65:2,	57:22	51:22
14:19, 15:3, 16:10,	21:11	68:4, 71:15	Portions - 27:17	<b>Provides -</b> 50:13,
16:16, 17:3, 17:4,	Overnight - 20:1	Personal - 68:3,	<b>Position -</b> 48:2,	54:21
17:16, 18:13,	Oversight - 70:21	69:11	75:5	Providing - 50:12
	<b>Own -</b> 27:2, 28:5,	Personally - 48:23,	<b>Positive -</b> 63:11,	<b>Province -</b> 9:21,
18:15, 27:16,	30:2, 33:21, 35:11,	70:7	69:18	22:17, 24:2, 29:13,
29:12, 30:19,	54:21, 68:2, 68:3,	Personnel - 38:22	Positively - 51:19	30:1, 30:8, 31:7,
32:25, 37:19, 39:8,	68:18	Persons - 37:12	Posted - 72:18	31:14, 32:13,
40:18, 42:10,	Owned - 57:2	Perspective - 60:1	Potential - 57:17	37:21, 39:19,
45:19, 45:20, 46:4,		Peter - 3:12, 40:9	<b>Power -</b> 21:3	40:10, 40:16,
46:6, 49:4, 50:2,	Р	Phenomenal - 26:2	Powerpoint - 5:12	40:22, 41:5, 41:21,
53:21, 55:16,		<b>Philpott -</b> 3:9, 8:14,	<b>Powers -</b> 50:6,	42:14, 42:15,
55:17, 58:13,	<b>PA -</b> 1:19	8:16, 38:9, 38:12,	50:9, 50:19	42:21, 42:25, 43:4,
58:19, 62:2, 63:11,	Pain - 2:21, 23:5	40:3, 70:11, 70:20,	Practice - 36:20	43:7, 43:8, 43:10,
64:21, 69:4, 70:20,	Painful - 66:18	71:25	Preamble - 70:24	43:12, 43:13,
72:25, 74:2, 74:11,	<b>Park -</b> 20:13	Phone - 56:14	<b>Prepare -</b> 42:18	43:25, 44:12,
75:8, 75:11	Participants - 63:2	Phones - 15:14	<b>Present -</b> 16:17,	44:24, 45:4, 45:16,
<b>Ones -</b> 9:20, 36:4,	0	Phrase - 52:13	62:18	45:23, 46:1, 47:2,
37:4, 37:5	Participate - 24:3,	<b>Picture -</b> 34:19	Presentation - 5:1	47:18, 48:3, 48:16,
Ongoing - 55:19	51:19, 67:12			
Online - 72:18	Participated - 35:4,	<b>Piece -</b> 9:24, 9:25	2, 8:8 Presentations 70	48:18, 48:22, 49:2,
<b>Open -</b> 1:2, 13:19,	48:20, 72:25	Pilot - 30:9	Presentations - 70	49:7, 49:13, 49:15,
14:25, 16:6	Participating - 23:	<b>Place -</b> 11:21,	:15	50:23, 52:12,
Operation - 42:20	24, 56:3, 56:4,	12:24, 21:6, 45:5,	<b>Presented -</b> 5:21,	52:15, 53:16,
Operational - 27:1	65:9, 75:7	59:18, 62:16, 65:2,	6:4, 6:14, 6:23,	54:20, 57:1, 57:5,
7	Participation - 76:	66:23, 71:8	75:4, 75:11	57:9, 57:10, 57:11,
<b>Operators -</b> 39:18,	5	<b>Placed -</b> 28:25,	<b>President -</b> 2:14,	57:14, 58:2, 58:4,
57:8, 58:6	Particularly - 69:19	32:12, 32:21	2:20, 3:6	58:7, 58:9, 59:19,
<b>Opinion -</b> 24:12,	<b>Parties -</b> 21:20,	Places - 14:14	Presiding - 1:3	61:10, 62:1, 62:21,
49:23, 50:17,	67:17, 69:8, 71:18,	<b>Plan -</b> 42:5	Prevent - 75:1	64:11, 65:24, 74:3,
50:24, 50:25, 60:10	72:18, 75:5, 75:20	Planned - 2:23,	Prevented - 2:12	75:14, 75:18,
<b>Opportunities -</b> 24:	<b>Partners -</b> 10:10	12:18	Priorities - 33:20	75:25, 76:6
1 1	<b>Past -</b> 6:4, 6:5,	Planning - 14:7	Priority - 32:3	Provinces - 13:4
	1 ast - 0.4, 0.0,			

			-	1
Province's - 73:10 Provincial - 16:11, 18:18, 20:16, 27:11, 28:9, 31:4, 34:6, 38:1, 40:5, 41:3, 41:4, 48:11, 48:12, 50:6, 50:7, 52:9, 52:20, 55:4, 65:1, 67:6 Provincially - 46:2 5, 56:12, 58:5, 66:4 Public - 10:9, 13:2, 42:4, 43:5, 43:14, 43:24, 44:7, 44:8, 45:4, 45:8, 45:10, 60:20, 61:11, 61:13, 61:19, 73:20, 74:15 Publicly - 23:14 Pursue - 58:11 Push - 76:2 Putting - 19:8, 24:19 Q Quality - 49:11 Quicker - 32:22 Quo - 55:8, 55:13 Quote - 33:9 Raise - 55:17 Ralph - 3:12, 21:10, 40:3, 40:6, 40:9, 49:17, 49:19, 50:22, 51:6, 52:8, 52:17, 68:9 Rang - 33:13 Rare - 49:10 Rather - 6:6, 43:16, 48:25, 50:11 RCMP - 26:6, 26:16, 59:20, 62:10, 62:13, 62:24, 63:6, 63:17, 63:22, 64:2, 65:19, 66:6, 66:10, 66:11, 66:19 RCMP's - 67:3 Reach - 12:7 Reaches - 15:13, 15:19 Readily - 29:23,	Ready - 1:20, 9:8 Realized - 34:22 Reason - 52:10 Reasons - 33:14, 43:15, 45:3, 46:2, 46:3, 71:5 Receive - 8:13, 65:17, 67:13, 71:3, 72:8 Received - 5:12, 6:4, 7:5, 7:13, 7:20, 8:8, 72:4 Receiving - 63:22, 65:16, 65:22 Recent - 32:15 Recently - 24:17, 72:4 Recognize - 25:7 Recognizing - 75:1 5, 75:16 Recommend - 47:1 7, 57:13 Recommendation - 18:25, 21:2, 39:8, 39:12, 45:25, 46:6, 53:20, 53:23, 54:10, 54:15, 55:16, 57:1, 58:13, 58:18, 60:2, 61:16, 62:3, 62:4, 62:8, 62:18, 62:21, 63:6, 63:10, 63:12, 65:7, 66:5, 66:9, 67:7 Recommen- dations - 1:9, 3:20, 4:12, 4:16, 7:23, 9:2, 9:4, 9:7, 9:11, 9:17, 10:1, 20:24, 27:6, 27:10, 32:5, 35:23, 35:25, 36:7, 36:11, 36:12, 37:2, 38:18, 39:4, 39:6, 39:23, 40:18, 40:19, 40:24, 42:22, 43:2, 44:15, 45:21, 45:23, 46:5, 46:23, 47:1, 48:3, 48:5, 51:20, 52:10, 53:14, 62:2, 62:13, 64:8, 64:20, 67:15, 70:14, 70:24, 71:10, 71:13, 71:24, 74:24	7, 46:15 <b>Reconsider</b> - 47:20 <b>Records</b> - 29:4, 29:8, 37:7 <b>Recruitment</b> - 13:9 , 13:11, 13:14, 31:18, 38:20 <b>Red</b> - 11:2 <b>Referenced</b> - 53:1 <b>Refers</b> - 73:14 <b>Reflect</b> - 74:9 <b>Reflective</b> - 4:14 <b>Reflect</b> - 74:9 <b>Reflective</b> - 4:14 <b>Refute</b> - 1:22 <b>Region</b> - 37:20 <b>Regionally</b> - 32:20 <b>Regions</b> - 18:13, 39:14 <b>Regular</b> - 66:23 <b>Relation</b> - 25:8 <b>Relationship</b> - 11:10 0 <b>Relative</b> - 50:19 <b>Relatively</b> - 22:8 <b>Released</b> - 40:15, 45:10 <b>Relentless</b> - 22:21 <b>Remain</b> - 51:17 <b>Remarks</b> - 22:8, 68:2 <b>Remind</b> - 74:7 <b>Removing</b> - 1:17 <b>Report</b> - 30:14, 36:1, 36:8, 36:10, 37:8, 38:7, 42:18, 45:7, 45:11, 45:14, 61:12, 61:18, 71:13, 71:23 <b>Reports</b> - 37:11 <b>Representations</b> - 2:24 <b>Represented</b> - 3:1 6 <b>Requests</b> - 55:5, 55:12 <b>Require</b> - 41:25, 45:6 <b>Required</b> - 30:11, 30:16, 31:12 <b>Requires</b> - 34:8 <b>Rescue</b> - 2:22, 3:7,	27:18, 28:3, 28:20, 29:2, 29:14, 29:19, 29:20, 30:2, 32:2, 32:16, 33:3, 33:7, 33:8, 34:1, 34:7, 34:23, 37:13, 37:15, 37:22, 39:10, 42:20, 42:22, 42:25, 43:1, 43:6, 47:5, 47:9, 47:15, 47:22, 47:25, 48:2, 48:4, 48:7, 50:21, 53:1, 53:9, 53:18, 54:1, 54:4, 54:6, 54:8, 54:22, 55:5, 55:20, 56:11, 57:4, 57:11, 57:12, 57:15, 58:24, 59:12, 60:23, 61:1, 61:6, 61:24, 73:13, 73:22, 73:23 <b>Resonated -</b> 33:9 <b>Resource -</b> 30:16, 32:9, 35:8, 35:10 <b>Resources -</b> 26:16, 26:17, 26:19, 28:8, 28:9, 28:10, 28:11, 28:14, 28:16, 28:25, 29:9, 29:15, 29:19, 29:22, 30:4, 30:10, 30:11, 30:21, 31:16, 32:17, 32:21, 34:4, 34:21, 36:18, 39:13, 39:15, 45:18, 54:12, 56:21, 57:22, 59:15 <b>Respect -</b> 21:21, 22:3, 26:12, 26:23, 28:2, 30:24, 35:20, 36:13, 47:14, 50:18, 52:8, 52:25, 53:16, 53:20, 58:12, 58:18, 58:22, 63:12, 63:13, 63:22, 64:6, 64:7, 65:7, 66:9, 67:7 <b>Respectful -</b> 69:13 <b>Respectful -</b> 49:7 <b>Respectful -</b> 49:1 0, 59:23, 64:9 <b>Respecting -</b> 57:8	Responding - 55:1 0 Responds - 55:3 Response - 1:8, 7:23, 9:1 Responsibility - 50 :7, 73:3 Responsible - 57:1 2, 64:19 Restraints - 32:1 Result - 34:17, 70:14 Retains - 43:11 Reviewed - 30:23, 32:24 Reviewing - 29:4 Richard - 73:9 Rings - 56:14 Rise - 76:9 RNC - 66:20 Robust - 3:25 Room - 21:21, 50:14 Rose - 69:11 Round - 2:6, 5:7, 71:10 Roundtable - 5:8, 5:14, 30:24 Routinely - 43:10 Rovers - 32:9 Run - 61:20, 61:21, 61:25 Running - 54:9 Russell - 2:14 Ruth - 69:1, 73:6 Safety - 13:2, 45:8 Saltwater - 10:16 Sandbox - 33:12 SAR - 31:6 Sars - 56:18 Saskatchewan - 1 6:18, 17:3 SAT - 15:13 Satisfactorily - 59: 22 Saturday - 7:13, 13:7 Saw - 25:5, 27:21, 39:1, 44:14, 53:22 Scarce - 45:18 Scenario - 56:9
66:19				-
				<b>Saw -</b> 25:5, 27:21,
<b>Reaches -</b> 15:13,	70:14, 70:24,	:2	Respectfully - 49:1	
				Scenario - 56:9
-				Scene - 56:5
35:8	Recommending -	3:11, 8:10, 15:7,	Respective - 60:13	Schedule - 75:25
Readiness - 28:18	59:5	15:22, 16:1, 18:12,	<b>Respond -</b> 29:1,	Sciences - 17:9
Reads - 11:8	Recommends - 46:	19:10, 25:22,	59:6, 72:12, 72:19	
		· ·	· · ·	Script - 51:25
		1	1	

Seadoo/	Sent - 9:13, 13:24,	20:4	51:10	Т
Skidoo - 27:23	16:21, 62:24, 62:25	<b>Smith -</b> 73:9	Submissions - 8:4,	
				Tables - 2:7
<b>Search -</b> 2:21, 3:7,	<b>Separate -</b> 17:3,	Solely - 23:1	8:12, 8:24, 37:6,	Tail - 5:7
3:11, 8:10, 15:7,	54:13	Solicited - 49:22	40:14, 40:15,	Taking - 48:23,
15:22, 16:1, 18:11,	September - 43:23	Solution - 74:2	40:23, 52:5, 52:22,	
25:22, 27:18, 28:3,	Serious - 23:10,	Solutions - 74:15	65:18, 67:19, 71:1,	66:8, 69:23
28:20, 29:1, 29:14,	26:12, 28:1	Somewhat - 53:23,	71:3, 73:1	Talented - 33:15
29:18, 29:20, 30:2,	Seriously - 52:2,	59:24, 68:4	Submitted - 22:9,	<b>Task -</b> 11:18, 11:19,
32:2, 32:16, 33:3,	75:2	<b>Son -</b> 23:11, 26:4	24:9, 36:4	48:24, 61:12
				Tasked - 10:17
33:7, 34:7, 34:23,	<b>Servants -</b> 43:6,	Southern - 38:25	Subsequent - 5:10	Team - 2:15, 2:22,
37:13, 37:15,	43:16	Speaks - 49:12	<b>Substance -</b> 40:17,	2:25, 10:13, 14:20,
37:22, 39:10,	Serve - 23:2, 37:21	<b>Spectrum -</b> 64:25	40:21, 40:23,	
41:18, 42:20,	Service - 31:22,	Spent - 25:18	45:20, 46:22, 46:24	15:1, 15:3, 18:18
42:22, 42:24, 43:1,	43:9, 48:10	<b>Spirit -</b> 68:16,	Successful - 2:19	<b>Teams -</b> 9:19, 11:1,
43:6, 43:20, 44:2,	Services - 65:24	68:23	Suffering - 23:6	13:2, 14:13, 14:14,
47:5, 47:9, 47:14,	Session - 1:8,	<b>Split -</b> 18:13	Sufficient - 28:24,	15:18, 18:4, 18:10,
		-		18:15, 31:6, 38:24,
47:22, 47:24, 48:1,	1:22, 38:16, 68:19	<b>Spoken -</b> 53:3	57:2, 57:25	48:7, 48:9, 48:14
48:4, 48:6, 50:20,	<b>Sessions -</b> 30:24,	Spots - 15:6	Sufficiently - 66:1	<b>Team's -</b> 11:15
53:1, 53:8, 53:17,	35:4	Spotted - 12:8	Suggestion - 53:14	
54:1, 54:4, 54:6,	Setting - 33:18	<b>St -</b> 18:9, 24:5,	, 58:1	Technology - 15:1
54:8, 54:22, 55:5,	Seven - 28:19	32:10	Suggestions - 52:2	1, 15:13, 16:15,
55:20, 55:23, 56:11,	Several - 8:4, 49:4,	Staff - 21:20,	1, 52:23, 53:12	32:7, 32:23
56:15, 57:3, 57:11,	52:23	23:22, 68:25	Suggests - 47:2	Ten - 22:22
57:12, 57:15,	<b>Shall -</b> 42:18, 42:19	<b>Stake -</b> 44:23	Sum - 67:9	<b>Term -</b> 66:25, 67:4,
				68:8
58:24, 59:11, 60:23,	Shape - 66:7	Start - 3:23	Supplement - 37:4	Terms - 25:11,
61:1, 61:5, 61:20,	Shaping - 9:6	<b>Started -</b> 17:7,	Support - 19:16,	27:21, 30:12, 39:5,
61:21, 61:24, 63:7,	Sheet - 10:5	22:14, 37:18	34:16, 34:19,	
63:8, 65:21, 73:13,	<b>Shelf -</b> 36:9	Statement - 1:21,	38:21, 42:21, 54:4,	39:22, 41:24, 42:9,
73:22, 73:23	Sheshatshiu - 3:1	74:2	54:21, 57:3, 57:10,	42:17, 45:6, 47:20,
Searchers - 4:4,	Shortly - 1:12,	Statements - 1:23	57:14, 57:25, 58:8,	53:12, 60:2, 66:25
34:20, 65:3	38:15	Stationed - 39:15	59:21, 65:16,	Terrific - 68:12
Searches - 4:4,	Shouldn't - 11:14,	<b>Status -</b> 55:8,	65:17, 65:22, 65:23	Territories - 13:4
	54:13, 57:16	55:13	Supporting - 19:17	Testimonials - 6:1
4:10, 11:7, 20:7,				3
32:19, 32:20, 50:9,	<b>Shown -</b> 21:22,	Stay - 20:1	, 20:19	Thanks - 2:1,
59:2, 60:7, 61:14,	22:4, 68:24	Steele - 69:1	<b>Supports -</b> 4:17,	48:17, 48:19,
62:14, 65:20, 69:7	<b>Sid -</b> 2:21	<b>Step -</b> 4:7, 4:8	54:5	49:15, 73:6
Seated - 1:4	<b>Side -</b> 58:20, 66:20	Stick - 75:24	Suppose - 19:2	
<b>Second -</b> 5:13,	Signed - 10:4	Sticking - 51:25	Surfaced - 29:13	<b>That'll -</b> 1:25,
50:2	Significant - 41:23	Straight - 18:7	Surprised - 17:11	16:24, 20:21, 53:10
Secondly - 39:12,	Similar - 72:3	Straightened - 12:	Survivors - 38:21	That's - 3:1, 7:14,
47:4, 48:13	Simple - 56:23	16, 14:23	Sweetapple - 69:1	7:19, 8:1, 10:4,
<b>See -</b> 1:10, 9:21,	<b>Simply -</b> 56:5,	Straightforward -		11:22, 12:8, 12:20,
		15:12, 16:2	•	14:6, 14:10, 15:10,
9:25, 11:12, 13:10,	56:14, 71:5, 74:1		<b>Switch -</b> 55:21,	15:11, 15:15, 16:4,
13:14, 17:13,	<b>Sincere -</b> 4:15,	Stress - 65:15	56:23	17:14, 17:19,
17:16, 17:22,	21:21	Strong - 31:2	<b>System -</b> 1:19,	19:19, 19:24,
20:25, 21:14,	<b>Single -</b> 14:20,	Stronger - 71:1,	13:20, 16:15,	20:23, 22:6, 22:7,
23:12, 27:15, 30:4,	15:1	71:2	19:17, 21:1, 23:13,	
31:2, 36:3, 38:1,	Sit - 36:8	Struck - 57:20	23:17, 27:13,	28:20, 30:7, 35:18,
39:3, 44:16, 53:6,	Situation - 45:18,	Structure - 28:4	27:16, 29:16,	36:16, 36:20,
58:19, 67:2	58:22, 62:12	<b>Study -</b> 16:19,	29:17, 31:3, 31:4,	39:21, 41:13,
Seeing - 75:19	Situations - 25:8	25:17, 30:25, 74:22	32:23, 33:18,	46:23, 49:10, 51:4,
Seek - 33:20,	<b>Six -</b> 28:19, 62:5,	<b>Stylistic -</b> 52:25	33:22, 34:16, 38:2,	52:17, 54:15,
		-		55:13, 55:14,
46:11, 46:18, 52:13,	63:11	<b>Submission -</b> 1:13,	38:23, 55:2, 60:8,	58:12, 60:4, 60:16,
52:15, 53:16, 58:4	<b>Skills -</b> 74:18	6:2, 6:7, 6:14, 7:4,	60:20, 63:21, 64:5,	60:24, 61:2, 61:4,
<b>Seen -</b> 10:17,	<b>Small -</b> 20:7, 37:19,	7:11, 7:21, 13:22,	65:10, 73:22, 73:24	62:2, 65:2, 67:22,
17:18, 17:24	48:24	24:9, 25:3, 38:10,	Systems - 16:20,	
Self - 11:18	Smaller - 19:11,	40:4, 49:21, 50:19,	24:23, 28:5	70:8, 70:19
				<b>Therefore -</b> 11:18,

44:24, 46:7, 46:15,	Tracked - 16:14	<b>Unless -</b> 8:19,	51:7	71:16, 71:22,
48:11	Tracking - 16:20	67:18	We'll - 3:3, 5:5,	72:16, 72:17, 75:24
<b>There's -</b> 3:17,	Train - 14:10, 64:3	Updated - 36:25	11:25, 15:7, 15:10,	Williams - 3:8,
7:19, 10:24, 17:4,	Trained - 13:20,	Upgrade - 72:5	20:13, 20:14,	7:11, 21:11, 21:12,
31:5, 37:24, 58:14,	31:5, 35:12	<b>Upkeep -</b> 29:4	21:15, 27:13,	38:9, 68:7
60:3, 60:5, 69:6	Training - 4:17,	Urgency - 56:20	38:14, 39:23, 53:2,	Willingness - 68:1
	<b>-</b>			-
<b>These -</b> 9:3, 27:8,	13:25, 14:1, 14:3,	<b>Using -</b> 15:15,	53:5, 72:10	4, 76:6
30:5, 30:10, 33:15,	15:23, 16:8, 16:11,	15:20, 31:20	We're - 1:8, 1:19,	Wing - 19:6
33:18, 34:8, 35:1,	18:18, 31:11, 63:14,	<b>Utilized -</b> 30:5,	1:25, 11:7, 12:10,	Winters - 3:8, 7:12,
35:10, 37:7, 37:10,	63:18, 63:21, 63:24	30:11	12:16, 12:19,	21:23, 22:2, 22:15,
63:25, 65:20,	Transcripts - 37:7,		12:21, 12:23, 16:6,	22:19, 23:6, 23:8,
67:12, 70:23,	72:17	V	16:9, 16:17, 17:13,	25:21, 33:10, 41:9,
70:25, 72:14, 75:20	Transparency - 43:	Valley - 28:14	17:15, 17:23,	48:21, 65:21,
<b>They'll -</b> 13:20,	17, 43:19	<b>Value -</b> 44:7, 75:15,	18:18, 19:18, 20:11,	65:22, 68:9, 69:9
37:12, 37:14, 67:16	Transpired - 74:23		26:8, 35:15, 35:16,	Winters' - 32:14
<b>They're -</b> 10:23,	<b>Trauma -</b> 4:3,	75:16	36:6, 39:2, 51:14,	Wise - 16:8
11:17, 15:19, 16:19,	65:20	Vancouver - 16:23	59:13, 60:3, 71:9,	Wiseman - 33:24
18:17, 33:12, 37:9,	Traumas - 69:11	Vein - 72:3	72:21, 74:4, 75:11	Wish - 38:6
52:24, 54:12, 60:18	Traumatic - 36:24	Version - 51:23	Weren't - 13:18,	Wonderful - 4:23,
<b>They've -</b> 11:4,	Treated - 49:10	Vessel - 61:2	30:21	73:7, 75:23
23:14, 73:7	Trees - 20:10	Vessels - 27:21	West - 18:16	<b>Won't -</b> 30:17,
		Video - 5:20	We've - 10:5, 13:5,	
<b>Three -</b> 11:4, 12:18,	Triaging - 56:6	View - 73:12		35:22, 52:24, 56:4
14:16, 15:5, 17:5,	Triangle - 29:7	Views - 24:12	14:4, 14:17, 15:14,	Woods - 12:3,
18:15, 19:15, 60:3,	<b>Trilogy -</b> 74:10	<b>Void -</b> 29:16, 34:23	17:7, 17:24, 18:3,	19:13, 19:20
61:16, 62:3	<b>Trip -</b> 2:11, 2:19	Volunteer - 16:1,	19:23, 23:9, 24:9,	Word - 1:15
<b>Thrust -</b> 56:25,	Troubling - 30:19	35:10	25:3, 37:4, 53:3,	Wording - 46:14,
62:17	Truly - 22:4	Volunteerism - 75:	60:21, 63:4, 65:16,	46:22
Ticket - 30:6	Tuesday - 6:5, 6:24	16	68:25, 71:17	Words - 9:23
<b>Tight -</b> 32:1	<b>Turn -</b> 5:5, 51:11	Volunteers - 26:1,	<b>What's -</b> 11:8,	Work - 1:25, 2:2,
Tightened - 18:22	Turned - 19:10	31:7, 31:12, 31:22	12:12, 64:1	3:2, 12:13, 15:9,
<b>Time -</b> 1:24, 5:21,	<b>Two -</b> 10:14, 10:15,	51.7, 51.12, 51.22	Whereby - 29:17,	18:24, 19:1, 20:19,
14:15, 16:10,	14:24, 15:4, 18:13,	W	30:10, 30:15, 33:18	60:7, 61:18, 65:4,
16:13, 16:17, 25:5,	39:6, 43:14, 46:2,		Whole - 12:2,	68:12, 68:13, 73:7,
25:18, 28:11, 28:21,	58:19, 59:23, 71:4,	Wait - 19:14, 19:23,	23:13, 24:21,	75:21, 76:6
49:1, 56:18, 56:19,	74:1	35:13, 53:6	25:23, 30:20, 31:9,	Working - 11:9,
59:17, 59:25, 70:2,	<b>Types -</b> 59:2	Waiting - 17:13	36:22, 38:2, 75:15	11:23, 14:17, 15:8,
71:11	<b>Typo -</b> 53:10	Wants - 13:12,	<b>Who's -</b> 64:19,	20:11, 23:20, 55:2,
Timeline - 24:21		27:15, 49:3, 57:13	64:23	55:15, 60:9, 60:17,
Timelines - 28:17	U	<b>Wasn't -</b> 23:1,	Wide - 50:12	60:18, 61:15,
<b>Timely -</b> 26:20,		34:19, 42:3, 63:7	Will - 1:16, 4:17,	63:24, 69:25, 70:2
29:1, 72:21	Umbrella - 53:13	Watching - 43:25	4:18, 5:11, 8:8,	Works - 10:10,
<b>Times -</b> 4:1, 11:4,	Unable - 2:11	Waterway - 61:2	8:11, 8:12, 8:13,	60:21, 61:9, 63:2,
19:14, 19:24, 66:16	Uncertainty - 60:6	Water way - 01.2 Ways - 44:10	9:5, 11:21, 12:15,	66:21, 73:22,
	Unclear - 53:24	Weather - 2:12,	12:20, 14:7, 15:13,	73:24, 74:10
<b>Today -</b> 3:3, 8:13,	Understands - 65:	71:5		
8:25, 9:6, 10:13,	13		16:25, 18:15,	World - 53:2
21:16, 40:22,	Underutilized - 35:	<b>We'd -</b> 14:21, 36:3,	20:18, 21:16,	Worthwhile - 25:19
51:24, 67:10,	16	38:17, 59:24,	24:20, 24:25, 26:9,	<b>Wouldn't -</b> 62:11,
67:22, 71:1, 71:12,	Underwater - 32:1	65:23, 72:8	37:8, 37:11, 37:15,	63:5, 64:22, 67:1
72:15, 73:1	9	Week - 2:24, 6:5,	37:25, 40:16,	Wound - 21:15
<b>Today's -</b> 38:15,	Underway - 56:3	7:6, 8:9, 11:5,	40:18, 41:4, 42:10,	Wrap - 70:10
40:11	<b>Unfolds -</b> 17:14	70:15, 71:16	44:17, 45:16, 49:3,	Write - 8:11
<b>Tom -</b> 3:8, 24:18	Unfortunately - 2:1	Weekend - 9:14,	51:10, 51:24, 53:5,	Writing - 8:13,
Topics - 39:6		12:4, 12:7, 13:24,	57:20, 57:23,	24:13, 38:15,
<b>Tops -</b> 20:10	Unique - 28:5	24:17	61:12, 65:2, 67:14,	39:24, 67:13, 72:20
Torngat - 12:4	Uniquely - 74:13	Weeks - 12:18,	68:2, 68:18, 69:18,	Written - 8:7,
Toward - 64:11	Uniqueness - 28:2	71:4	70:23, 70:25, 71:2,	12:12, 22:10,
Towards - 4:8	3	Welcome - 1:7,	71:12, 71:14,	51:23, 52:5, 65:18
	5			

		10	erbaum Court Reporters
Wrongs - 74:14			
Y			
<b>Year -</b> 19:9, 20:12			
<b>Years -</b> 10:6, 14:5, 22:22, 23:21, 70:2			
Year's - 12:13 Yesterday - 7:13,			
16:22			
<b>You'll -</b> 67:13 <b>Young -</b> 10:14,			
22:19 You're - 9:8, 24:8,			
44:11, 51:7, 75:12			
<b>Yourselves -</b> 75:4 <b>You've -</b> 40:15,			
74:6, 75:4 <b>Yvonne -</b> 2:17, 8:5			
<b>Zoom -</b> 2:16, 8:6,			
17:1			