## September 21, 2021

THE CLERK:
All rise. This Commission of Inquiry is now open. Commissioner James Igloliorte presiding as Commissioner. Please be seated.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Just two items of housekeeping. One of them is simply a reminder for you to sign the COVID document we have outside, identifying who was here, and your contact number.

And the other is that we will follow the same protocol today in this building, where you keep your mask on, please, unless you're speaking.

And secondly, I've been told that the lunch menu is available. You can take care of that -- if you want a more efficient lunch, take care of that at the midmorning break.

Mr. Budden?
MR. BUDDEN:
Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Geoff Budden
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speaking. First order of business today, before we turn matters over to Ms. Bedford, is we have certain exhibits to enter. So perhaps we do that now, Mr. Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Thank you. Go ahead.
MR. BUDDEN:
Yes. Yesterday brought us up to Exhibit, I believe, 171. So today's would start with the next exhibit in sequence which would be P-172.

I believe that's correct, Madam Clerk?
THE CLERK:
Yes, that's correct.
MR. BUDDEN:
Thank you. The next list of exhibits would all relate to the next roundtable, the search for Mr. Sweetapple in July of 2013, which we will explore in further detail when we arrive in Grand Falls beginning on Thursday.

There are six or seven in the sequence and these are not official titles, they're just sort of the working titles to assist.

172 would simply be RCMP Notes. That's a one-page exhibit.

## EXHIBIT P-172, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY

MR. BUDDEN:
173 would be SAR, S-A-R, Briefing Report. That's an exhibit of approximately 50 pages.

## EXHIBIT P-173, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY

MR. BUDDEN: 174 is a short series of, what we'll simply call, RCMP emails. There's eight pages of those.

## EXHIBIT P-174, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INOUIRY

MR. BUDDEN:
The next is $\mathrm{P}-175$. It's simply entitled "Incident Log." And now that's generated by the JRCC, I believe, and is about 20 pages.

## EXHIBIT P-175, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY
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MR. BUDDEN:
Next in sequence, which would be P-176, would be, again, titled "RCMP Notes."

## EXHIBIT P-176, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY

MR. BUDDEN:
The next in sequence would be Corporal Ian Dollimount Notes. That would be P-177.

## EXHIBIT P-177, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INOUIRY

MR. BUDDEN:
The next document we have, which would be P-178, is entitled "Search Summary, Cody Peddle, September 18th, 2010."

## EXHIBIT P-178, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY

MR. BUDDEN:
And that, for the information of anybody here we'll be exploring this briefly, looking at this search tomorrow morning with the Bay of Islands SAR team.

|  | Page 5 |  | Page 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | And by the way, Mr. Commissioner, I've notified | 1 | National Defense. |
| 2 | them, and they will be here tomorrow at 9:00. | 2 |  |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 3 | And that direct evidence will be through their |
| 4 | Thank you. | 4 | counsel, Ms. Corinne Bedford. So I'll turn the |
| 5 | MR. BUDDEN: | 5 | matter over to Ms. Bedford now. |
| 6 | We next move on to three emails which, | 6 | Cross-examination will follow in the usual |
| 7 | essentially, are inReach printouts that were | 7 | manner. |
| 8 | generated or provided to us yesterday evening by | 8 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 9 | Mr. Germani of the Bonne Bay Search and Rescue | 9 | Thank you very much. |
| 10 | team. | 10 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 11 |  | 11 | Thank you. I think I'll start this morning - |
| 12 | The first of those in sequence, $\mathrm{P}-179$, is | 12 | good morning, gentlemen - by having you each |
| 13 | entitled "Image 2895." | 13 | introduce yourselves. Just letting the |
| 14 |  | 14 | Commissioner know who you are, and what you do. |
| 15 | EXHIBIT P-179, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY | 15 | So we'll start with Sergeant Cooper. |
| 16 |  | 16 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 17 | MR. BUDDEN: | 17 | Sorry, just as a housekeeping note. If perhaps |
| 18 | The next in sequence, P-180, is Image 2897. | 18 | the technicians, if anybody's voice isn't coming |
| 19 |  | 19 | through clear, can notify us so that we can |
| 20 | EXHIBIT P-180, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY | 20 | adjust. |
| 21 |  | 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 22 | MR. BUDDEN: | 22 | Good morning, Commissioner. I'm Sergeant Dave |
| 23 | And finally, at P-181, we have Image 2898. | 23 | Cooper, Member of the Royal Canadian Mounted |
| 24 |  | 24 | Police for the last 21 years. I'm currently the |
|  | Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| 1 | EXHIBIT P-181, ENTERED AND MARKED ON INQUIRY | 1 | Operations NCO for Northern Peninsula/Deer Lake |
| 2 |  | 2 | District. |
| 3 | MR. BUDDEN: | 3 |  |
| 4 | Those are all the exhibits there. We will have | 4 | During the time of this incident, I was team |
| 5 | more exhibits to enter over the next day or two | 5 | leader, supervising a team of constables in Deer |
| 6 | relating to our next roundtable, after Grand | 6 | Lake Detachment. |
| 7 | Falls. And we have them. They're simply being | 7 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 8 | reviewed and redacted. | 8 | Thank you. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 9 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 10 | Thank you. | 10 | Good morning. Lieutenant Colonel James Marshall. |
| 11 | MR. BUDDEN: | 11 | I work at the Canadian Joint Operations Command |
| 12 | I understand from Madam Clerk that those exhibits | 12 | in Ottawa. I'm the Search and Rescue advisor to |
| 13 | would be posted over the lunch hour, or later on | 13 | the Commander. |
| 14 | today, if circumstances permit. | 14 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 15 | THE CLERK: | 15 | Good morning, everyone. Sergeant Danny Williams. |
| 16 | Lunchtime. | 16 | I'm in charge of the Emergency Management |
| 17 | MR. BUDDEN: | 17 | section, which includes overseeing search and |
| 18 | Lunchtime. Lunchtime it is. That's all I have, | 18 | rescue operations for all RCMP jurisdictions in |
| 19 | Mr. Commissioner. | 19 | the province. |
| 20 |  | 20 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 21 | As we advised yesterday, and just for the | 21 | Thank you, gentlemen. So I think, given the way |
| 22 | information of anybody new today, the next | 22 | that the documents -- I'll just let everyone know |
| 23 | evidence will be the evidence of the Federal | 23 | I will be referring, or my witnesses will be |
| 24 | Government witnesses, RCMP and Department of | 24 | referring, to Exhibit 170, 171, which were, I |


|  | Page 9 |  | Page 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | believe, sent out yesterday, and 97. | 1 | to him. So the file was passed on to |
| 2 |  | 2 | St. Anthony. However, I did notify our |
| 3 | I think I'd like to start with Sergeant Cooper. | 3 | nightshift just in case. I felt that the file |
| 4 | BY MS. BEDFORD: | 4 | would come back. |
| 5 | Q. So, Sergeant Cooper, can you just tell me, or | 5 |  |
| 6 | tell the Commission, sorry, what part you played | 6 | From previous knowledge, I knew that Harbour |
| 7 | in the search that we're discussing, the | 7 | Deep, when people inhabited Harbour Deep, that |
| 8 | snowmobiler search. | 8 | Deer Lake covered the area. At this time, when |
| 9 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 9 | it was resettled in late '90s, early 2000, very |
| 10 | A. Sure. On February 1st, 2019, I was working | 10 | little activity there, so we never had many |
| 11 | dayshift at Deer Lake Detachment, which consisted | 11 | calls. |
| 12 | of $0800,8: 00$ a.m. to 1600,4 p.m. | 12 |  |
| 13 |  | 13 | And that area would have been dictated by -- |
| 14 | At 3:38 p.m., St. Anthony Detachment, Constable | 14 | well, GSAR would cover that area. Roddickton |
| 15 | Shane McIver, received a report from our | 15 | GSAR would cover north of Harbour Deep and Deer |
| 16 | Operations Communications Centre of a missing | 16 | Lake GSAR would cover south. |
| 17 | snowmobilers group. | 17 |  |
| 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 18 | And at the time that I was notified, I was not |
| 19 | I'm sorry. I'm sorry. What exhibit are we on | 19 | given any GPS coordinates of exactly where this |
| 20 | right now, sorry? | 20 | took place. |
| 21 | MS. BEDFORD: | 21 |  |
| 22 | I'm sorry, I believe he's referring to... | 22 | So at that time, I notified Constable Guy |
| 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 23 | Boudreau, who was working nightshift, and I |
| 24 | He's reading, obviously, from notes, so. | 24 | passed on some contact information. |
|  | Page 10 |  | Page 12 |
| 1 | MS. BEDFORD: | 1 | I was aware that Mr. Bob Pittman owned the lodge |
| 2 | Yes. | 2 | in Harbour Deep, the Danny Corcoran Lodge. So I |
| 3 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 3 | passed on contact information for him, should he |
| 4 | A. Yeah, the timeline. | 4 | need to reach out to Mr. Pittman. |
| 5 | MS. BEDFORD: | 5 |  |
| 6 | Oh, I apologize. The notes that he's referring | 6 | I ended my shift at 1700 hours, 5 p.m. Through |
| 7 | to are just a timeline that I put together from | 7 | the night Constable Boudreau continued work on |
| 8 | all of the documents. So I would say considering | 8 | the file, along with members from St. Anthony and |
| 9 | that the documents that I used were 170 and 171. | 9 | Roddickton, as well as our risk manager who was |
| 10 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 10 | stationed in the OCC in headquarters in |
| 11 | Okay. Thank you. | 11 | St. John's. |
| 12 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 12 |  |
| 13 | A. At that time he informed his supervisor, Corporal | 13 | Sergeant Chad Norman and Sergeant Curtis Ashford |
| 14 | Shane Clarke, as well as the District Policing | 14 | worked through the night. At that point, they |
| 15 | Officer, Superintendent David Cooke. | 15 | also were in contact with JRCC. Constable Grundy |
| 16 |  | 16 | from St. Anthony Detachment, at 1739, 5:39 p.m., |
| 17 | At 3:57 p.m., I was informed of the snowmobilers' | 17 | was in contact with JRCC, to the best of my |
| 18 | (inaudible) by the OCC. At that time, we were | 18 | knowledge. |
| 19 | just into a reorganization of our district. So I | 19 |  |
| 20 | contacted our Acting Detachment Commander, Staff | 20 | At 1746, Deer Lake GSAR were in touch with |
| 21 | Sergeant Joe Anderson. | 21 | Constable Mark Grundy. At that time, Constable |
| 22 |  | 22 | Grundy also contacted Katie Park, who was the |
| 23 | At that time he advised that the file would | 23 | wife of Eric Park, one of the original five in |
| 24 | belong to Roddickton and St. Anthony. I deferred | 24 | the snowmobile party. |


|  | Page 13 |  | Page 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | At approximately 6 p.m., that's when Deer Lake | 1 | They turned around and they hit the SOS signal on |
| 2 | GSAR notified us that the weather was too severe, | 2 | their satellite device in the area they thought |
| 3 | that they would not attempt to perform a ground | 3 | they had the best recollection that the remaining |
| 4 | search that night. The plan was to leave Deer | 4 | two were last seen. But, again, this was two |
| 5 | Lake at 0500 the next morning, and to be at the | 5 | hours after they had last seen Mr. Rice and |
| 6 | Command Post in Jackson's Arm area at 7 a.m. | 6 | Mr. Lovell. |
| 7 |  | 7 |  |
| 8 | Corporal Rumbolt, who was the nightshift | 8 | As I said, they continued searching. Then they |
| 9 | supervisor, Corner Brook Detachment, also | 9 | realized that darkness was about to set in and |
| 10 | continued to work on this file through the first | 10 | they attempted to make it home to Jackson's Arm. |
| 11 | evening. | 11 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 12 |  | 12 | Q. I'm sorry. Do you have the coordinates for that |
| 13 | At 6:30 p.m., Constable Grundy updated his | 13 | last known position there? |
| 14 | supervisor, Corporal Shane Clarke, and Sergeant | 14 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 15 | Chad Norman, who was the risk manager on duty. | 15 | A. The coordinates. |
| 16 |  | 16 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 17 | And then, again, at 1848, I have a note that | 17 | Q. Yes? |
| 18 | Corporal Rumbolt asked Sergeant Norman to call | 18 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 19 | JRCC for the status of air support. | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 |  | 20 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 21 | Shortly after, Sergeant Normal spoke with Steve | 21 | Q. Perhaps, as well, Sergeant, if you are referring |
| 22 | Lawlor, JRCC. He confirmed they were contacted | 22 | to a particular page of the exhibit, when you see |
| 23 | by FES-NL, and aerial assets were en route. | 23 | the coordinates, if you could tell us. If not, |
| 24 |  | 24 | it's not a problem. But if it's actually there |
|  | Page 14 |  | Page 16 |
| 1 | The first contact with Bob Pittman, who was the | 1 | before you, that would be helpful. |
| 2 | lead snowmobiler of the group in question, was at | 2 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 3 | 7:10 p.m. He informed that the three remaining | 3 | Q. It may be. I'm looking at the coordinates right |
| 4 | snowmobilers were safe in Jackson's Arm. | 4 | now. |
| 5 |  | 5 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 6 | At that time, JRCC were notified and we continued | 6 | A. Okay. |
| 7 | contact with Katie Park, who was the spouse of | 7 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 8 | Eric Park. | 8 | Q. On page 5 of Exhibit 170. I'm not sure if those |
| 9 |  | 9 | are the last known position coordinates, but I |
| 10 | At that time, we were notified that JRCC assets | 10 | think they are. |
| 11 | from Gander were on its way, and a plane from | 11 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 12 | Greenwood. I believe that was a Hercules. | 12 | A. And what exhibit number was that? |
| 13 |  | 13 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 14 | So what we knew at that point was that at 1:00, | 14 | Q. Sorry, 170. |
| 15 | approximately between noon and 1:00, the group of | 15 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 16 | five snowmobilers were travelling north towards | 16 | A. No. Unfortunately, I can't call up the exhibits. |
| 17 | the Danny Corcoran Lodge in Harbour Deep, and at | 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 18 | some point they lost contact with the two rear | 18 | I think on the JRCC log, on page 1, there's a |
| 19 | snowmobilers in the group. | 19 | coordinate and it's likely the same one. Sorry, |
| 20 |  | 20 | again, that's the bottom of -- |
| 21 | They started to search for about two hours on | 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 22 | their own. They searched the area. They | 22 | Yes. So the GPS coordinate 50. -- |
| 23 | searched the trail. They searched the edges of | 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 24 | the pond. With no luck. | 24 | I'm sorry, Exhibit 97, page 1. |

1 SERGEANT COOPER:
A. 97? 50.177865N-057.009537W. Thank you. So at that point we continued coordinating through FES-NL, through JRCC of assets.

The weather at the time, as was noted before, was very severe. We did have concerns of sending GSAR members out into the area. Visibility was very, very reduced. Winds between 80 and 110 kilometres an hour, with falling snow. I understand through the night that JRCC did attempt to search in the area.

At 2055 hours, 8:55 p.m., the RCMP were notified that JRCC were going to call off the search because of weather. And then our members continued speaking with family, updating them and, as well, as coordinating for the next day to coordinate ground search at first light.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. And where were you when this was occurring? Were you at the site or were you at the Detachment?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. No, at the time, at this day, I was at the Deer
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Lake Detachment. The Command Post had yet to be set up. That would have been set up on the morning of February 2nd.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Okay, thank you. So what happened on the morning of February 2nd?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. On February the 2 nd, I arranged to go in early in the morning. So at 7:00 a.m. I arrived at Deer Lake Detachment. We had made arrangements for Constable Paul Canning to arrive at Jackson's Arm at the Command Post. And he would be our liaison there.

My duties would be to coordinate the communication between JRCC, between GSAR, and to coordinate those searches from the Detachment while Constable Canning was our liaison at the Command Post.

Communications were an issue. Satellite phone was spotty, at best. There was no cell coverage. Our radios were somewhat poor in the area. And in the morning, at that morning, Bell had a
network outage and landlines were down.

So at 7 a.m., I spoke with Constable Canning. I also spoke with Sergeant Ashford, our risk manager, at B Division headquarters, to advise him of local weather conditions. It was snowing at times, low drift, very limited visibility.

Sergeant Ashford advised me that they would attempt or provincial assets would try to attempt an aerial search at some point in that morning.

Three teams of ground searchers set out. They indicated the weather was near zero visibility and blowing snow.

At 8:11 a.m., I received a call from JRCC that the Cormorant would try an aerial search again from 103 Gander. Visibility at that time, was relayed to me, was less than 100 feet.

I continued contacting family members; Mr. Rice's wife and Mr. Lovell's son. And at 9:30 in the morning I was updated that the Cormorant was
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searching south of Lake Mitchell and north of Cat Arm Reservoir.

At midday, at approximately $12: 32$, JRCC contacted me and advised that the Cormorant had to leave the search area for an urgent medevac from a fishing vessel off the north coast of Labrador.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE:
Q. What time was that again?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. That was at 12:32. I updated the family members of this. And at 3:09 p.m., I contacted JRCC to check on the status of the Cormorant. I was advised that it was not yet to the vessel. Shortly after, I contacted Provincial Airlines to check on their availability of their King Air flight. I spoke with Barry Jones. He advised that they were currently booked but, if needed, they could cancel.

We spoke about the FLIR sensors. I am trained in basic thermography. So I do have a somewhat understanding of the FLIR capability. And I knew that because of the amount of the moisture in the

|  | Page 21 |  | Page 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | air, due to blowing snow, that the FLIR would | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | have limited, if any, effective capability in | 2 | Q. Were you eventually in contact with him? |
| 3 | this weather. | 3 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 4 |  | 4 | A. We were eventually in contact. They met up with, |
| 5 | At that time, Barry Jones and I had a | 5 | I believe if I recall, the ground search team at |
| 6 | conversation. And he said unless the weather | 6 | some point midday. |
| 7 | changed, that the FLIR would not be recommended. | 7 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 8 |  | 8 | Q. Okay, thank you. Okay. Please continue. |
| 9 | At 1538 hours, I was notified that search and | 9 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 10 | rescue teams had began to return back to Command | 10 | A. So at that point we go into February 3rd, which |
| 11 | Post due to the imminent sunset. Which they | 11 | would have been Day 2 of the search. |
| 12 | arrived back at 1745 hours. | 12 |  |
| 13 |  | 13 | We were in contact with the provincial contract. |
| 14 | At 1809 hours, Constable Canning went to the | 14 | At the time that was Universal Helicopters. They |
| 15 | residence of Bob Pittman and took statements from | 15 | were hoping to have a weather window of |
| 16 | all three individuals - Brian Dawe, Bob Pittman, | 16 | approximately 8:30 a.m. to begin an aerial |
| 17 | and Eric Park. | 17 | search. That was done through Sergeant Curtis |
| 18 |  | 18 | Ashford. |
| 19 | I would also like to add that at this point we | 19 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 20 | had made contact with Mr. Pittman and Mr. Park. | 20 | Q. So for the benefit of the clerk, is there any |
| 21 | Arrangements were made for them to attend the | 21 | specific page or exhibit number we're referring |
| 22 | GSAR Command Post at 7:00 the first morning to | 22 | to now? |
| 23 | assist in any capability with the ground | 23 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 24 | searchers. They did not show. | 24 | A. Yes, Mr. Commissioner, I'm reading from the |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | It took us some time to contact their residences, | 1 | timeline. That would have also been... |
| 2 | and at some point in the day we were notified | 2 | Basically, it's a summary of Ms. Bedford's... |
| 3 | that the two individuals went in on their own. | 3 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 4 |  | 4 | Yeah, I just did a summary of the exhibits and |
| 5 | We had advised against this due to the fact that | 5 | provided them to the witnesses. |
| 6 | because of the weather they could easily become | 6 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 7 | lost and now we'd be searching for four | 7 | Yes. Please continue. |
| 8 | individuals, instead of two. But, again, they | 8 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 9 | went in on their own to continue the search for | 9 | A. Thank you. At that time, Sergeant Ashford also |
| 10 | Mr. Rice and Mr. Lovell. | 10 | spoke with JRCC, who advised they'd be ready on |
| 11 | MS. BEDFORD: | 11 | standby, if needed. There would have been an |
| 12 | Q. Did you know where they went, the area they were | 12 | issue with several fixed-wing aircraft in the |
| 13 | searching in? | 13 | area, as well as the provincial helicopter |
| 14 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 14 | contract. It would have been in a small area |
| 15 | A. We could only surmise that it was the last known | 15 | that would have created some issues with aerial |
| 16 | point. We were not given any messages or any | 16 | assets. |
| 17 | notification of where exactly they were heading | 17 |  |
| 18 | at that time. | 18 | At 9:25 a.m., Kyle Ingram spoke with Sergeant |
| 19 | MS. BEDFORD: | 19 | Ashford and notified that his search was |
| 20 | Q. Were you able to contact them? | 20 | terminated due to weather. That was passed on to |
| 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 21 | JRCC and FES-NL. |
| 22 | A. No, we were not. We attempted to contact | 22 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 23 | Mr. Pittman by SAT phone and it went straight to | 23 | Just one minute. I can actually help you follow |
| 24 | voicemail. | 24 | along, if it's more helpful, Mr. Commissioner? |


|  | Page 25 |  | Page 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | Yes, go ahead. | 2 | Q. What did he tell you about where Mr. Lovell might |
| 3 | MS. BEDFORD: | 3 | be? |
| 4 | Q. That's on page 26 of Exhibit 170. I apologize. | 4 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 5 | The exhibits are just on an email that I have | 5 | A. So in the statement to Constable Joyce, Mr. Rice |
| 6 | right now, so I'm going to search for the correct | 6 | had said that they were travelling in a group of |
| 7 | page now. It's page 58. | 7 | five. They were in the rear of the group. |
| 8 |  | 8 | Mr. Lovell had tipped his machine over, so he |
| 9 | You had just said that Sergeant Ashford contacted | 9 | jumped off to give him a hand to upright the |
| 10 | Kyle Ingram, who advised that the search was | 10 | snowmobile. |
| 11 | terminated due to weather? | 11 |  |
| 12 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 12 | At that point, they had lost sight of the three |
| 13 | A. Yes. And at that point the person asked for an | 13 | lead snowmobiles. They were unable to catch |
| 14 | updated JRCC and FES-NL. And JRCC were tracking | 14 | them. He described the weather conditions as not |
| 15 | the Provincial Airlines flight, as well, I | 15 | being able to see a hand in front of his face. |
| 16 | believe, at this time, that the RCMP Pilatus from | 16 |  |
| 17 | Moncton was in the area. | 17 | They attempted to stay on the trail because they |
| 18 |  | 18 | believe that the other three would return to come |
| 19 | At 10:44, we received notification that Stan Rice | 19 | back to get them. However, they were in the open |
| 20 | had been located through Eric Park's Garmin | 20 | country. There were no trees. No shelter. He |
| 21 | inReach system. | 21 | recalled the weather being minus 24 degrees, and |
| 22 |  | 22 | he felt that they would freeze to death in a |
| 23 | The weather conditions reported as not good. And | 23 | short amount of time. |
| 24 | then there was communication between PAL and | 24 |  |
|  | Page 26 |  | Page 28 |
| 1 | JRCC, what the location was, and (technical | 1 | So the two of them left together. And at that |
| 2 | difficulties.) At that point they said JRCC were | 2 | point he saw Mr. Lovell go over a little incline. |
| 3 | leaving Deer Lake to return to search area at | 3 | What he referred to as a little hump. And he |
| 4 | 12:45. | 4 | lost sight of Mr. Lovell and didn't see him |
| 5 |  | 5 | after. |
| 6 | The search continued. The information that we | 6 |  |
| 7 | had from Stan Rice is that he was cold, but that | 7 | Mr. Rice advised that he had his GPS on and he |
| 8 | he could give information as to where he had last | 8 | was following his GPS in an attempt to follow the |
| 9 | seen Mr. Lovell. | 9 | trail towards Harbour Deep. He kept on going and |
| 10 |  | 10 | at one point he broke through the ice in a small |
| 11 | At 4:20 p.m., JRCC advised they were returning to | 11 | river. He couldn't go anywhere further. |
| 12 | the search area with the information gained from | 12 |  |
| 13 | Mr. Rice and would continue the aerial search | 13 | Mr. Rice was lucky enough that he jumped off the |
| 14 | until dark. | 14 | snowmobile and he got to shore without getting |
| 15 |  | 15 | wet. He believed that the other three |
| 16 | Later in the evening a statement was obtained | 16 | individuals would be there later in a short |
| 17 | from Stan Rice, by Constable Laura Joyce, in the | 17 | amount of time, so he sat down by a tree and |
| 18 | ER, at the Emergency department at Western | 18 | waited. At this time it was starting to get |
| 19 | Memorial Regional Hospital in Corner Brook. | 19 | dark. |
| 20 | MS. BEDFORD: | 20 |  |
| 21 | Q. Do you know what the statement contained? | 21 | Mr. Rice managed to get back on the snowmobile |
| 22 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 22 | again. He removed the shovel and his GPS in case |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | that he needed it to walk back towards Harbour |
| 24 |  | 24 | Deep the next morning. |


|  | Page 29 |  | Page 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As he was getting the shovel, he got down by a | 1 | Mr. Lovell had plenty of insulin, but he was |
| 2 | big tree. He dug a snow cave and got down in it. | 2 | concerned if Mr. Lovell was out in the open, no |
| 3 | He waited for an unknown amount of time. No one | 3 | matter what equipment, there wouldn't be enough |
| 4 | came, so he started making a bed for the night. | 4 | trees for a fire. |
| 5 |  | 5 |  |
| 6 | He packed boughs all around the snow and dug a | 6 | Mr. Rice described weather conditions again as |
| 7 | hole a little bigger. He was unable to stand up | 7 | covering your eyes with your hands and trying to |
| 8 | in it. It was uncomfortable. He took his helmet | 8 | walk. He advised that the coordinates should be |
| 9 | off. | 9 | on his GPS of where he was located, and at that |
| 10 |  | 10 | point he turned the GPS over to the RCMP. |
| 11 | At that point he went back to his snowmobile | 11 |  |
| 12 | again. When he put his foot on the snowmobile, | 12 | The GPS was brought back to Deer Lake Detachment |
| 13 | the snowmobile went down below the water. | 13 | for one of the Deer Lake GSAR members to review |
| 14 | He waited there overnight. | 14 | and to gain any information; i.e., a GPS track |
| 15 |  | 15 | from the GPS. And that was the end of the |
| 16 | The next morning he got out, it was still | 16 | statement. |
| 17 | snowing. Again, he said he couldn't see a hand | 17 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 18 | in front of his face. He figured he was going to | 18 | Thank you. And just for the Commissioner, that |
| 19 | be there for another night because he believed | 19 | was page 34 and 35 of Exhibit 171. |
| 20 | that the rescue chopper would not have been able | 20 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 21 | to get in, in the severe weather. | 21 | Ms. Bedford, perhaps if you could move the |
| 22 |  | 22 | microphone a little closer. |
| 23 | He said he waited around again for another night. | 23 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 24 | He had no food. No water. No matches. No axe. | 24 | Oh. I apologize. |
|  | Page 30 |  | Page 32 |
| 1 | That was all the equipment that was left on the | 1 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 2 | snowmobile. He attempted to move around to keep | 2 | That's better. |
| 3 | warm. | 3 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 4 |  | 4 | Okay. |
| 5 | At one point he saw headlights and that's when | 5 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 6 | the people arrived on snowmobile. They came in. | 6 | Couldn't hear you. |
| 7 | He described them as being wrapped up in blankets | 7 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 8 | and tinfoil. He was told at that point by the | 8 | Okay. It was page 34 and 35 of Exhibit 171. |
| 9 | GSAR members that a chopper was on the way to get | 9 | BY MS. BEDFORD: |
| 10 | him. | 10 | Q. Please continue. Thank you. |
| 11 |  | 11 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 12 | He approximated that that took about two hours | 12 | A. I'd like to add at this time, that during our |
| 13 | for the chopper to get in, and then an ambulance | 13 | conversations with the family of Mr. Lovell, we |
| 14 | brought him to the hospital. | 14 | did gain some information about his health |
| 15 |  | 15 | issues. It was described as he had uncorrective |
| 16 | He described Mr. Lovell saying that Mr. Lovell, | 16 | hearing loss. He was an organ recipient. He was |
| 17 | if he never had an accident, that he would be | 17 | on several medications, and he was a diabetic |
| 18 | okay. He confirmed that Mr. Lovell had matches. | 18 | that required quite a bit of medication. |
| 19 | Enough food for plenty of days. And he described | 19 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 20 | Mr. Lovell as a man not to panic. | 20 | Q. Are you looking at a specific document right now? |
| 21 |  | 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 22 | However, he did get into the health issues of | 22 | A. I'm looking at the document, our file, the |
| 23 | Mr. Lovell. The fact that he only had one | 23 | Missing Person Intake Form. |
| 24 | kidney. He had diabetes. He did confirm | 24 |  |

MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Do you have a paper?

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. I'm sorry, I don't have what you're referring to. So it would be page 61, I believe, on Exhibit 170. That would be the Missing Person Intake Form for Stanley Rice. Page 61.

And if you scroll down. Keep going. That's a continuation of Mr. Rice's. And there would be Mr. Lovell's. Page 64.

At that point you can also see his clothing was described as a black snowmobile suit, fur hat, winter boots and mitts.

And on page 2 would be the medical conditions. RALPH, Q.C.:

Is that exhibit up on the web page; do you know?
THE CLERK:
Not yet.
RALPH, Q.C.:
It's not? Okay.
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MS. BEDFORD:
Q. So after you received the information about

Mr. Lovell what did you do?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Sorry, after Mr. Rice was located?

MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Correct.

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Yes. So after Mr. Rice was located, the search did continue. Then we go into Day 3 of the search. February 4th.

At that point Sergeant Williams indicated, from the information he gained form Corporal Lodge and Sergeant Ashford, the Cormorant had searched all night and left the area.

Provincial assets. The Provincial contract helicopter and Provincial Airlines were en route and we have four ground search and rescue teams, approximating, and 40 ground searchers on scene.

Shortly after noon, on the 4th of February, that Mr. Lovell was discovered in an area near where
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Mr. Rice was located. At that point, he was extricated from the scene and transported to Western Memorial Regional Hospital where he later succumbed.

## MS. BEDFORD:

Q. Okay, thank you. Was there air support in this search for February 2nd?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. On February 2nd, yes, there was. From my understanding, that the Cormorant had been out. Was making an attempt to head out again later in the morning.

I had been in touch with the Provincial contract pilot. He had hoped for a short weather window around 11 a.m.; however, that weather did not improve well enough for the Provincial asset to get up at that point.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Okay. But there were other assets in the air at that time?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Yes, there was. From my understanding, JRCC had assets in the air.
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MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Thank you. What about February 3rd?

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. On February 3rd, I do believe that the RCMP Pilatus, Provincial Airlines and JRCC had aerial assets.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Okay. And February 4th?

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. On February 4th, we had Provincial Airlines and Universal. The provincial contract.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not -- and perhaps Lieutenant Colonel Marshall is more able to answer this question, but were you aware if JRCC was in the air each night, from February 2nd onward? So the night of February 2nd, the night of February 3rd?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. I believe Lieutenant Colonel Marshall would be best to answer that.
MS. BEDFORD:
Q. All right. Thank you.

|  | Page 37 |  | Page 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | A. Yeah. I believe that if we look at the Exhibit | 2 | Q. Okay, thank you. |
| 3 | 97, I'll be referring to that. It's the incident | 3 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 4 | log from JRCC. And we could go through them line | 4 | A. I'm just trying to find where we tasked resources |
| 5 | by line. | 5 | on the 2 nd . |
| 6 |  | 6 |  |
| 7 | But we had air assets every day, except the 4th, | 7 | So on the 2nd, if you look on page 12 of that |
| 8 | when the final person was found. But we did have | 8 | exhibit, Cormorant arrived on scene at 1245 Zulu |
| 9 | assets at night. Particularly on the first | 9 | time. Greenwich Mean Time. |
| 10 | night. | 10 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 11 | MS. BEDFORD: | 11 | Q. I'm not sure if I should ask you to explain Zulu |
| 12 | All right. So rather than go through line by | 12 | Time. If anyone else needs to -- |
| 13 | line, would it be possible for you to summarize | 13 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 14 | JRCC's involvement from, maybe, the first call | 14 | A. It's Universal Time Zone, which is in England. |
| 15 | and, in general, until February 4th? And then if | 15 | And it doesn't move with the seasons. But at |
| 16 | there are questions, obviously, you can answer | 16 | that time we would be minus 3.5 in this area. So |
| 17 | those. | 17 | you would subtract 3.5 hours. |
| 18 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 18 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 19 | A. Okay. If you look on February 1st, the first | 19 | Q. Thank you. So when the call first comes in for |
| 20 | night, we had two assets that night; a Cormorant | 20 | air assistance, who calls JRCC? |
| 21 | and a Hercules, trying to do some night searches. | 21 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 22 | But they were not fruitful. Based on the | 22 | A. Depends on the province, but it's going to be |
| 23 | weather, they had to turn those off at one point | 23 | some type of a provincial (inaudible) management |
| 24 | because it just wasn't safe to fly in the | 24 | office which, in this case, is Fire Emergency |
|  | Page 38 |  | Page 40 |
| 1 | mountains with that weather. And there was no | 1 | Services, Newfoundland, Labrador. |
| 2 | ability to see the ground, particularly for a | 2 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 3 | night search. | 3 | Q. Okay. And they called you on the night of |
| 4 | MS. BEDFORD: | 4 | February 1st or... |
| 5 | Q. Was the terrain a particular challenge with this | 5 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 6 | search? | 6 | A. That's correct. The very first entry of this |
| 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 7 | exhibit, FES-NL, Blair Hogan, called us at 21 |
| 8 | A. Yeah. When you're doing a night search, given | 8 | Greenwich Mean Time. 21 Zulu. |
| 9 | bad weather, it's important we fly off a visual | 9 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 10 | flying rules from when we're doing search and | 10 | Q. Okay. And what, then, occurs after that call |
| 11 | rescue. And if you can't see the mountains or | 11 | comes in? |
| 12 | the ground, it becomes a lot more dangerous for | 12 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 13 | the crews. And we certainly don't want to add | 13 | A. It's kind of a given that if we're going to |
| 14 | more casualties to the search. | 14 | receive a call from the Provincial authorities, |
| 15 |  | 15 | then they have done their due diligence in trying |
| 16 | So in the cases like this, when it's not safe for | 16 | to find their own resources. |
| 17 | the crews then they can make the call to turn off | 17 |  |
| 18 | the search at that point, which is what we did on | 18 | So what that request is, is for humanitarian |
| 19 | the first night. | 19 | assistance, do the ground search and rescue. So |
| 20 |  | 20 | we assume that they've tried other resources. |
| 21 | Combined an unsafe situation, plus the inability | 21 |  |
| 22 | to get any searching done, prevented us from | 22 | But particularly in this case, as night was |
| 23 | searching that night. | 23 | approaching, we're the only ones capable of |
| 24 |  | 24 | flying at night in Newfoundland, Labrador. So |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | it's a given at that point that we're going to | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | respond, as long as we have the resources. | 2 | Q. So JRCC did go out on the 2nd? |
| 3 |  | 3 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 4 | And that's the case here, is we had the resources | 4 | A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 5 | available. And we knew that we were the last | 5 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 6 | resort, so that's why we responded. | 6 | Q. And did they fly on the night of February 2 nd ? |
| 7 | MS. BEDFORD: | 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
|  | Q. And so you went out on the night of February 1st, | 8 | A. Okay. So this is the day where we had to be |
| 9 | and the weather was too bad to continue the | 9 | re-tasked. So at one point during the search the |
| 10 | search? | 10 | Cormorant had to be re-tasked for a different |
| 11 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 11 | mission. |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 |  |
| 13 | MS. BEDFORD: | 13 | There was a heart attack victim on a Maritime |
| 14 | Q. And then what did JRCC do? | 14 | case. So we had to pull the resource, the |
| 15 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 15 | Cormorant, off of the search in order to do that |
| 16 | A. At that point JRCC just calls off the night | 16 | mission. |
| 17 | search, and then would reassess in the morning | 17 |  |
| 18 | when the weather would get better. | 18 | At that point, once he was done with that mission |
| 19 | MS. BEDFORD: | 19 | and delivered the patient to the hospital, on the |
| 20 | Q. So on the morning of February 2nd, did you speak | 20 | way back to Gander, because they were running out |
| 21 | to anyone involved with the search? | 21 | of crew day, they did do another pass. |
| 22 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 22 |  |
| 23 | A. One second, please. So we engaged with the 103 | 23 | And at that point I believe we did have another |
| 24 | Squadron aircraft commander to take a look at the | 24 | nighttime search at that point. I'm just going |
|  | Page 42 |  | Page 44 |
| 1 | weather and to see if we would be able to assist | 1 | to verify that. |
| 2 | again. | 2 |  |
| 3 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 3 | Because we were running out of crew day, we would |
| 4 | Q. Are you referring to Exhibit 97 again? | 4 | have had to activate the second crew. But if you |
| 5 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 5 | look on page 19 of that exhibit, the very last |
| 6 | A. That's correct. On page 8. So what you're | 6 | entry there, 3rd of February 2019, at 0152, the |
| 7 | seeing here is a lot of conversation between | 7 | second aircraft was not authorized because the |
| 8 | various different agencies to see whether or not | 8 | weather wasn't good. |
| 9 | JRCC would be involved again in the second day, | 9 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 10 | of which we were. | 10 | Q. Okay. So that would have been -- the searching |
| 11 | MS. BEDFORD: | 11 | for February 2nd would have been done at that |
| 12 | Q. And was the weather conducive to searching that | 12 | point? |
| 13 | day? | 13 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 14 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | A. From my recollection, we don't have in the | 15 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 16 | exhibits, no readout of the weather report. But | 16 | Q. Okay. So on February 3rdo, did you go back out? |
| 17 | based on the conversations that I was reading, it | 17 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 18 | appears that the ceilings were okay to fly in. | 18 | A. So on February 3rd, in the initial -- they did |
| 19 | But because of the blowing snow, there wasn't a | 19 | have a Universal helicopter is my understanding. |
| 20 | lot of ability to see on the ground. But not | 20 | And then if you look at the entry on page 24, the |
| 21 | necessarily an unsafe condition, given that the | 21 | very last one at 1455 Zulu, "OIC approved |
| 22 | ceilings were fine and it was daytime, which | 22 | Cormorant for extraction if helo cannot do it." |
| 23 | makes things a lot easier. But the searching | 23 |  |
| 24 | wasn't very effective. | 24 | What they're referring to there is, if the helo |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | was able to find the patient and was not able to | 1 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 2 | extract, then that's when we could provide | 2 | A. Sorry. It's page 30. |
| 3 | resources. | 3 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 4 |  | 4 | Q. Thank you. |
| 5 | So this is a case of because it's a ground search | 5 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 6 | and rescue, if the provincial authorities have | 6 | Q. About six entries down. |
| 7 | their assets, which in this case was Universal, | 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 8 | on scene, then we wouldn't be required. | 8 | A. At 1923 Zulu, R907 on the ground in Deer Lake for |
| 9 |  | 9 | a refuel and then they're returning to the scene. |
| 10 | But we do have special capabilities, like the | 10 |  |
| 11 | hoisting, the medevac capability, and if that was | 11 | And then on the next page, on page 31, they |
| 12 | required then we could provide. | 12 | rrived on scene at 2030 Zulu. |
| 13 |  | 13 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 14 | So there's some things that we understand that | 14 | Q. And I note on that same page, at 2115 , it says |
| 15 | only Canadian Forces helicopters can do that | 15 | the Cormorant departed from scene? |
| 16 | contracted helicopters would not be able to do, | 16 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 17 | which that's when we're able to step in. | 17 | A. Right. |
| 18 | MS. BEDFORD: | 18 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 19 | Q. And did your air support return to the area that | 19 | Q. And went back to Gander; is that correct? |
| 20 | day? | 20 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 21 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 21 | A. That's correct. And likelihood is that they were |
| 22 | A. Yes. So when you look at page 25, in the middle | 22 | running out of crew day at that point. And then |
| 23 | at 1507 Zulu, Cormorant A/C are tasked at that | 23 | when you look at the last entry on that page, |
| 24 | time. And then when you look on page 27, they | 24 | 2208 Zulu, discussion with 103 Squadron aircraft |
|  | Page 46 |  | Page 48 |
| 1 | talk about the Cormorant will be extracting a | 1 | commander of a night search. |
| 2 | hypothermic 75-year-old male. So that is the | 2 |  |
| 3 | first patient. | 3 | And then when you look at 2347 Zulu, on page 32, |
| 4 |  | 4 | what we're seeing here is the discussion with RCC |
| 5 | We extracted the first patient and brought them | 5 | and 103 Squadron about doing a night search. And |
| 6 | to the hospital. | 6 | they would use the Herc to drop flares for the |
| 7 | MS. BEDFORD: | 7 | Cormorant to do their search. |
| 8 | Q. So the Cormorant extracted Mr. Rice? | 8 |  |
| 9 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 9 | So at this night you're going to have two assets, |
| 10 | A. Correct. | 10 | the Cormorant and the Herc, and doing a night |
| 11 | MS. BEDFORD: | 11 | search. |
| 12 | Q. Okay, thank you. And after taking Mr. Rice to | 12 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 13 | the hospital, did the Cormorant return to the | 13 | Q. And did that happen? |
| 14 | search? | 14 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 15 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 15 | A. Yes, it did. |
| 16 | A. Yes, they did. Again, I'm going to refer to this | 16 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 17 | exhibit. On page 30, Cormorant, on 1923 Zulu, | 17 | Q. And what time did that search end? |
| 18 | Rescue 907, R907, they were in Deer Lake | 18 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 19 | refuelling and they were going to return to the | 19 | A. Did you say, "What time did it end?" |
| 20 | scene after that. And they were able to get more | 20 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 21 | information from the first patient. | 21 | Q. Correct. |
| 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 22 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 23 | Q. I'm sorry, what page is that again? | 23 | A. Okay. I'm just going to find when they were off |
| 24 |  | 24 | scene. Excuse me for a sec. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | So when you look at -- it's actually on the 4th | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | of February, at 0654 Zulu. So in the middle of | 2 | Q. And so the morning of February 4th, was there any |
| 3 | the night. | 3 | air support that was forwarded? |
| 4 |  | 4 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 5 | These entries here on page 36 is when the | 5 | A. So when you see at 0947 Zulu, which would have |
| 6 | Hercules ended sortie, departed scene. | 6 | een early in the morning, we're starting to get |
| 7 |  | 7 | in the daylight here. At that point -- |
| 8 | And then at 0813 Zulu, on that same page, the | 8 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 9 | Cormorant departed scene. | 9 | Q. What -- sorry, what -- |
| 10 | MS. BEDFORD: | 10 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 11 | Q. So can you describe a little bit about how that | 11 | A. Sorry. On page 37. |
| 12 | works? How the Hercules and the Cormorant work | 12 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 13 | together? | 13 | Q. Thank you. |
| 14 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 14 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 15 | A. When you have two assets on a scene, RCC would | 15 | A. It's the 4th of February. The Rescue 905, they |
| 16 | either divide them by space by giving them each a | 16 | aid they're going to swing through on the way |
| 17 | different block to search, so that they wouldn't | 17 | back home. They were heading back. And that was |
| 18 | affect each other. And, plus, you're expanding | 18 | a Cormorant from Greenwood. |
| 19 | how much area you can search. | 19 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 20 |  | 20 | Q. And was that the last ...? |
| 21 | But in this case, because it was at night, the | 21 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 22 | Cormorant was doing the searching and it needed | 22 | A. Right. So they arrived on scene, on page 38, at |
| 23 | assistance with flares. | 23 | 1025 Zulu, that Cormorant arrived on scene. |
| 24 |  | 24 |  |
|  | Page 50 |  | Page 52 |
| 1 | So we have strategies to avoid dropping the | 1 | At the next page, 1130 Zulu, that Cormorant |
| 2 | flares on the Cormorant, making sure that we have | 2 | departed. |
| 3 | enough distance. But also being close enough | 3 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 4 | that the Cormorant would be able to see on the | 4 | Q. And were you aware at that time whether there was |
| 5 | ground. Because although we have NVGs, NVGs at | 5 | other air support that was available for the |
| 6 | night are good. Better than nothing. But | 6 | search? |
| 7 | they're not a very effective search strategy. So | 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 8 | having flares dropped from the Hercules, in order | 8 | A. I'm unaware, but when you look at the same page, |
| 9 | for the Cormorant to search, is a much (audio | 9 | on 39, they talk about PAL 04 will be flying in |
| 10 | malfunction.) | 10 | the area. And the notes say, "you and |
| 11 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 11 | Universal." So "you" referring to PAL. And |
| 12 | I'm sorry, what's an NF -- an N? | 12 | Universal are the only ones in the airspace. |
| 13 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 13 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 14 | A. NVGs are night goggles. My apologies. | 14 | Q. Okay. Do you know why the Cormorant returned to |
| 15 | MS. BEDFORD: | 15 | Greenwood? |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Thank you. So searched pretty much all | 16 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 17 | night, most of the night? | 17 | A. It doesn't say. I can only assume that it was |
| 18 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 18 | the end of their crew day. That they had flown |
| 19 | A. Yes, that's right. | 19 | all night and they needed to return. |
| 20 | MS. BEDFORD: | 20 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 21 | Q. Certainly on the night of February 3rd? | 21 | Q. Okay, thank you. And do you know if that was the |
| 22 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 22 | conclusion of the JRC's involvement in this |
| 23 | A. Yeah. | 23 | search? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 1 | I know you've been involved in other searches; |
| 2 | A. We refer, on page 40, the Cormorant was released. | 2 | it's part of your job. But with respect to this |
| 3 | And then on the 1243 Zulu, it was just a note to | 3 | particular search, what stands out for you? |
| 4 | file, but it did mention that 103 will be tasked | 4 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 5 | to fill the hole, if there was a hole in coverage | 5 | A. Throughout my career I've been involved in |
| 6 | between Universal and PAL. | 6 | coordinating, on the low end, I would say 60, |
| 7 |  | 7 | maybe even more than 100 searches. |
| 8 | But the second patient was found at that point, | 8 |  |
| 9 | so we didn't need to respond on that after that | 9 | In this instance, it was a prolonged search. The |
| 10 | point. | 10 | weather was severe. The weather was a huge |
| 11 | MS. BEDFORD: | 11 | issue. I've had multi-day searches before in |
| 12 | Q. All right, thank you. Sergeant Williams, I'm not | 12 | Northern Labrador, as well as in Burgeo, where |
| 13 | sure, I'll ask for the benefit of the Commission, | 13 | weather has hampered the search, but not to this |
| 14 | what direct contact or direct involvement you had | 14 | extent. |
| 15 | with the subject search? | 15 |  |
| 16 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 16 | Communications also was an issue. We had an |
| 17 | A. Sure. So within my role on areas outside of | 17 | issue with -- the Command Post was placed in a |
| 18 | normal work hours, Duty NCO, which is a senior | 18 | position where there was no cell coverage. The |
| 19 | officer of the RCMP, is tasked with coordinating | 19 | SAT phones, again, were not to be relied upon. |
| 20 | resources, which is normally my function | 20 | Our radio coverage was okay, but not great. |
| 21 | throughout normal workdays. | 21 |  |
| 22 |  | 22 | We were hampered. On the first day we were |
| 23 | So as you see in your reports here, the names of | 23 | hampered by a Bell outage that was out of our |
| 24 | Sergeant Ashford, as well as Sergeant Norman, and | 24 | control. And what I mean by that, the local Bell |
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| 1 | Sergeant Newell. The functions that they | 1 | Iandlines were down. Luckily, our radio circuits |
| 2 | maintained throughout this process would be the | 2 | which run on the Bell network were not affected. |
| 3 | process that is a normal function of my workday. | 3 |  |
| 4 |  | 4 | But weather definitely was the most contributing |
| 5 | I did have some involvement, as the last day of | 5 | factor to this. Even on days where there was |
| 6 | the search did fall on a Monday, which is within | 6 | pockets of blue sky, again, we had low drift. |
| 7 | my normal hours. Essentially, just contacting | 7 | This area is very rugged. |
| 8 | the Detachment, ensuring that they had adequate | 8 |  |
| 9 | resources to continue the search for today. | 9 | I have been in that area myself. I've been to |
| 10 |  | 10 | the lodge in Harbour Deep myself. I know what |
| 11 | It was communicated to me, as you can see in my | 11 | the layout is. It's very open. People describe |
| 12 | PROS report, that there were a number of | 12 | it as being on the moon; very low scrub and |
| 13 | searchers, I believe 40 searchers, as well as two | 13 | weather comes up very quickly. |
| 14 | different types of air support on that morning. | 14 |  |
| 15 |  | 15 | So even when in good weather the chance of bad |
| 16 | And then within a number of hours, I was advised | 16 | weather coming in is very high, and it comes in |
| 17 | that the second patient had been located and, as | 17 | very quick. |
| 18 | such, no longer a necessity for search resources. | 18 |  |
| 19 | MS. BEDFORD: | 19 | In this instance, it was severe weather |
| 20 | Q. Thanks. Sergeant Cooper, just to sum up, I would | 20 | throughout almost the entire search. |
| 21 | like you to, if you can, to give us just an | 21 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 22 | overall summary or an overall impression of this | 22 | Q. Thank you. And, again, I think you had stated |
| 23 | particular search. | 23 | earlier that you were one of the incident |
| 24 |  | 24 | commanders in this search. Were you the incident |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | commander on the first day? | 1 | interdepartmental cooperation between FES-NL and |
| 2 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 2 | JRCC and the ground searchers. |
| 3 | A. Yes, on the first day of the search, on February | 3 |  |
| 4 | the 2nd, I would have been the incident | 4 | In being a three-day search, sometimes you had |
| 5 | commander. That's correct. | 5 | Canadian Forces aircraft searching. Sometimes |
| 6 | MS. BEDFORD: | 6 | you had provincial resources searching. So there |
| 7 | Q. And were there other incident commanders that | 7 | were cooperation. And I think understanding each |
| 8 | followed you? | 8 | role was very important here. And I saw a lot of |
| 9 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 9 | good positives from this search of how it was |
| 10 | A. Yes. Throughout the next couple of days Sergeant | 10 | conducted. |
| 11 | Humber, Corporal Lodge were among the incident | 11 |  |
| 12 | commanders. | 12 | And it also showed the limitations of using |
| 13 | MS. BEDFORD: | 13 | Canadian Forces aircraft; particularly, when we |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And how do you, in that capacity, work | 14 | need to be re-tasked for something that is a |
| 15 | with the search teams? With GSAR? | 15 | higher priority within our primary mandate, which |
| 16 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 16 | you saw. |
|  | A. In that capacity, basically I am the | 17 |  |
| 18 | thoroughfare, I mean at the hub of | 18 | And also, we saw FES-NL calling us when we were |
| 19 | communications. We would have a person, a | 19 | required for night searching or for |
| 20 | member, in the Command Post that would liaise | 20 | hoisting/medevac possibilities. And there is |
| 21 | directly with Ground Search and Rescue. And then | 21 | good communication. |
| 22 | in this instance, because of communications | 22 |  |
| 23 | issues, basically the messages were being relayed | 23 | So I think just as an overall impression, I was |
| 24 | from the ground search members back to their | 24 | quite impressed with the way that this search was |
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| 1 | Command Post, through our member. Our member | 1 | conducted on all parts. |
| 2 | would contact me and then I would contact our | 2 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 3 | risk manager, my supervisor, or, in some | 3 | Q. Thank you. Do you have anything further to add, |
| 4 | instances, JRCC directly. | 4 | Sergeant? |
| 5 | MS. BEDFORD: | 5 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 6 | Q. Okay, thank you. And so to plan the actual | 6 | A. Certainly. As Sergeant Cooper touched on there, |
| 7 | ground search that was being conducted and the | 7 | we do, in conjunction with the search and rescue |
| 8 | way that it was conducted, who made those | 8 | teams, formulate our search plans for the day. |
| 9 | decisions? | 9 | And we do yield to their expertise and, also, |
| 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 10 | local area knowledge. It's a big thing and it's |
| 11 | A. We would defer that to the Ground Search and | 11 | a big factor that plays in. |
| 12 | Rescue team. I have no formal search training, | 12 |  |
| 13 | so in this instance using their expertise and as | 13 | Obviously, our members move in and out of |
| 14 | well as a knowledge base, from my supervisors. | 14 | different policing areas often, and transfer, but |
| 15 | But I would defer at this point to the Ground | 15 | it is a lot of knowledge sharing, and especially |
| 16 | Search and Rescue team members, to their | 16 | local area knowledge which plays into a big |
| 17 | experience and their skills. | 17 | factor. |
| 18 | MS. BEDFORD: | 18 |  |
| 19 | Q. Thank you. Yes. Do you have anything further to | 19 | We were fortunate in this case where Sergeant |
| 20 | add, Lieutenant Colonel? | 20 | Cooper was familiar with the area and had |
| 21 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 21 | actually been at the lodge itself. But it also |
| 22 | A. Yes. I just want to talk about this case. I | 22 | does speak to the level that Search and Rescue |
| 23 | think, despite the tragic result of one patient | 23 | plays and how invaluable they are for these |
| 24 | passing away, this is a very good case study for | 24 | searches in the planning side of things, and also |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in the local area knowledge. | 1 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 2 | MS. BEDFORD: | 2 | A. In this instance, because of communications |
| 3 | Thank you very much. That's all my questions | 3 | issues, it was more so I was better located in |
| 4 | now. So certainly, I'll leave it to you, | 4 | the Detachment. And then we had a liaison placed |
| 5 | Mr. Budden. | 5 | at the Command Post, a search liaison, to liaise |
| 6 | MR. BUDDEN: | 6 | with the Ground Search and Rescue team. |
| 7 | Might this be a good time for our morning break, | 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 8 | Mr. Commissioner? It's a little earlier but I | 8 | Q. Right. And so in your communications -- and that |
| 9 | think counsel may wish to briefly speak amongst | 9 | was, I think at one point, an Officer Canning. |
| 10 | ourselves. | 10 | Was he -- |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 11 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 12 | Yes, that works fine. It's 10:15. We'll meet | 12 | A. Constable Paul Canning. |
| 13 | again at 10:30. | 13 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 14 | THE CLERK: | 14 | Q. Canning. |
| 15 | All rise. | 15 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 16 |  | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | (Recess) | 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 18 |  | 18 | Q. He would have been at the Command Post; is that |
| 19 | THE CLERK: | 19 | correct? |
| 20 | All rise. This Commission of Inquiry is now in | 20 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 21 | session. Please be seated. | 21 | A. That's correct. On February 2nd, he would have |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 22 | been at the Command Post. |
| 23 | Thank you. | 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 24 |  | 24 | Q. Right. And so how were you communicating with |
|  | Page 62 |  | Page 64 |
| 1 | MR. BUDDEN: | 1 | Constable Canning at that point? |
| 2 | Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I believe that | 2 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 3 | Mr. Ralph will have some questions for these | 3 | A. That would have been through our police radio |
| 4 | witnesses. Following Mr. Ralph, Mr. Williams | 4 | system. |
| 5 | will as well. And following him, I will. | 5 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 6 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 6 | Q. And that was not operating very well on that day; |
| 7 | Q. Yes, thank you. I guess, my first questions will | 7 | is that correct? |
| 8 | be -- I'm sorry, your rank again. Is it Sergeant | 8 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 9 | Cooper; is that right? | 9 | A. There would be issues with him transmitting. He |
| 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 10 | would receive communications from me, but at some |
| 11 | A. That's correct. | 11 | point he may have to, if it was weak, he would |
| 12 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 12 | only be able to transmit through the police car |
| 13 | Q. Good. And Sergeant Cooper, again, can you | 13 | itself. |
| 14 | explain to me your role, and would there be a | 14 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 15 | title for your role in this search? I guess, in | 15 | Q. Now, I understand sort of as incident commander, |
| 16 | terms of an incident commander, is there an | 16 | would it be your responsibility to communicate |
| 17 | incident commander associated with this search? | 17 | with the Province? And I guess, in 2019, that |
| 18 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 18 | would have been Emergency Services Division. And |
| 19 | A. On the first day of the search, February the 2nd, | 19 | I understand you would, or would you, speak with |
| 20 | I would have been in the role of search/incident | 20 | B Division who would speak to the Province |
| 21 | commander, yes. | 21 | Emergency Services? How does that work? |
| 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 22 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 23 | Q. And generally speaking, as the incident | 23 | A. At that point we had a risk manager in place at |
| 24 | commander, where would they be located? | 24 | our Operations Communications Centre in B |


|  | Page 65 |  | Page 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Division headquarters. So I would be contacting | 1 | In this case, he would have been lost. He might |
| 2 | the risk manager, if they were on duty, and that | 2 | have been all over the place. So we would just |
| 3 | person would be in contact with FES-NL. | 3 | start eliminating terrain by doing a grid search. |
| 4 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 4 | And that's basically going up and down, creeping |
| 5 | Q. Right. And so I understand the very first night | 5 | along, just trying to make sure that we cover |
| 6 | the JRCC were engaged. So that's February the | 6 | everything within a good visibility search. |
| 7 | 1 st , they were engaged in the search. And that's | 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 8 | as a result of the contact by Blair Hogan with | 8 | Q. Right. And in this instance was there sort of |
| 9 | Emergency Services Division with the JRCC; is | 9 | direct contact? I guess there wouldn't have been |
| 10 | that your understanding? | 10 | with the Ground Search and Rescue people. |
| 11 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 11 |  |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 | I know in the search for Burton Winters there was |
| 13 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 13 | sort of contact with Ground Search and Rescue |
| 14 | Q. So I just want to ask about that sort of first | 14 | directly. I don't know if in this instance that |
| 15 | engagement. So the JRCC on, I believe, the first | 15 | was possible? |
| 16 | night, they -- I'm not sure if it was the | 16 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 17 | Hercules, and a Cormorant engaged, but there may | 17 | A. From what I'm getting from the notes is the first |
| 18 | have been. Perhaps Lieutenant Colonel Marshall | 18 | night it was just the contact with FES-NL about |
| 19 | can -- I think it was both; is that right? | 19 | doing the night search. But later on there was |
| 20 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 20 | conversations had with, I think, a Sergeant |
| 21 | A. That is correct. On the first day, there was one | 21 | Ashford, I recall in the notes. So I think there |
| 22 | Hercules, one Cormorant. | 22 | was communication between the RCMP and RCC. |
| 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 24 | Q. Right. And maybe anyone can answer this | 24 | Q. Right. And so Sergeant Ashford, he would have |
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| 1 | question. But I'm just curious as to how the | 1 | been in B Division; is that correct? |
| 2 | sort of search strategy is adopted, and at that | 2 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 3 | point in time how is that done? How do you | 3 | A. Okay. All right. |
| 4 | decide at that point how the search will be | 4 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 5 | conducted by the Cormorant and the Hercules, in | 5 | Q. So basically, he was assuming at that point; is |
| 6 | terms of are you getting the information from the | 6 | that correct? That would be, basically, your job |
| 7 | incident commander? Where is the information | 7 | when you're working? |
| 8 | coming from? | 8 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 9 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 9 | A. So yes, he would be fulfilling the role as |
| 10 | A. Really, I mean, it depends on the search. But we | 10 | directing the search from an administrative |
| 11 | would be looking at terrain, the last known | 11 | perspective. |
| 12 | position, high probability search areas, get | 12 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 13 | information from the incident commander, | 13 | I'll ask you to state your name, please. |
| 14 | whoever's local on the ground to see what they've | 14 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 15 | already searched, what areas they want to be | 15 | A. Oh, sorry. Sergeant Williams. So he would be |
| 16 | searched. | 16 | fulfilling the administrative components, i.e. |
| 17 |  | 17 | conduit to Emergency Services Division at that |
| 18 | So we're going to use a lot of queues from the | 18 | point. That's correct. |
| 19 | incident commander to really come up with the | 19 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 20 | best strategy. Whether that is following the | 20 | Q. And I know that there were different resources, |
| 21 | track or just doing a grid search, which is | 21 | air resources, air assets used. So I'm curious, |
| 22 | probably what we would do in a case like this | 22 | I can't remember which day it was, but it might |
| 23 | because you just don't know. It's not a | 23 | have been on the 3rd, I believe, when PAL and the |
| 24 | travelled route. | 24 | RCMP aircraft were engaged? |

1 SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Sergeant Cooper. Yes, that's correct.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And in that instance, how are those aircraft directed? Is the JRCC involved in that?

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. No, we wouldn't be involved in that for this type of search because we're not the lead agency. If this was an aeronautical or Maritime search then we would be controlling any outside assets. Because sometimes in those type of searches the JRCC is leading, we might reach out to PAL or any other assets; CASARA, possibly RCMP. And we would be directing them where to search, just so that we can de-conflict any type of airspace management that's required. But also, so we know what ground has been searched. Because the RCC would be in control.

Whereas, on a ground search, RCC is not in control. We're assisting. So we would not take control of those other assets and direct them.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. But, so as you indicate, there are times when you

Page 70

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. For a case that we're the lead agency?

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Yes.

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. Yes. We would be responsible for coordinating.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And I use the word sort of "air boss." Is that a term that's used, certainly, I think in --
SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. We would have used it. But I understand what you're saying. Yes, we would be air coordinator. So the coordinator that you see on the log on JRCC is the air coordinator. There's also a Maritime coordinator which would be probably a Coast Guard officer.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Right. And anyone can answer this question. So in the instance where there was RCMP plane, and
also PAL that were engaged, I think it was on the 3rd, who would have been directing them in terms of the search that they were conducting? Any idea about that?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. I wouldn't be able to talk to them directly, as I wasn't involved on that day. I'd only be assuming. I believe, I'm not sure if Sergeant Williams would be familiar?
SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. Sergeant Williams. So in those cases when, I guess, multiple flights are planned and different resources are being coordinated by someone in Sergeant Ashford's position, those areas would be based on a number of the factors that Lieutenant Colonel Marshall had mentioned. Areas already covered. If there was any additional information or clues that were found. If the last known point had changed based on that.

So that information would be a conversation between someone in my position or, in this case, Sergeant Ashford's or Sergeant Norman's, to communicate with them which areas that we would
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like covered for the evening.

And also, from an aeronautic standpoint, their input is also taken into factor. They might have an idea, I guess a better idea, of where to go based on the information that we're providing them. Whether that's a weather situation. Where it's a large area, there might be a little more clearance in an area. So that area maybe gets prioritized in that case because it's able to be done at that time.

And then when all things being ideal, you pick your targets and your areas in which you want to search. But that's based on a number of the factors that Lieutenant Colonel Marshall had raised.

So it's a discussion that happens with the --
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Sure. So in this instance, can you recall how that was done, how the aircraft were directed? In this instance, the PAL and the RCMP, do you know who was directing them?

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. Specifically, Sergeant Ashford. There's no notations in his report about that.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Right.

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. But I'm just, I guess, offering the opinion in that's what's usually done in those cases. But short of him having that documented, there's no official comment for it.
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Also what you're going to see is in an incident like this where you have RCMP, PAL, Universal and Canadian Forces aircraft, the aircrew, themselves, are going to coordinate on the communications in the air to make sure that they're separated by time and space. But also to ensure that we have total coverage.

So you're probably going to see a lot more proactive approach by the aircrew who's searching to make sure that we're doing the most effect search possible. And that's going to just result with a lot of communication between the different
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air assets in the air.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Now, Lieutenant Colonel Marshall, in this instance I think the Universal couldn't fly on the 2 nd and the 3rd. I believe they tried to fly on the 3rd and they couldn't fly.
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Right.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. I think the first time that they flew was actually on the 4th. But on the 3rd, there were fixed wing aircraft that got in the air. I think it was the RCMP plane and PAL.

Does that surprise you that fixed wing could get in the air but not a helicopter?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Does it surprise me? Not particularly. Everyone has a different threshold of risk. Fixed wing can go at a higher altitude and be in a safer position.

Without getting into the head of the pilot, through Universal, I don't know why he might have
said the weather wasn't good enough.

But we were able to fly after because it really depends on the type of weather and how high you can go, and you can see whether or not the weather is achievable.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
I believe that the searches talked about the vertical differentiation in visibility. It was very difficult low, but blue sky above at some point. I don't know if that helps.
SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. Some of this might also be based on where the aircraft is coming from. So we focus, I guess, more so on -- and feel free to correct me if I'm wrong.

The aircraft also has to leave from a location, as well. And weather can be a factor on the takeoff where the person's coming from; the routes to get to the search site, not just the search site itself. So that may have also played a factor. Although, I don't recall anything from the notes. And that likely could be a
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A. That's absolutely correct. Depending on where Universal would have flown out of. If they were taking off from, say, Deer Lake and Deer Lake was experiencing the wind and blowing snow; whereas, the Cormorant would have been coming from Gander, and the meteorological conditions might have been different there that enabled the takeoff.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Right.
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
So Sergeant Williams is absolutely correct.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Because I think that the -- because the Cormorant obviously picked up Mr. Rice. And I understand the Universal helicopter at that point couldn't even take off. Were you aware of that?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Yeah. Again, so we were able to take off and with blowing snow it's not universal across where certain areas might be more protected by certain hills, so you're going to have better visibility on the leeward side of the hill where there's
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | less wind. So the Cormorant would have been able | 1 | that's my experience. |
| 2 | to take off. | 2 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 3 |  | 3 | Q. Okay. And Sergeant Williams, do you want to |
| 4 | And I think at one point, and I can't recall | 4 | continue with that? |
| 5 | exactly what day it was, I think it was the first | 5 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 6 | day, where they couldn't search over the area but | 6 | A. So the notes made by the search and rescue teams |
| 7 | they decided to go to Deep Harbour, I think? | 7 | are supposed to go through the Detachment in that |
| 8 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 8 | case. My office would receive an administrative |
| 9 | Q. Harbour Deep, yes. | 9 | document such as claims, damages, which in this |
| 10 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 10 | case were sent. |
| 11 | A. Right. To see if they had arrived there because | 11 |  |
| 12 | the weather was better there. Even though it was | 12 | There were a few damages that did occur, just |
| 13 | unlikely, at least they could do something. | 13 | based on the weather and terrain, and those |
| 14 |  | 14 | things certainly happen. But I will say the |
| 15 | So in a search like this, with the blowing snow, | 15 | practice is to provide those to the local |
| 16 | you could try different areas and see what might | 16 | Detachment because they retain the paper folder |
| 17 | be effective. | 17 | for the investigation; whereas, my office would |
| 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 18 | hold just the administrative to ensure that |
| 19 | Q. Right. Sergeant Cooper, just in terms of the | 19 | they're being compensated on the agreed-upon |
| 20 | documents, we heard yesterday from the gentleman | 20 | rates. |
| 21 | with the Deer Lake Ground Search and Rescue team, | 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 22 | that they had, I guess, documented the search as | 22 | Q. Okay. I'm sorry. So what's the distinction here |
| 23 | they were doing it. Some of the things that they | 23 | between B Division and the local Detachment? |
| 24 | were doing. Some of the information they were | 24 |  |
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| 1 | receiving. And they indicated that they would | 1 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 2 | have given you those documents; is that correct? | 2 | A. Yes. So B Division headquarters in St. John's, |
| 3 | Or given, perhaps not you, but the RCMP | 3 | where my office is out of, we don't retain |
| 4 | Detachment in Deer Lake, the documents. Is that | 4 | anything other than financial documents; whereas, |
| 5 | generally the practice? And was it done in this | 5 | the Detachment itself would have a paper folder |
| 6 | case, do you know? | 6 | based on the full investigation. |
| 7 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 7 |  |
| 8 | A. In this case, I never did receive any documents | 8 | So any investigation that occurs, not just search |
| 9 | from Ground Search and Rescue. I didn't see any | 9 | and rescue, the Detachment, itself, would hold |
| 10 | notification on the PROS file that it was done. | 10 | the paper folder for a specific retention period. |
| 11 | And I have the hardcopy file with me and there | 11 | And that would include all the documents included |
| 12 | are no GSART logs on the file. | 12 | from the file itself. |
| 13 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 13 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 14 | Q. Right. And so what is the normal practice? I | 14 | Q. And so it's your expectation that when a ground |
| 15 | mean, I guess, I'm not sure if your protocols | 15 | search and rescue team concludes a search, they |
| 16 | require it, but I understood that that was a | 16 | would forward the documentation to the local |
| 17 | requirement, that when you've engaged or tasked a | 17 | Detachment; is that your understanding? |
| 18 | GSAR team, one of the responsibilities is to give | 18 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 19 | you the documentation that they have made during | 19 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 20 | the search; is that right? | 20 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 21 | Q. And so in terms of financial, what kind of |
| 22 | A. In my experience I haven't received any logs from | 22 | financial documents would you get? |
| 23 | any of the searches, that they will be held | 23 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 24 | locally by Ground Search and Rescue. But again, | 24 | A. Essentially, within our policies and within the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | disclosure here, there's an agreed set of | 1 | position, and Mr. Blackmore in his position, just |
| 2 | costings for the search and rescue teams. | 2 | to go over if there's anything maybe someone |
| 3 |  | 3 | wasn't comfortable in sharing on site. |
| 4 | Just simple things such as mileage are | 4 |  |
| 5 | compensated at a rate. Or it might be, if a | 5 | If there's any concerns expressed, they would go |
| 6 | piece of equipment is damaged during the course | 6 | through their, I guess, chain of command to |
| 7 | of the search, obviously we would compensate for | 7 | Mr. Blackmore, and my search and rescue, my |
| 8 | that. Things such as the teams' command posts, | 8 | Detachments who were involved in the search and |
| 9 | so there's command post rental fees. Those sorts | 9 | rescue. Open line of communication to |
| 10 | of things to offset their costs in which instead | 10 | communicate if there are. |
| 11 | of paying out of pocket. | 11 |  |
| 12 |  | 12 | There have been very few cases of that. Maybe a |
| 13 | Obviously, they're a volunteer organization but | 13 | few. If there are any things that needs to be |
| 14 | they do incur some costs throughout the course of | 14 | discussed to a deeper level -- certainly not in |
| 15 | the search. Whether that be gas or some | 15 | this case was that. It was just a sharing of |
| 16 | equipment usage, just general wear and tear, or | 16 | operational knowledge between myself and |
| 17 | something breaking. | 17 | Mr. Blackmore. |
| 18 |  | 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 19 | So those financial documents, it's simply a claim | 19 | Q. Right. And so when you have those discussions, |
| 20 | form that comes in and gets reviewed at the end | 20 | then you wouldn't necessarily have the documents |
| 21 | of the search by myself. | 21 | from the GSAR team that had conducted the search; |
| 22 |  | 22 | is that right? |
| 23 | If there's any questions, I'll reach out to the | 23 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 24 | team itself, whoever provides it, just to clarify | 24 | A. No. That's correct. |
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| 1 | the expenses. And then money is provided to the | 1 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 2 | team for the amount that was provided on the | 2 | Q. Now, Exhibit 171, page 45. |
| 3 | invoice for the amount. | 3 | THE CLERK: |
| 4 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 4 | 45? |
| 5 | Q. And so, I guess, once the search is done there | 5 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 6 | isn't sort of a debrief with B Division and the | 6 | Yeah. |
| 7 | local Detachment then, and the GSAR team. That | 7 | BY MR. RALPH: |
| 8 | doesn't happen. Or does that sometimes happen? | 8 | Q. I think this is a note by Constable Canning. Am |
| 9 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 9 | I right to call him constable? |
| 10 | A. Well, by our policy, you're supposed to have an | 10 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 11 | operational debrief. Not a mental health | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | debrief, but an operational debrief with the | 12 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 13 | team. | 13 | Q. And he said that Acting Staff Art Anderson took a |
| 14 |  | 14 | review of the file and one of the things he noted |
| 15 | That being said, those debriefs often happen | 15 | was that there was a Form 3450 was not completed. |
| 16 | right at the end of the search if everyone's | 16 | Can anyone tell me what that form is? Sergeant |
| 17 | available at that point. It's a discussion on | 17 | Williams? |
| 18 | how things went. If something could be modified | 18 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 19 | or changed at the time. It's a focus on if | 19 | A. Yes. Might that be the Missing Persons Form that |
| 20 | there's any areas for improvement or | 20 | we reviewed earlier? |
| 21 | communication. | 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 22 |  | 22 | Q. Okay. So it was subsequently filled out; is that |
| 23 | And also, for larger scale searches, often | 23 | right? Because this note is January 21st, 2021. |
| 24 | there's a communication with myself in my | 24 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LT.-COL MARSHALL: | 1 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 2 | A. Are you able to scroll up there, Madam Clerk? | 2 | A. Yeah, I'd have to verify that. But I do know |
| 3 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 3 | that those devices are followed by certain |
| 4 | Q. Let's go to the (inaudible) page. He calls it a | 4 | companies who have emergency centres in one |
| 5 | minor discrepancy. I'm just curious as to... | 5 | location. Probably in the United States, based |
| 6 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 6 | on my knowledge of certain companies. And |
| 7 | A. Yeah. I'm unable to speak, I guess, specifically | 7 | they're responsible for monitoring their clients |
| 8 | to that. That it had to be Sergeant Canning. | 8 | who operate these devices. |
| 9 | There's Sergeant Canning and Constable Canning. | 9 |  |
| 10 | They're a couple. | 10 | Based on any type of SOS that comes from those |
| 11 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 11 | devices, they would be able to track them and |
| 12 | Q. Okay. | 12 | then provide the right response. Whether that is |
| 13 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 13 | a ground SAR or a federal SAR. |
| 14 | A. So I couldn't speak to that exactly, I guess | 14 |  |
| 15 | based on that line, exactly what he's referring | 15 | They also have the ability to do the rescue |
| 16 | to there. | 16 | themselves, depending on how much you paid the |
| 17 |  | 17 | company for VIP service or not. |
| 18 | It's possible, I guess, that it might not have | 18 |  |
| 19 | been apparent to him at the time. However, as we | 19 | So they are able to be tracked and possibly sent |
| 20 | can see on the form there, the forms are | 20 | to JRCC from those companies. |
| 21 | completed. So I can't speak directly to that | 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 22 | comment. | 22 | Q. Because we're in the JRCC log and it appears to |
| 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 23 | indicate that they sort of, I guess, got the |
| 24 | Q. Lieutenant Colonel Marshall, it's interesting to | 24 | username and the password for Eric Park's inReach |
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| 1 | note, and correct me if I'm wrong, but it appears | 1 | app? |
| 2 | to me as though the JRCC were following Eric | 2 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 3 | Park's inReach. And they had access to that but | 3 | A. Right. |
| 4 | not necessarily access to the inReach of the | 4 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 5 | searchers. Or they couldn't follow the searchers | 5 | Q. Sorry, Sergeant Cooper? |
| 6 | but they were able to follow Eric Park, who was | 6 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 7 | one of the original snowmobilers. Do you recall | 7 | A. In that instance there was a reach out to Katie |
| 8 | seeing that in the documents? | 8 | Park, wife of Eric Park, where she provided the |
| 9 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 9 | username and password. And that was passed on to |
| 10 | A. Yeah. I'm afraid I can't really comment. I'm | 10 | JRCC which allowed them to track Mr. Park. |
| 11 | not quite familiar with that part of it. I'm | 11 |  |
| 12 | sorry. | 12 | There was a reach out to one of the Deer Lake |
| 13 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 13 | GSART members to obtain their information. He |
| 14 | Q. No worries. But, I guess, generally speaking, | 14 | was able to recall his username, but not his |
| 15 | it's a tremendous idea that JRCC would have | 15 | password. And that was why they were unable to |
| 16 | access to sort of see where snowmobilers are | 16 | track that GSART member's inReach. |
| 17 | going, or the Ground Search and Rescue | 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 18 | snowmobilers, or hikers or whomever, if they had | 18 | Q. Right. Because you would think that that would |
| 19 | that. | 19 | be kind of a standard practice, wouldn't you? |
| 20 |  | 20 | And it sounds like a great idea. Sorry, go |
| 21 | Is that available anywhere else in the country, | 21 | ahead, Mr. Blackmore. |
| 22 | to your knowledge, that JRCC can actually know | 22 | MR. BLACKMORE: |
| 23 | where the Ground Search and Rescues are when | 23 | The only way that we can -- |
| 24 | they're. | 24 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 1 | MR. BLACKMORE: |
| 2 | (Inaudible.) | 2 | A. But otherwise, they're personal machines, right? |
| 3 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 3 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 4 | A. Harry Blackmore. The only way we can share our | 4 | Q. Right. Fair enough. Lieutenant Colonel |
| 5 | inReach with the person is we have to share it by | 5 | Marshall, there are, it indicates, many |
| 6 | email, unless they go to the user account. And | 6 | attachments. And perhaps you can explain what |
| 7 | if you got eight or ten inReaches on the ground, | 7 | that means because I don't see any attachments |
| 8 | there's no way they would have been able to even | 8 | here, but I see throughout the log here that |
| 9 | keep track of them from the helicopter. It'd go | 9 | there are, I guess -- I mean, I think there is |
| 10 | back to JRCC or whatever. | 10 | upwards of, sort of, maybe 50 attachments. |
| 11 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 11 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 12 | Q. Let me -- | 12 | A. Yeah. So we're looking at -- sorry, I'm |
| 13 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 13 | Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. |
| 14 | A. But I had to actually share my track with you and | 14 |  |
| 15 | then I would had -- he was there, he would have | 15 | We're looking at certain log entries at 0914, |
| 16 | to share it with you. He would have to share it | 16 | 0920 on the 4th of February, on page 37. |
| 17 | with you, and you (inaudible) keep control of. | 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 18 |  | 18 | Which exhibit? |
| 19 | That's the way these inReach machines work. | 19 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 20 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 20 | A. Sorry, Exhibit 97 on page 37. What we're looking |
| 21 | Q. Right. | 21 | at is possibly the crews can send back maps to |
| 22 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 22 | JRCC of what they looked at and then sent it. It |
| 23 | A. You can go into -- | 23 | would have been the attachment, but I don't think |
| 24 |  | 24 | the attachments are included in the exhibits. |
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| 1 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 1 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 2 | Q. But I would have thought there'd be some way you | 2 | Q. Right. So the aircraft, themselves, they have |
| 3 | could have a protocol where that information | 3 | tracking devices and they would then send that? |
| 4 | would be available to whomever is -- | 4 | Or would JRCC have that themselves? |
| 5 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 5 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 6 | A. Depending on who got the machines. Because you | 6 | A. Yeah, we have different ways to track it. It |
| 7 | must remember, those machines -- | 7 | could be a physical map, which the navigator |
| 8 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 8 | would have been keeping track of the search plot |
| 9 | Q. So you don't think there'll ever be a situation | 9 | so that, in general, we would send back to RCC so |
| 10 | where the JRCC should have access to GSAR teams' | 10 | that they know exactly what terrain was covered. |
| 11 | inReach? | 11 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 12 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 12 | Q. Right. |
| 13 | A. Yeah, but most of them, see, are personal inReach | 13 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 14 | machines. The teams, we could only afford to | 14 | A. So that if they have to do a second search on a |
| 15 | give them two per team. | 15 | different crew, so they'll know exactly what |
| 16 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 16 | areas have been covered. And the crews would |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Right. So if it was actual GSAR team | 17 | send back a map. |
| 18 | inReach, then that might be a different | 18 |  |
| 19 | situation? | 19 | We also have iPad applications that we can also |
| 20 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 20 | send electronically. That's another way to cover |
| 21 | A. It probably could be, yes. | 21 | a search area. |
| 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 23 | Q. Okay. |  | Q. And so those attachments might indicate exactly |
| 24 |  | 24 | whether they flew over the locations where these |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | gentlemen were found; is that correct? | 1 | And did either of you or all of you want to, sort |
| 2 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 2 | of, address how to go forward in terms of having |
| 3 | A. Yeah. Some of the maps, they would show exactly | 3 | a better situation in terms of communications? |
| 4 | where we searched, and at what visibility. So | 4 |  |
| 5 | depending on our altitude and speed, would be how | 5 | What would be the solution or are there solutions |
| 6 | far we're actually able to visually look. And | 6 | out there for this? |
| 7 | that really depends on altitude. But it would | 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 8 | tell the RCCs exactly what fidelity the search | 8 | A. So I'll just comment on that. Lieutenant Colonel |
| 9 | was done at. | 9 | Marshall. I'll comment. |
| 10 |  | 10 |  |
| 11 | So something that was done on a one-mile | 11 | There's many search teams within Newfoundland. |
| 12 | visibility is less precise than, say, a | 12 | think the number was 25 . We certainly don't want |
| 13 | half-a-mile visibility. So the turns would be | 13 | each search team to have the ability to call RCC |
| 14 | sharper, but then you have a better visibility. | 14 | to ask for air assets, which is why we prefer |
| 15 |  | 15 | that they funnel through the provincial authority |
| 16 | So if we covered one search area on a one-mile | 16 | who has the authority to air task or to request |
| 17 | visibility, and we covered -- at one point during | 17 | from RCC. So that's our preferred method. |
| 18 | a search, we covered the whole area, RCC might | 18 |  |
| 19 | decide to redo that search area but at a lower | 19 | But during a search -- |
| 20 | visibility just to get more fidelity on that | 20 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 21 | search. | 21 | Q. But once engaged, I guess, yes. |
| 22 |  | 22 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 23 | And the lower you go the better you can see, but | 23 | A. Once engaged, absolutely. Every search that I |
| 24 | you can't see as far because of the distance. So | 24 | see we're going to have some type of |
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| 1 | you can understand that. | 1 | communication with whoever is the best knowledge |
| 2 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 2 | on the ground of the searching, whether it's an |
| 3 | Q. Right. | 3 | eronautical or humanitarian event. To us, it's |
| 4 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 4 | always good to get information from local |
| 5 | A. So there's a lot of factors that go | 5 | knowledge. |
| 6 | especially for a large search. | 6 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 7 | Q. Right. So in this instance, I don't think that |
| 8 | Q. One thing that kind of surprises me. I mean | 8 | the JRC could communicate directly with the |
| 9 | this search was a bit unique because the | 9 | Command Post; is that correct? |
| 10 | communication problems were kind of unique to the | 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 11 | situation in terms of weather and Bell being down | 11 | A. In this instance JRCC would have been in contact |
| 12 | and so on and so forth. | 12 | with myself on the first day, as search/incident |
| 13 |  | 13 | commander, or with one of the risk managers that |
| 14 | But I'm surprised that there has to be so many | 14 | were on shift in B Division headquarters. |
| 15 | different kind of -- someone calls someone, who | 15 |  |
| 16 | calls someone else, who calls someone else, as | 16 | As for the other days, most days there was a risk |
| 17 | opposed to less, sort of, direct contact. | 17 | manager working so the contact would be gone |
| 18 |  | 18 | through them, just for consistency. |
| 19 | And, again, in this instance, I don't know what | 19 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 20 | the solution would be, but there seemed to be | 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | obviously challenges in terms of the people on | 21 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 22 | the ground speaking to the, sort of, JRCC or | 22 | For the record, that was Sergeant Cooper. |
| 23 | other people involved in air support. | 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 24 |  |  | Q. Sorry, Sergeant Williams? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 1 | conversations and discussions and information, |
| 2 | A. Yes, Sergeant Williams here. So to answer your | 2 | generally do get funneled up to a level of rank. |
| 3 | question about, I guess, many hands having to be | 3 | So those discussions are able to be had at a |
| 4 | a part of this. Just from the RCMP side of | 4 | level, especially when you're dealing outside of |
| 5 | things, there is varying degrees and level of | 5 | the agency itself when you're communicating with |
| 6 | experience and knowledge that happens. There are | 6 | partner agencies. |
| 7 | some circumstances and occasions where Detachment | 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 8 | members, or people that are on scene at the file, | 8 | Q. So, Sergeant Williams, in this instance the |
| 9 | it may be a very junior detachment. | 9 | incident commander couldn't be at the Command |
| 10 |  | 10 | Post, and I would think, generally speaking, that |
| 11 | Those processes of the communication upward go | 11 | it's best to have the incident commander at the |
| 12 | through a rank system. And what the rank means | 12 | Command Post. I think that RCMP policy would |
| 13 | to us in a lot of cases is experience and it's | 13 | sort of dictate that's how you should handle it? |
| 14 | knowledge. So that just allows the proper | 14 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 15 | safeguards on those resources. | 15 | A. Yes, certainly. All things being ideal, |
| 16 |  | 16 | communication being perfect, it's a scenario |
| 17 | Certainly, we want to support the searches as | 17 | where if perfect conditions, communication |
| 18 | best possible. But also those discussions do | 18 | conditions, that person would be able to be there |
| 19 | have to be undertaken at certain levels because | 19 | on site. |
| 20 | those resources, maybe they're needed somewhere | 20 |  |
| 21 | else. It has to fit the circumstance to engage | 21 | But, certainly, as we're seeing in this case, |
| 22 | air assets. | 22 | communications were a little bit difficult. And, |
| 23 |  | 23 | for instance, and certainly Sergeant Cooper can |
| 24 | And then, certainly, the contact through | 24 | speak more to this, but his conversation wouldn't |
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| 1 | Emergency Services Division. We do have almost a | 1 | only be with the group that's on the ground at |
| 2 | rank requirement. We have an approved list, as | 2 | the Command Post. There would also be a lot of |
| 3 | we saw in the last portion of the Inquiry, of our | 3 | outward communication and upward communication. |
| 4 | members that are able to contact Emergency | 4 |  |
| 5 | Services Division. And that's based on rank | 5 | So from his standpoint, taking a portion of poor |
| 6 | because rank, in our organization, does mean | 6 | communications would be likely the best course of |
| 7 | experience to allow those proper safeguards to be | 7 | action to be out from that to get that |
| 8 | in place. | 8 | communication, but only having that section of |
| 9 |  | 9 | his communication grid to be affected. |
| 10 | And to also coordinate that conversation, there | 10 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 11 | might be things going on from an administrative | 11 | Q. Right. |
| 12 | standpoint, from an operational standpoint, and | 12 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 13 | we want to make sure that we're making the best | 13 | A. And impacted negatively by communications. |
| 14 | possible decisions in those cases. So that's why | 14 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 15 | that's in place. | 15 | Q. I guess my point is, ideally, you'd like to have |
| 16 |  | 16 | someone at the Command Post who's having |
| 17 | And that's consistent with many operations within | 17 | communications with the JRCC, or whomever is |
| 18 | the RCMP, or paramilitary organization, or rank | 18 | conducting the air support? |
| 19 | structural organizations, wherein it starts at a | 19 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 20 | level. | 20 | A. Certainly. All things being ideal and |
| 21 |  | 21 | communications being perfect, for sure. |
| 22 | Generally, the level that is on the ground in the | 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 23 | first response capacity comes at a lower rank, | 23 | Q. And so in this instance that wasn't possible. I |
| 24 | which sometimes is less experience, but those | 24 | mean, I'm just curious, I mean, is there some |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | other way that we can adopt some sort of system | 1 | address that? |
| 2 | for communications that where, in that instance, | 2 | MR. BLACKMORE: |
| 3 | the person at the Command Post wouldn't have been | 3 | A. Harry Blackmore. Some years ago there was a |
| 4 | able to communicate with the JRCC or with | 4 | study done by an Air Force person. He was |
| 5 | Universal or whomever was conducting the air | 5 | assigned to National Search Secretariat at the |
| 6 | search? | 6 | time, to come up with a strategy that the command |
| 7 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 7 | posts would be able to talk directly to the |
| 8 | A. Sergeant Cooper. Ideally, yes. Unfortunately, | 8 | Cormorant, to any plane whatsoever. |
| 9 | each search is different. And given our | 9 |  |
| 10 | geography, our terrain, communications is a huge | 10 | It ended up that they couldn't get the |
| 11 | issue in this province. | 11 | frequencies down low enough within the VHF system |
| 12 |  | 12 | that everybody uses for the helicopter part of |
| 13 | In this instance, I chose to be at the | 13 | it. |
| 14 | Detachment. As Sergeant Williams said, I would | 14 |  |
| 15 | have to coordinate between Emergency Management, | 15 | Now, with the Cormorant, we can use Channel 19 or |
| 16 | FES-NL, JRCC. | 16 | the National SAR. I think they can do it. The |
| 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 17 | helicopters, by FES-NL, can all go to what we |
| 18 | Q. Yeah. I'm not taking issue with | 18 | call the EMO channel, so that we can talk to |
| 19 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 19 | them. |
| 20 | A. No, no. | 20 |  |
| 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 21 | But you've got to remember, where the Command |
| 22 | Q. You know, I'm not taking issue that you stayed | 22 | Post was set up, and with our terrain, that VHF |
| 23 | there. | 23 | radios only work so much. And from the Cat Arm, |
| 24 |  | 24 | if you were never up there, from where that |
|  | Page 102 |  | Page 104 |
| 1 | SERGEANT COOPER: |  | Command Post was to where everybody was flying, |
| 2 | A. Yeah. | 2 | it would be almost impossible to even contact |
| 3 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 3 | directly to the helicopters or whatever. |
| 4 | Q. That seems like a right decision. It's just | 4 |  |
| 5 | curious to me that is there some kind of | 5 | So they have to go through their Command Post |
| 6 | communication system that exists somewhere in the | 6 | back to JRCC the way they're doing it. Hopefully |
| 7 | world where you could have a command post in | 7 | if the new radio system that they're so-called |
| 8 | Jackson's Arm and be able to communicate with the | 8 | plan within government works, we'd all be able to |
| 9 | JRCC or Universal or PAL? | 9 | talk to each other. |
| 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 10 |  |
| 11 | A. Ideally, we would like to see the Command Post | 11 | But the conditions they had were totally from |
| 12 | set up in an area where communications wouldn't | 12 | ideal. Not even close to be able to put a |
| 13 | be as tough. | 13 | command post. |
| 14 |  | 14 |  |
| 15 | In this situation, I mean, there is no cell | 15 | It's like you right now, if you want to talk to a |
| 16 | coverage. As soon as you leave Hampton Junction, | 16 | command post that was set up in the other side of |
| 17 | there's basically no cell phone coverage off the | 17 | Deer Lake, you just wouldn't be able to do it. |
| 18 | White Bay highway. And the Command Post was set | 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 19 | up there for reasons. In that area, that was the | 19 | Q. Right. Well, that was my question. Is there a |
| 20 | hub. That was the way to get into the Cat Arm | 20 | communication system that exists that -- |
| 21 | Reservoir, by road. So it made the best sense to | 21 | MR. BLACKMORE: |
| 22 | set up the Command Post there. | 22 | A. They have tried. They can't get the frequencies |
| 23 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 23 | in the VHF radios down low enough for what |
| 24 | Q. And Mr. Blackmore, I think, do you want to | 24 | they're doing. Right across the county there's a |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | setup. There's a National SAR channel now, | 1 |  |
| 2 | 149.08, that everybody uses to try to | 2 | And if I can ask Madam Clerk to bring up Exhibit |
| 3 | communicate. | 3 | $\mathrm{P}-13$, please. And I'll get the page reference |
| 4 |  | 4 | for you. Page 3. |
| 5 | I know the Air Force has it for sure now, and | 5 | THE CLERK: |
| 6 | it's only been put in probably within the last | 6 | Just a second. I'm knocked out of the Internet, |
| 7 | few years. I'm not sure a hundred percent. But | 7 | so I've got to go another way to get it. |
| 8 | that's the only part. | 8 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 9 |  | 9 | Q. Okay. This is the policy on Lost/Overdue |
| 10 | But, otherwise, for the Cormorant we use Channel | 10 | Persons. And if we scroll down there to Section |
| 11 | 19. In Newfoundland, Labrador, for whoever's got | 11 | 5.5 , if I'm not mistaken. Yes, 5.5, on page 3. |
| 12 | the contract, which right now is Canadian, we use | 12 |  |
| 13 | the EMO channel, which is 166. | 13 | And there it identifies -- and this comes under |
| 14 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 14 | the Responsibilities of the Incident Commander, |
| 15 | Q. Right. Thank you. | 15 | if I'm not mistaken. That's the heading of this |
| 16 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 16 | section. Yeah, Search and Incident Commander. |
| 17 | A. Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. Again, I think what | 17 | And it outlines a number of responsibilities. |
| 18 | we're talking about is ease of use which would be | 18 |  |
| 19 | VHF radios and cost. | 19 | And, obviously, these are all happening in very |
| 20 |  | 20 | real and fast time. And I can appreciate that, |
| 21 | If you really wanted the perfect system to be | 21 | particularly when a notice comes in of a lost |
| 22 | able to use anywhere for a command post, then you | 22 | person. |
| 23 | would buy a bunch of satellite phones and every | 23 |  |
| 24 | ground searcher would have a satellite phone to | 24 | But under Section 5.5 it says, "Establish |
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| 1 | talk to each other. But they're very expensive | 1 | communications with the media and family." |
| 2 | to buy and to maintain. | 2 |  |
| 3 |  | 3 | And so I want to expound on that a little bit and |
| 4 | So, yes, there is a system. It's called | 4 | find out, are there any other specific policies |
| 5 | satellite phones. But I don't think it's | 5 | in place? Because this is just kind of a |
| 6 | feasible in this situation. | 6 | one-liner? |
| 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 7 |  |
| 8 | I have no further questions. Thank you. | 8 | And then when we looked at, for example, Exhibit |
| 9 | MR. BUDDEN: | 9 | 171, page 45, that Mr. Ralph coincidentally had |
| 10 | Mr. Williams, have you any questions? | 10 | just brought up, it had made reference in respect |
| 11 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: | 11 | to this matter. |
| 12 | Q. Thank you. Yes, I just have one area of | 12 |  |
| 13 | questions and I'm not sure who's best. Probably | 13 | And, again, I'm not looking at the search that |
| 14 | Sergeant Williams, you may be best to address | 14 | we're the subject to today. But coincidentally, |
| 15 | this. | 15 | that exhibit states, "Form 6519 Complainant/" -- |
| 16 |  | 16 | and it's at the top of that page, "Complainant/ |
| 17 | And it's with respect to policies pertaining to, | 17 | family communication schedule not completed and |
| 18 | and it's not this incident, incidents in general, | 18 | no contact logs created identifying any family |
| 19 | with respect to family communications. | 19 | contact." |
| 20 |  | 20 |  |
| 21 | And I know in the list of exhibits, there's a | 21 | And, again, I don't say this as criticisms |
| 22 | number of exhibits, and I'm referring to Exhibits | 22 | because I know Sergeant Cooper had made reference |
| 23 | 13 through 16, generally, which outlines some of | 23 | in his Direct testimony having been in contact |
| 24 | the RCMP Search and Rescue policies and manuals. | 24 | with the family in this particular case, and a |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | regular basis, numerous occasions. | 1 | contacted the person. Oftentimes, it's not as |
| 2 |  | 2 | standard procedure that it has to happen each |
| 3 | Getting around to my question is, is there a | 3 | time contact is made. But supervisors do like to |
| 4 | formal policy in place with respect to how police | 4 | see that as an external thing, instead, for their |
| 5 | and/or, I guess, in the instances where it's -- | 5 | purposes of having to dig through the file to |
| 6 | and let Lieutenant Colonel Marshall speak after | 6 | say, wait a minute, oh, yeah, it's right here in |
| 7 | in terms of DND practice. | 7 | this report itself, where you contacted the |
| 8 |  | 8 | person. |
| 9 | Is there a formal policy in place in terms of how | 9 |  |
| 10 | to be dealing with families? Because obviously | 10 | So I would say the contact log entry itself is |
| 11 | it's a fairly emotionally charged incident at | 11 | just an easier thing for the supervisor reviewing |
| 12 | that incident, at that point in time. And it was | 12 | the file, instead of having to dig through the |
| 13 | of interest where it said here, "created | 13 | file itself. It's a quick notation in a general |
| 14 | identifying family contacts." | 14 | screen to say contact was made. So, I guess, |
| 15 |  | 15 | that speaks to the contact log entry point made. |
| 16 | Because in the Burton Winters scenario that was | 16 |  |
| 17 | complicated by the fact that we had a mom and a | 17 | Similar to the form that was mentioned, it's just |
| 18 | stepmom; one was in Makkovik, one was in Goose | 18 | an external way of pulling that out of a report |
| 19 | Bay. Of course, both had the same concerns and | 19 | itself for, more so, the supervisor's benefit as |
| 20 | expressions. And one had said I didn't know what | 20 | a quick confirm how many contacts had been made |
| 21 | was going on. I'll leave it at that. | 21 | versus reviewing the file itself, and within the |
| 22 |  | 22 | wording of everything going on to be able to |
| 23 | If you could speak or expound on what's the | 23 | highlight or underline, okay, you spoke to this |
| 24 | policy and can we identify it somewhere in one of | 24 | contact family member, complainant, or what have |
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| 1 | the books? And what is this form that's | 1 | you. |
| 2 | referenced in that document? | 2 |  |
| 3 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 3 | In terms of search and rescue, that line in |
| 4 | A. Sure. Sergeant Williams here. To speak to the | 4 | policy -- and no, it does not go into great |
| 5 | technical aspects, the contact log entry itself, | 5 | detail on how to do that, but that's more so |
| 6 | it's a way on our PROS system, you can add it at | 6 | because it would be very specific to the search |
| 7 | a -- it's like a data. And it's simply no | 7 | and rescue operation itself. The method or |
| 8 | different than what you would see on the general | 8 | degree of communication with the family. |
| 9 | reports or the report saying contact made. It's | 9 |  |
| 10 | just something that can be externally added. | 10 | We do assign family liaisons in these cases. It |
| 11 |  | 11 | might be the lead investigator, depending on the |
| 12 | So that would be, I guess, more of a tech piece. | 12 | size and shape of the Detachment itself. |
| 13 | It's not saying that contacts weren't necessarily | 13 |  |
| 14 | made in that case. It's just saying the specific | 14 | We saw in Makkovik that there was certainly a |
| 15 | tech link to the PROS system outlining every time | 15 | different scenario in a smaller community where |
| 16 | you call the person, you add a contact log entry. | 16 | family members were within the community. And |
| 17 | But that can also be, and is, outlined in the | 17 | also external to the community. |
| 18 | file when those contacts were made. | 18 |  |
| 19 |  | 19 | In this case, I believe, both of the subjects |
| 20 | So that's just an external -- it's an internal, | 20 | there were not from the area and from the metro |
| 21 | sorry, process within our PROS system where you | 21 | area in St. John's. So I guess that's another |
| 22 | would just add a contact log entry. | 22 | different scenario. |
| 23 |  | 23 |  |
| 24 | It doesn't dictate whether or not you had | 24 | So there is no specific policy on how to do that. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 1 | There is training received in depot in more | 1 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 2 | general terms on how to be a family liaison and | 2 | Q. I would say that obviously communication is key |
| 3 | to communicate in those types of situations and | 3 | in these situations, and is of high value. It |
| 4 | crisis communication situations. | 4 | would be difficult to get too specific into how |
| 5 |  | 5 | that's going to be undertaken just because of the |
| 6 | But in terms of a search and recuse context that | 6 | size, the shape, and the scope of the |
| 7 | specifically, that line there, is the policy in | 7 | investigation in the search. So it would be |
| 8 | contacting the family. | 8 | difficult to lay out very specifically how that |
| 9 |  | 9 | can be done. |
| 10 | How that's established afterwards and the method | 10 |  |
| 11 | and the tactics you choose to undertake for that, | 11 | But, yeah, anytime we can implement something |
| 12 | that would be up to the Detachment itself and the | 12 | hat's able to be a little more clear in terms of |
| 13 | experience of the member being involved. | 13 | communication would be a benefit for sure. |
| 14 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: | 14 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 15 | Q. And I just want to elaborate on a little more, | 15 | Q. And I don't know if there's anything on the DND |
| 16 | only because it would seem to me that an incident | 16 | side, if they have a policy pertaining |
| 17 | commander -- I mean, it falls under the task of | 17 | particularly to family communications? |
| 18 | an incident commander, but it would seem to me | 18 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 19 | that an incident commander has a hell of a lot on | 19 | A. Yeah, Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. I'll |
| 20 | his plate at that particular time when the matter | 20 | certainly provide you with some quotes on policy |
| 21 | is ongoing. | 21 | for the policy roundtable. I'll definitely have |
| 22 |  | 22 | that for you, with respect to the family. |
| 23 | So family liaison, while certainly shouldn't be | 23 |  |
| 24 | secondary, may serve to be a secondary aspect, | 24 | But we do definitely contact the family during |
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| 1 | given the fact that they're trying to get boots | 1 | the search phase, if necessary, to gain |
| 2 | on the ground and people out on a search. | 2 | information. Because, possibly, the family might |
| 3 |  | 3 | be the best source of information about patterns |
| 4 | Do you think it would be worthwhile -- I mean, it | 4 | or certain routes that they might take habitually |
| 5 | hits me that the circumstances, because I said | 5 | for certain types of voyages that they do. |
| 6 | people are so emotionally charged in these | 6 |  |
| 7 | things, there could be a whole lot of varying | 7 | But post-search, we don't necessarily think that |
| 8 | degrees and, as we've heard, there could be | 8 | contact with the family would affect the |
| 9 | resources needed for families right on the spot, | 9 | searching because we would have a controller who |
| 10 | whether it be mental health resources or other | 10 | controls a search. |
| 11 | support systems to be put in place, that it would | 11 |  |
| 12 | be worthwhile having a policy, a communications | 12 | And now I'm not speaking about the Ground Search |
| 13 | policy, in place. | 13 | and Rescue phase, but a federal case. But we |
| 14 |  | 14 | also have other people in JRCC that could liaise |
| 15 | Whether the incident commander is the right | 15 | with the family, whether it's the public affairs |
| 16 | person to do that. Whether the media contact | 16 | officer or the officer in charge of JRCC. |
| 17 | person is the person to do that. It just seems | 17 |  |
| 18 | to me that there's a bit of a void there. And | 18 | So that wouldn't affect the controller actually |
| 19 | that the forms that we refer to are subsequent | 19 | engaging that search. |
| 20 | review processes? | 20 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 21 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 21 | Q. Okay. And maybe the last person I could throw it |
| 22 | A. Sure. | 22 | over to would be Mr. Blackmore, because your |
| 23 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: | 23 | group obviously is on the ground. Families are |
| 24 | Q. For supervisors, etcetera. | 24 | probably on the scene. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | From command post perspective, do you folks find | 1 | As well, and I can only speak to this file as |
| 2 | challenges trying to manage or trying to handle | 2 | that's why I'm here. As you see, Sergeant |
| 3 | families that are obviously searching for more | 3 | Canning's comment, 6519 was not filled out. In |
| 4 | information and want more details? And I'm sure | 4 | this instance where it was a lost/overdue person, |
| 5 | everybody says I want to be kept up to date. I | 5 | it wouldn't have been mandatory. |
| 6 | want to know what's happening. I want to know | 6 |  |
| 7 | what they're finding. | 7 | But as you can see in her follow-up comments, she |
| 8 |  | 8 | did say that the contact was listed in the |
| 9 | What is your experience from a | 9 | member's reports directly. |
| 10 | boots-on-the-ground perspective, and do you take | 10 |  |
| 11 | responsibility for that or do you pass it along? | 11 | In ideal situations we would have a member |
| 12 | And, if so, to whom? | 12 | directly assigned for family contact. In this |
| 13 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 13 | instance, I can tell you we didn't have the |
| 14 | A. No. For the search and rescue volunteers in this | 14 | direct resources available, which is why I took |
| 15 | province all family matters are dealt through | 15 | that on, on the first day. |
| 16 | with the liaison on the scene; whether it's the | 16 |  |
| 17 | actual incident commander or one of his liaison | 17 | But as you can read in my reports, on 170, we did |
| 18 | people. | 18 | identify a member for day 2 directly. That was |
| 19 |  | 19 | his only duty, was to speak and update the family |
| 20 | Oh, Harry Blackmore, by the way. Sorry about | 20 | directly. |
| 21 | that. But we pass that -- | 21 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 22 | Q. Okay, thank you. The form that you reference, |
| 23 | We can always tell your voice. | 23 | 6519, can you tell me a little about that. Is |
| 24 |  | 24 | that like an ongoing record that's kept, or is |
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| 1 | MR. BLACKMORE: | 1 | that a form that is completed upon completion of |
| 2 | A. We pass that directly to the police and they | 2 | the search/rescue? |
| 3 | handle it because they have more information on | 3 |  |
| 4 | the family than we ever would or want to know. | 4 | When you're completing paperwork for the file and |
| 5 | So that's a police matter. And it's always done | 5 | the occurrence reports, is that form done at that |
| 6 | by the incident commander on the scene or the | 6 | point, or is that a document that is an ongoing |
| 7 | liaison. | 7 | document maintained on an up-to-time basis? |
| 8 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: | 8 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 9 | Q. That's all the questions I have. Thank you. | 9 | A. Sure. It's made to be a living document, to be |
| 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 10 | updated regularly. And it contains a field to |
| 11 | A. Sergeant Cooper, RCMP. | 11 | identify the member who made the contact with the |
| 12 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: | 12 | family. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Yes. | 13 |  |
| 14 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 14 | So it does list the contact date, the liaising |
| 15 | A. Mr. Williams, as you see in our Operations | 15 | member, the type of communication, whether it be |
| 16 | Manual, 37.2 is Lost/Overdue Persons. It doesn't | 16 | update, follow-up, court, investigative, as well |
| 17 | reference the Form 6519 directly. It does speak | 17 | as the contact name or language preference, and |
| 18 | in general context. | 18 | any notes. |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |
| 20 | Our operation manual policy for Missing Persons | 20 | Once again, that's generally made for a missing |
| 21 | does reference the Form 6519 directly. That was | 21 | persons, not for a lost/overdue person. |
| 22 | as a result of a recommendation of the Missing | 22 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 23 | and Murdered Indigenous Women's Task Force. | 23 | Okay, thank you. |
| 24 |  | 24 |  |

1
2
3
4

1 COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
2 Yes, if I could just ask you to speak with your 3 two experts to see if they have any questions arising. We can give you five or ten minutes.
MR. BUDDEN:
Sure. I have some questions myself, but it might
be a good idea to break first to allow me to do that. Thank you.

I'm also going to consult with Mr. Germani, who's here. I believe he's the only searcher here, but I'll certainly speak to him as well.
THE CLERK:
All rise.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Ten minutes.

## (Recess)

THE CLERK:
This Commission of Inquiry is now in session. Please be seated.
MR. BUDDEN:
Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I will have some
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questions for these witnesses. And as I've
explained to counsel and to yourself, with your permission, I've advised Mr. Germani, who was one of the searchers we heard from yesterday, and who's here again today, I'm going to briefly call him.

He has some comments he wished to make with respect to communications during a search. And he'll also speak to the exhibits we entered this morning. Three of them. And when he is done, then the Panelists may wish to comment on what he has to say.

But before I do that, Officers, I'll just briefly explain my role and perhaps give you some context for some of the questions I'll be asking.

I am Commission counsel. This is a Commission of Inquiry that has been tasked with, among other things, making recommendations for the improvement of ground search and rescue in Newfoundland.

And another aspect of any public inquiry is public education. So there are things here that we understand and we take for granted after looking at these issues for some time, or because of our professional training.

So some of the questions I'll ask you are more to elicit information for the better explanation for any interested member of the public or media who may be following us.

So just to give you a bit of context to some of my questions.
BY MR. BUDDEN:
Q. First for Officer Cooper. It's Sergeant, is it?

Yes, thank you. We've heard from the searchers yesterday, heard at length about the weather conditions. And you were there, of course, for that.

And I recall, in particular, Mr. Anstey, Sheldon Anstey speaking, and a gentleman who has had decades of experience in GSAR and in the wilderness in his professional capacity,
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describing it as, really, some of the worst conditions he'd ever experienced.

I realize you weren't there with them, but is that consistent with your understanding, as incident commander, with the circumstances those searchers were facing?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. Yes, that's correct. I know I can speak to the weather conditions in Deer Lake at that time. The weather conditions were brutal. It was very stormy. It was the middle of a blizzard. With that high up in the interior where the search was located, the weather would have been many times worse.

I have been in that area. We've gone up on a trip on a very sunny, blue-sky day, and we've left to come back on a sunny, blue-sky day, and within a matter of minutes the weather comes down. It's impossible to see the loops of the front skis on the snowmobile. It comes down quickly and it comes down hard.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. So what they had to say about visibility, about the wind gusting, about the terrain, all of that was consistent with your understanding and personal experience?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. That's correct. Like, so what we experienced in Deer Lake, and with that altitude, with the search area being so much higher and being in an open area, it would have been extreme, to say the least.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. My next question is really directing at you, but if anybody else, of the three Panelists, wishes to speak to it, that's fine as well.

From my review of the material and from your evidence, I believe the first call -- my understanding is these gentlemen separated from the party at around 1 p.m.

The other three friends searched for them, unsuccessfully, for a couple of hours. And then at $3: 38$, I believe, the call went to the RCMP?
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So that would have been the very first engagement with the authorities to assist in the search?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Yes, that's correct.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. And I understand that the first call was made to JRCC at 5:40. And I will ask Lieutenant Colonel Williams to walk us through that in just a moment.

But that time gap of about two hours, perhaps generally, I guess, I have two questions. One is, that in the circumstances is that an unusual time gap or is that what one would expect?

And the related question is, was the confusion about the responsible Detachment, was time lost sorting that out?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Sergeant Cooper. Given the weather conditions, I don't believe it would have affected the search entirely. It definitely didn't affect, in my opinion, the ground search. The weather conditions were so brutal at the time, and with
sunset at that day being, as a best guess I would say, that time of year, about $4: 30$, that we would not have sent out a Ground Search and Rescue team because now we'd be searching for, potentially, a dozen people instead of two. Again, GSART advised that they wouldn't have headed out in that weather.

There was a time lapse. There was some confusion initially over what Detachment and, more specifically, what Ground Search and Rescue team would be deployable to that area.

I'm not sure that affected the end result, though.

## MR. BUDDEN:

Q. Okay.

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. But we were in contact with our supervisors, and through FES-NL as well.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. And by gap, are we talking minutes or hours?
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## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. Generally, it would typically take -- you could probably measure it in hours rather than minutes.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. But in this particular instance -- I'm sorry, my question wasn't very clear. The time that may have been lost due to the confusion, would that have been minutes lost or hours lost?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. There was a delay, as you said, between 3:38 when our OCC got the initial call, and then JRCC was notified. The first talk with JRCC being notified would have been about two hours later. That's correct.
MR. BUDDEN:
Okay. Perhaps, Madam Clerk, we could call up Exhibit 97, which we've been referring to off and on throughout the day.
BY MR. BUDDEN:
Q. And Sergeant Williams, I see here, right at the very, very beginning of this FES-NL official, Blair Hogan, reached out at 2101 Zulu, which would be 5:31 p.m. on February 1st, Newfoundland Standard Time; would that be correct?

And I guess the other question is, this would be Atlantic time, I presume, or Newfoundland time? Help us there.
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Sorry, it's Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. I think I said 3.5 hours. Looking back, I think it's 2.5 during the winter in Newfoundland from Zulu. So that would be at 1831 local time.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. So 6:31 p.m.?

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Correct.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Now, this would be generated in Halifax, I
believe, which would make it Atlantic Standard Time?

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. The 2101 Zulu is universal; it doesn't change. But if we're going to talk local, from Halifax, you would subtract three. But from Newfoundland, it's subtract two and a half.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. I now get your point. So perhaps you could walk
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us through. I found these next couple of pages pretty --
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. I'm sorry. Is it two and a half or three and a half? I thought, like Daylight Savings Time, I thought, would give you an additional hour?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER:
(Inaudible.)
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Have to get my phone out. But I believe it's minus two and a half, at this time of the year because it's wintertime.

RALPH, Q.C.:
I think it might (inaudible.)
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Okay.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. I'm sorry, when we were looking at the search for Burton, we calculated it as being three and a half, and two and a half when it wasn't Daylight Savings Time.

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. But with that search, they were a part of Atlantic time.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Yes, I know. But still, so it would be three and four?
MR. BUDDEN:
Not two and a half and three. I think that's your point, Mr. Ralph.
RALPH, Q.C.:
That's right.
MR. BUDDEN:
Yeah.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Yeah, it's more.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Nothing necessarily swings on it, but it's good to be accurate. But perhaps you can walk us through that. And what I'm looking to get at here is, we see now over the next several pages how, in fact, we get from the call coming in, to the resources actually getting into the air.

And could you walk us through this document a little bit over the next page or two, not necessarily line by line, but at any level you feel appropriate so that we get a sense of that
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|  | 2021 Pu |  | Missing Persons Page 133 to 136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Page 133 |  | Page 135 |
| 1 | would have to approve this mission. And you see | 1 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 2 | that that was approved just seven minutes later | 2 | A. Standby is how fast we expect the crews to |
| 3 | at 2108 Zulu. | 3 | respond. So if they're on Squadron, 30 minutes |
| 4 | MR. BUDDEN: | 4 | is reasonable for them to get their gear. Well, |
| 5 | Q. So that's pretty tight, seven minutes later. And | 5 | the gear would probably already be on the |
| 6 | you got the go-ahead? | 6 | rframe, whether it's a Cormorant or C130. But |
| 7 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 7 | to get the crew together, take a look at the |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | initial parts of the mission and plan a little |
| 9 | MR. BUDDEN: | 9 | bit. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. | 10 |  |
| 11 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 11 | You don't have a whole lot of time to plan. |
| 12 | A. Of note, after that would be when the Cormorant | 12 | Usually that's done en route. But also, you need |
| 13 | was paged because at this time, I believe this | 13 | to preflight the aircraft, get it ready, and take |
| 14 | was a Friday and it's after the normal working | 14 | off. Thirty minutes is reasonable. |
| 15 | hours, so we would have had our night crew, which | 15 |  |
| 16 | means they're on two-hour standby, which means | 16 | Our average time is anywhere between 20 and 25 |
| 17 | they'd be at home. | 17 | minutes for a takeoff in that posture. When |
| 18 |  | 18 | you're on two hours' notice to move, the crew is |
| 19 | There's some advantages of having a crew on | 19 | usually at home. I would say usually, but for |
| 20 | two-hour standby, because their crew day hasn't | 20 | all cases they are. Or they're only allowed to |
| 21 | started. So when the Cormorant is first paged, | 21 | go within a certain distance from the base. |
| 22 | you would get 15 hours of flying out of that | 22 |  |
| 23 | crew. Whereas, if this happened two hours | 23 | So the crew would be at home. As soon as they |
| 24 | earlier, you would have been using the day crew | 24 | get the page, they would immediately go into |
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| 1 | who would have been on squadron since 7:30 in the | 1 | work. Two hours is the maximum that we expect |
| 2 | morning, and their crew day would have already | 2 | them to take off, but, in general, they take off |
| 3 | been seven hours old. | 3 | approximately one hour and 15 minutes to one hour |
| 4 |  | 4 | and 20 minutes after being called. And those are |
| 5 | So although the response time is less when | 5 | our stats that we've accumulated over the years. |
| 6 | they're on two hours' notice to move, the search | 6 |  |
| 7 | day would last a lot longer. So there's some | 7 | So the difference between the response time for a |
| 8 | negatives to a two-hour standby posture, but | 8 | 30-minute notice to move and a two-hour notice to |
| 9 | there's also some positives. | 9 | move is, in reality, about 40 to 45 minutes, and |
| 10 | MR. BUDDEN: | 10 | not hour and a half. Just because in a search |
| 11 | Q. I'm going to stop you at that point because this | 11 | and rescue scenario, we don't waste any time. We |
| 12 | is an important point that isn't obvious to the | 12 | take off as soon as possible. |
| 13 | public at large. | 13 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 14 |  | 14 | Q. Okay. And it goes without saying, but it never |
| 15 | I'll just put it to you, I guess, for your | 15 | urts to say it I guess. If you're on standby, |
| 16 | agreement. My understanding is that during | 16 | obviously you have to stay in the area. You |
| 17 | normal working hours, it's a half-hour standby, | 17 | can't drink. You got to be ready to roll very |
| 18 | but on weekends and after hours it's a two-hour | 18 | quickly? |
| 19 | standby? | 19 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 20 | LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 20 | A. That's correct. You're on duty. |
| 21 | That's correct. | 21 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 22 | MR. BUDDEN: | 22 | Q. Okay. The 15-hour time limit, perhaps you could |
| 23 | Q. And what does that exactly mean, "standby"? | 23 | explain the rationale why that exists? What |
| 24 |  | 24 | purpose it serves? |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 1 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 2 A. For search and rescue, the maximum crew day would | 2 A. Okay. On the second page, page 2, at 2119, |
| 3 be 15 hours. And that is the maximum allowable | 3 that's when the Cormorant is paged. And then we |
| 4 time that the crew is allowed to work. And that | 4 notified 14 Wing Ops, which is in Greenwood, at |
| 5 is purely for flight safety reasons. | 52122 Zulu, that the Hercules is also going to be |
| 6 | 6 tasked. |
| 7 Fatigue sets in and then accidents can occur. So | 7 |
| 8 we have our 1 Canadian Air Division regulations. | 8 You'll see the tasking for the Hercules at 2125 |
| 9 And they are not all permitted to fly or to work | 9 Zulu. And then the official tasking for the |
| 10 more than 15 hours. | 10 Cormorant comes at 2130 Zulu. |
| 11 MR. BUDDEN: | 11 MR. BUDDEN: |
| 12 Q. And I guess, one way I would characterize it this | 12 Q. What does the word "tasking" mean in this |
| 13 way: There's always a balancing act between the | 13 context? |
| 14 mission to find and rescue a person and crew | 14 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 15 safety. And perhaps you could briefly comment on | 15 A. In the sense that JRCC gives the Cormorant their |
| 16 that. | 16 tasking to go conduct this search. |
| 17 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 17 MR. BUDDEN: |
| 18 A. Exactly. When we look at crew day, we definitely | 18 Q. Okay. Does that mean that's the takeoff time, or |
| 19 have our limits. And if we go beyond those | 19 is that another -- |
| 20 limits, you're risking a lot more than just the | 20 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 21 mission. You're risking the airplane and the | 21 A. No, that's the official tasking. It's a log |
| 22 people. And that's why we have regulations, | 22 entry. When they're paged is when -- the |
| 23 because the crew might think that they're okay to | 23 Cormorant crew doesn't wait for their tasking to |
| 24 go and they want to extend their crew day, but | 24 move. As soon as they're paged, they move. |
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| 1 they're not permitted because we restrict them | 1 MR. BUDDEN: |
| 2 from their own enthusiasm. | 2 Q. Okay. So in this instance, if the call came in |
| 3 MR. BUDDEN: | 3 at 2101 Zulu, when did the Cormorant actually |
| 4 Q. And there are also times when the crew may want | 4 take off? How many minutes or hours later? |
| 5 to fly, but you have regulations saying, no, the | 5 Leave the ground? |
| 6 ceiling is X , you cannot fly? | 6 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 7 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 7 A. You'll see that -- okay. Normally we have the |
| 8 A. Exactly. | 8 Cormorant takeoff data. |
| 9 MR. BUDDEN: | 9 RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 10 Q. So, again, it's to restrain them from their | 10 Q. Is that R907? Is that R907? |
| 11 impulses to save lives? | 11 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 12 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 12 A. R907 is Rescue 907. |
| 13 A. Correct. | 13 RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 14 MR. BUDDEN: | 14 Q. So I think it's bottom of page 4? |
| 15 Q. Okay. And I understand, as well, that SAR | 15 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: |
| 16 technicians and in-flight crews have actually | 16 Of? |
| 17 been lost? Have died in the course of rescue | 17 RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 18 missions? | 18 Q. Of Exhibit 97? |
| 19 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: | 19 LT.-COL. MARSHALL: |
| 20 A. That's correct. | 20 A. Yeah, I see that there. But I'm looking at 2219 |
| 21 MR. BUDDEN: | 21 where it says, it began sortie. So that's a |
| 22 Q. Okay. So perhaps with that little interruption | 22 little confusing to me. |
| 23 you can walk us through, until we get the flights | 23 |
| 24 in the air. | 24 So they began their sortie at 2219. That would |

1 have been when they're on scene.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay.

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. But what that entry is, is probably once the

Rescue 907 was airborne and they called back to say when they were airborne. So they said approx. one hour. So I would extrapolate that they would have been airborne around 2138 Zulu. But it's an approx.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. So even though it was the two-hour response time, rather than the half hour, they're still in the air 38 minutes after that first call was received?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Yeah. Again, that will be an approximation, because the crew said they were airborne approximately one hour. So we don't have the official airborne time on these logs.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Sure. But they were on site. Perhaps you could just say the gap between the first call from FES-NL to the helicopter actually being on site,
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LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. So when we look at page 5, Cormorant arrived on scene at 2326 Zulu. So we're looking at two hours and 25 minutes after FES-NL called is when the Cormorant was on scene, conducting a search.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. On scene, okay. And how about the Hercules, which had to come from Greenwood, Nova Scotia?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Correct. I do have where the Hercules began their sortie, but we don't have a log entry for when they were on scene. But then they ended their sortie because I --

Again, I'm going to extrapolate some information here. It seems that while the Hercules was airborne and en route, they were then turned off because the Cormorant discovered the weather was so poor. So airborne, the Hercules was probably turned around.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. And that was for reasons of safety and usefulness, due to conditions?

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Correct. Yeah. Yeah, you'll see in log entry at 2349 Zulu, on page 6, "Weather on scene is 0/0." That's as low as it gets, obviously.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Yeah. So, again, that is consistent with what you've heard from the searchers and from Sergeant Cooper about the conditions that were there at that time?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Yes, correct.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. Just before we move on, I see here, particularly at the top of page 4, but also on the previous page, there's some inReach data here.

Can you tell us a little bit about the significance of that? And, again, it's on page 3 as well, I believe?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. So I can only assume that based on what Sergeant Cooper had said, was they were able to get the log-in information from inReach and pass it on to
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MR. BUDDEN:
Q. But it's going to be pretty close, I would assume?

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Within visual sight.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Yeah.

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. If the Cormorant were to land and the individual was still there, and there was no blowing snow.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Right.

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. So all of these things. Yes, so it would be close enough to see the Cormorant for sure.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q: So I'll return to this later. But inReach technology certainly can be of great assistance in a SAR operation?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Absolutely. If everybody who got lost had an inReach with an SOS button, then probably 99 percent of them would be found.
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MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. So if the two missing snowmobilers had that technology and had triggered it, activated it, the Cormorant could have basically hovered directly over them?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Yes.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay.

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Sergeant Cooper, RCMP. Mr. Budden, I just wanted to clarify my earlier comments. I did find an entry in Constable Grundy's report, dated February 1st, where, at 1624 hours, he started conversations with Sergeant Ashford, Risk Manager at B Division Headquarters, where JRCC was brought up. Then Sergeant Ashford advised that he would make the call to JRCC.

So that was, I can't determine exact time, between 1624 hours and 1727 hours. And I see a corresponding entry on Exhibit 170, page 57, where Sergeant Ashford made the request with Blair Hogan of FES-NL.

Unfortunately, there is no time stamp to that, it's just dated February 1st.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay?

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. What page is that, I'm sorry? The Exhibit 170 ?

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Page 57 shows Sergeant Ashford's contact with FES-NL. Unfortunately, Constable Grundy's report is not on Exhibit No. 170.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. So that's helpful. That really narrows it down to the maximum time that could have been lost because of the confusion, which I would suggest a manner of maybe an hour, or minutes or something. A fairly brief period of time?

## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. Sergeant Cooper. Definitely. Well, as Constable Grundy's entry is 1624 , so that would have been less than an hour after. Thirty to 40 minutes after the original call.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. I'm sorry, where's Grundy's?
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## SERGEANT COOPER:

A. I'm sorry, I could not find that in Exhibit 170.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. So the information you're getting from Grundy, where is that coming from?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. I'm getting that from our PROS file directly here.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. That's not exhibited?

SERGEANT COOPER:
A. I don't see that report. The majority of reports are in 170. I don't see that report in there though.
MR. BUDDEN:
On the next break perhaps we can discuss that.
Or unless you're able to clarify it now, but otherwise we can discuss it at the break. I'm speaking to Ms. Bedford.
MS. BEDFORD:
Yeah. This is the first I've heard of the Grundy report, so absolutely we'll clear that up on the break.
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MR. BUDDEN:
Please. Thank you.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Because Exhibit 171, at page 11, there are some Grundy notes.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Do you have the exhibit in front of you, Sergeant Cooper?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. Yes, I do. I'm just reading through it here now. MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Sure, okay. I'll give you a moment.

COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Gentlemen and ladies, it seems to me that we're going to go into the afternoon anyway, given that you just started your questions and you have others to put on the stand. So is this a good time for a lunch break and then come back to questioning in the afternoon?

MR. BUDDEN:
I'm fine with that, if it pleases the
Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Any comment from the other counsel?
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RALPH, Q.C.:
That's great. That's fine.
MR. BUDDEN:
We do have a fair bit of time. It may be
possible to have a slightly longer lunch, just to allow us to do some of this housekeeping.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
An hour and a half. (Inaudible.) Somewhere
around quarter to two, ten to two time period.
Does that work?
MR. BUDDEN:
Yeah, that should work.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Okay.
THE CLERK:
All rise.

## (Lunch Break)

THE CLERK:
This Commission of Inquiry is in attendance.
Please be seated.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Thank you.

MR. BUDDEN:
Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. When we broke for lunch the Lieutenant Colonel Williams had basically just walked us through the JRCC incident log up to the time the air supports were actually in route or actually brought to the site.
BY MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Before I move on, do you have anything to add to that, Lieutenant Colonel Marshall?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. I have nothing to add to that. But I did want to know one thing that did come up in a previous conversation with respect to the inReach device. And I spoke about previous companies that were responsible for monitoring these. Going back to 97, and on page 4, Reggie with IERCC called. So that IERCC is that company that is used to monitor the inReach devices.

So that's just one more example of coordination through various organizations.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. And what you're looking at, just for everyone's
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benefit, Exhibit P-97. I believe you have that up, Madam Clerk. Yeah. Scroll down just a tiny bit more.

We're at 2219 Zulu. That's the reference you have there?

LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. That's correct.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. And could you just explain, again, who is Reggie with IERCC?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. IERCC is the company that would monitor world-wide all of these devices. When they get an alert they would then call various organizations.

In my office we work with this company to make sure that they're educated to know who to call under what circumstance. Every country has a different way of doing search and rescue. And the difference between a provincial responsibility, federal responsibility, needed to be advertised to the company so that they could

|  | Page 153 |  | Page 155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | efficiently call the right people. | 1 | help, my two friends are missing, what sort of |
| 2 |  | 2 | happens from there? |
| 3 | So here's an example of IERCC, and although in | 3 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 4 | this case the individual with the inReach device | 4 | A. For sure. That, in itself, is an investigation. |
| 5 | wasn't the one that was lost, they were still | 5 | You're trying to gather as much information as |
| 6 | notified of this. And they had just called RCC | 6 | possible, but location is a big portion. |
| 7 | to find out more information. And because this | 7 | Certainly a lot of the items detailed that were |
| 8 | was a ground SAR, we directed them to call the | 8 | urther, I guess, put in statements, where they |
| 9 | RCMP. | 9 | are, who they're with, where they're last seen, |
| 10 | MR. BUDDEN: | 10 | familiarity with the area, areas they could have |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Thank you, that's helpful. Before we move | 11 | travelled to at the time. So it really is a lot |
| 12 | on to other areas, Sergeant Williams, have you | 12 | nd very specific to each case. |
| 13 | any comments or observations about what we've | 13 |  |
| 14 | heard so far, I guess, from the moment these | 14 | In some cases, we see air assets are able to be |
| 15 | gentlemen reported their friends were missing at | 15 | ployed or requested fairly quickly. But in |
| 16 | 3:38 p.m., up until the time the federal assets | 16 | other cases it takes some time to gather that |
| 17 | arrived or were dispatched to the search? Have | 17 | formation. |
| 18 | you any comments on any of that? | 18 |  |
| 19 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 19 | Sometimes the information might be coming from |
| 20 | A. Yes. Sergeant Williams here. I guess in these | 20 | multiple sources as well. You see in some |
| 21 | cases it's an information. It's a fact-finding | 21 | vestigations the person placing the call might |
| 22 | thing initially to gather as much information as | 22 | not necessarily be someone who's intimately |
| 23 | possible. Although I can't speculate based on | 23 | involved. |
| 24 | Sergeant Ashford at that time, or the report's | 24 |  |
|  | Page 154 |  | Page 156 |
| 1 | reasons for that timeframe, I would sugge | 1 | But specifically in this case, we do hear from a |
| 2 | at least a partial amount of that time was | 2 | company with, I guess, the inReach. They're to |
| 3 | gathering the information. | 3 | be alerted on this. The information they have at |
| 4 |  | 4 | the time simply might be GPS coordinates and no |
| 5 | Obviously, we have all that here now, and we had | 5 | situational factors or details. No background on |
| 6 | that benefit. We also spoke about the | 6 | the investigation. Their role is to simply |
| 7 | communication in that case, as well, that it was | 7 | notify that that button has been pushed and the |
| 8 | a difficulty. | 8 | location in which it has been done. |
| 9 |  | 9 |  |
| 10 | So often when calls come in initially, it doesn't | 10 | So sometimes it does take a little while to |
| 11 | provide us enough information to be able to | 11 | rmulate that communication, and certainly in a |
| 12 | formulate a strategy or deploy the resources. | 12 | wilderness situation. |
| 13 | And sometimes it does take that connection with | 13 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 14 | the complainants or whomever's requesting the | 14 | Q. Okay. And I guess it goes without saying, there |
| 15 | assistance. | 15 | always has been investigation. When you get that |
| 16 |  | 16 | rst phone call, you don't know if it's a prank |
| 17 | Sometimes it does take some time to gather that | 17 | or a serious crime or anything really, do you? |
| 18 | information to understand exactly what resources | 18 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 19 | should be deployed. | 19 | A. Yeah. So very rarely is it a prank. Sometimes |
| 20 | MR. BUDDEN: | 20 | those things do happen, but to effectively know |
| 21 | Q. Yeah, that's very helpful. And just to perhaps | 21 | exactly what's going on in that situation and |
| 22 | take that a little further. So in this | 22 | what resources are most effectively used there, |
| 23 | particular instance, from the moment you get a | 23 | it's not an unwillingness to call the air |
| 24 | phone call saying, hi, I'm so-and-so, I need | 24 | support. It's just to get that background |


|  | Page 157 |  | Page 159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | information to understand really what's going on | 1 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 2 | there and how we can best deploy what's needed at | 2 | Page 2 of Exhibit 16. I apologize. Next page. |
| 3 | the time. | 3 | THE CLERK: |
| 4 | MR. BUDDEN: | 4 | Oh, sorry. |
| 5 | Q. Sure. And as we heard in the earlier roundtable, | 5 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 6 | your call is not directly to JRCC. Your call is | 6 | A tiny bit more. |
| 7 | to your contact at FES-NL, what was at the time | 7 | THE CLERK: |
| 8 | Emergency Services, now, essentially, | 8 | Page 1.10? |
| 9 | Mr. Rumbolt's division of the provincial | 9 | MR. BUDDEN. |
| 10 | government? | 10 | Point 1.16 -- I'm sorry, it's 1.11 on page 2. |
| 11 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 11 | Yes. |
| 12 | A. Yes, that's correct. There are provisions for us | 12 | THE CLERK: |
| 13 | to contact JRCC, when required, under very | 13 | Okay. |
| 14 | emergent circumstances, based on our policy. | 14 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 15 | However, Fire and Emergency Services is the | 15 | I think. Yeah. |
| 16 | desired and the policy route, if that's not the | 16 | BY MR. BUDDEN: |
| 17 | case. | 17 | Q. Well, actually, just to backtrack to Point 1.10, |
| 18 | MR. BUDDEN: | 18 | I'm quoting, "An RCMP tactical troop, trained in |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So absolute immediate life-and-death | 19 | SAR fundamentals, may be used in the absence of |
| 20 | situations, it would be direct call? Otherwise | 20 | trained volunteers." |
| 21 | it would routed through FES-NL? | 21 |  |
| 22 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 22 | So I guess this is for Sergeant Williams. Is |
| 23 | A. That's correct. | 23 | there such a tactical troop trained in SAR |
| 24 |  | 24 | fundamentals in Newfoundland; and, if so, tell us |
|  | Page 158 |  | Page 160 |
| 1 | MR. BUDDEN: | 1 | a bit about it? |
| 2 | Q. Okay, thank you. Madam Clerk, if we could call | 2 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 3 | up Exhibit 16 again. That was one that Mr. | 3 | A. Sergeant Williams here. So this is a national |
| 4 | Williams referred to briefly in his evidence. | 4 | policy. So what you see with this is this would |
| 5 | And I'd like to turn to it now to ask questions, | 5 | be supplemented. This is, I guess, for a |
| 6 | particularly for Sergeant Cooper. But Sergeant | 6 | national, more general, and then the divisional |
| 7 | Williams at certain points will be asked for his | 7 | policy would drill down a little bit more. |
| 8 | input. | 8 |  |
| 9 |  | 9 | We do have a tactical troop here in the province. |
| 10 | So what this is, it's the RCMP Operations Manual, | 10 | A tactical support group. Their primary function |
| 11 | Chapter 37.1, Search and Rescue, that was | 11 | would not be search and rescue. |
| 12 | effective at the date this incident occurred, | 12 |  |
| 13 | February of 2019. | 13 | We do have a partnership with our search and |
| 14 |  | 14 | rescue in relation to evidence searches, in which |
| 15 | And there's some general sections. The part that | 15 | case evidence handling will imbed a police |
| 16 | I'm most interested in, Sergeants Cooper and | 16 | officer who's a tactical troop member within a |
| 17 | Williams, begins at 1.10. And it's fairly short. | 17 | search and rescue team to handle exhibits, just |
| 18 | I'll just read some of it and ask you questions | 18 | to alleviate those pressures from them and those |
| 19 | as we go through it. | 19 | decisions from them at a first instance. |
| 20 |  | 20 |  |
| 21 | Point 1.10, and I'm quoting here, "An RCMP | 21 | However, in the province, the degree in which a |
| 22 | tactical troop, trained in SAR fundamentals" -- | 22 | search and rescue team would deploy, and their |
| 23 | THE CLERK: | 23 | training and abilities, the tactical support |
| 24 | Is it -- | 24 | group, to a much lesser degree, would be able to |


|  | Page 161 |  | Page 163 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | fulfill some functions. | 1 | rescue. However, the experts in that field and |
| 2 |  | 2 | the more highly trained people would be the |
| 3 | However, our decision and process, as seen in | 3 | Newfoundland and Labrador Ground Search and |
| 4 | this case, would be to go with the local area | 4 | Rescue Association. |
| 5 | ground search and rescue team, to also be | 5 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 6 | supplemented by other areas ground search and | 6 | Q. Okay. So from that I would suggest it follows |
| 7 | rescue team under the Newfoundland and Labrador | 7 | that the search and rescue capacity of the RCMP |
| 8 | Ground Search and Rescue Association. | 8 | is to, quite a significant degree, utterly |
| 9 | MR. BUDDEN: | 9 | dependent on the NLSARA volunteers? |
| 10 | Q. Okay. That's fair enough. A couple of follow-up | 10 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 11 | questions. Imagine a world where you call for | 11 | A. Yeah, there's definitely dependence there. Their |
| 12 | the SAR volunteer team who, after all, are | 12 | expertise, their knowledge, and the number of |
| 13 | volunteers, and they simply say either this unit, | 13 | members they have, we definitely do defer to them |
| 14 | the Deer Lake unit -- which is unlikely. It's a | 14 | for all ground search and rescue. And we're very |
| 15 | fairly large unit, but understand some of the | 15 | fortunate to have them. |
| 16 | regional units are smaller and perhaps less able | 16 |  |
| 17 | to mobilize as effectively as this one did. | 17 | And without them, we would be put in a very |
| 18 |  | 18 | difficult situation to formulate a search, |
| 19 | Is there, in that case, an RCMP tactical troop | 19 | especially to the standards of Newfoundland and |
| 20 | trained in SAR fundamentals to step in? | 20 | Labrador Ground Search and Rescue Association. |
| 21 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 21 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 22 | A. The process from that would be to contact a local | 22 | Q. Okay. And so just to close this line. There's |
| 23 | search and rescue team, another one that was in | 23 | no tactical team sort of at the ready or easily |
| 24 | the next jurisdiction over. I could say that we | 24 | assembled, like the JRCC is able to assemble a |
|  | Page 162 |  | Page 164 |
| 1 | would have to be exhaustive in using the search | 1 | Cormorant team, that can sort of rush in and do |
| 2 | and rescue teams based on what they provide | 2 | the work that the SAR volunteers are doing? |
| 3 | versus the capabilities which are fairly limited | 3 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 4 | in actual search and rescue within the division. | 4 | A. I would suggest definitely not to the |
| 5 |  | 5 | capabilities of the Newfoundland and Labrador |
| 6 | So as we saw in this case, obviously time went | 6 | Ground Search and Rescue. At least not |
| 7 | on. A very large search area. So we included | 7 | divisionally here, no. |
| 8 | the Corner Brook search team. We also included | 8 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 9 | some from Norther Peninsula. So it would more so | 9 | Q. Okay, thank you. |
| 10 | be a decision, and in the discussion between | 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 11 | myself and Mr. Blackmore, in obtaining SAR | 11 | A. Sergeant Cooper, RCMP. I guess, just to explain |
| 12 | volunteers from other teams to come in and | 12 | the role and duties of the RCMP tactical troop, |
| 13 | assist. | 13 | now referred to as Tactical Support Group. |
| 14 |  | 14 |  |
| 15 | We've seen this in recent cases. There was | 15 | These are comprised of mostly general duty |
| 16 | missing, I believe a young lady, out in Placentia | 16 | members that are posted all over the province. |
| 17 | area. And the first call from myself was to | 17 | Some in Labrador. The availability of calling |
| 18 | Harry to say, who do we have out there and how | 18 | these members at a moment's notice would be near |
| 19 | many more teams do we need to put out there given | 19 | impossible due to their duties. Their general |
| 20 | the circumstances? | 20 | duties. They may be on shift that night and may |
| 21 |  | 21 | not be releasable. To get them to arrive on |
| 22 | So I would suggest that there is a small capacity | 22 | scene would take a considerable amount of time, |
| 23 | for the mentioned team here in the national | 23 | logistics. |


|  | Page 165 |  | Page 167 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As Sergeant Williams explained, they are trained | 1 | mission. |
| 2 | in some search fundamentals. It's mostly to do | 2 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 3 | with an article search for an investigation. | 3 | Q. Okay, thank you. When we move down a little |
| 4 | MR. BUDDEN: | 4 | further, we see here over on the next page, I |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And some of the specialized equipment that | 5 | won't read it all but I will hit the highlights. |
| 6 | these teams have, like the snowbulances and | 6 |  |
| 7 | things like that, does the RCMP -- I know you | 7 | 1.11, immediately following what I just read. |
| 8 | obviously have considerable equipment, but do you | 8 | "The RCMP's primary role in SAR operations is to |
| 9 | have that kind of specialized SAR equipment? | 9 | provide leadership, with a focus on coordination, |
| 10 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 10 | response, prevention, intergovernmental agency |
| 11 | A. Sergeant Williams here. No, we rely on our | 11 | cooperation, and volunteers." |
| 12 | Ground Search and Rescue teams for that | 12 |  |
| 13 | equipment. There is a capacity to bring in some | 13 | I assume neither of you officers take issue with |
| 14 | equipment from other provinces. But certainly, | 14 | that? RCMP officers? |
| 15 | for an immediate response and for time sensitive | 15 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 16 | in having the equipment located fairly close, the | 16 | A. No, that's a good assessment of the RCMP's roles |
| 17 | ground search and rescue teams here in the | 17 | in these situations, for sure. |
| 18 | province do have those. | 18 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 19 |  | 19 | Q. Sure. And we'll go on to 1.12.1, a |
| 20 | And there is quite a wonderful interoperability | 20 | Search/Incident Commander, who is a regular |
| 21 | between the teams here. If one team has a | 21 | member, and who has overall authority and |
| 22 | particular piece of equipment that is needed in | 22 | responsibility for the search. |
| 23 | another jurisdiction, it's just a matter of | 23 |  |
| 24 | contacting that specific team and requesting that | 24 | So in this case, that incident commander would |
|  | Page 166 |  | Page 168 |
| 1 | equipment. Certainly Mr. Blackmore can speak | 1 | have been you, I believe, on day 1, Sergeant |
| 2 | more to that. | 2 | Cooper? |
| 3 |  | 3 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 4 | But we've seen that on a number of occasions, | 4 | A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 5 | where not every team can have every piece of | 5 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 6 | equipment. But they are called, I've seen it | 6 | Q. And we'll get to this in about five minutes. And |
| 7 | myself firsthand on the front lines, contacting | 7 | other officers on subsequent days? |
| 8 | another team and brining in a piece of equipment | 8 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 9 | that the greater association has and it's housed | 9 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 10 | at a specific team. So there is that | 10 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 11 | interoperability between teams as well. | 11 | Q. Okay. And when we go down a little further, |
| 12 | MR. BUDDEN: | 12 | "Although tasks can be delegated or assigned to |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And we have heard from Mr. Smith and we'll | 13 | the SAR Manager and SAR teams, the RCMP has the |
| 14 | hear it again, interoperability is the really | 14 | final authority to make decisions in SAR |
| 15 | desired goal of search and rescue? | 15 | operations." |
| 16 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 16 |  |
| 17 | A. Absolutely. It's benefited us on a number of | 17 | And you would agree with that? |
| 18 | occasions. And even beginning this role and | 18 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 19 | seeing that, and how it works, it really is | 19 | A. Yes. In consultation with the partner agencies, |
| 20 | something that makes things a lot more efficient, | 20 | but we'll be solely. Yes. |
| 21 | smooth. And the relationships between the teams, | 21 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 22 | it's not adversarial at all. It's not | 22 | Q. Yes. Okay, thank you. And here, "1.15. When |
| 23 | competitive. It's very much supplementing and | 23 | possible, the RCMP will designate a |
| 24 | how can we help another team to achieve the | 24 | Search/Incident Commander and a SAR Manager with |


|  | Page 169 |  | Page 171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | experience in SAR operations." | 1 | the program, "100/200/300/400." |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 3 | And in this particular operation, who would have | 3 | And you wouldn't have had completed any of these? |
| 4 | been the SAR manager? | 4 | SERGEANT COOPER: |
| 5 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 5 | A. Actually, upon looking at that, I've completed |
| 6 | A. In this case. I mean, that would have changed. | 6 | Incident Command Systems 100 and 200. |
| 7 | I would have been referred to as the | 7 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 8 | search/incident commander on February the 2nd. | 8 | Q. Okay, thank you. Sergeant Williams, typically, |
| 9 | Constable Canning, I would refer to, as a SAR | 9 | based on your experience, would an incident |
| 10 | manager on that day as well. But, again, his | 10 | commander have completed these courses? Would |
| 11 | role would be more closely with the Ground Search | 11 | that be the rule or the exception? Can you help |
| 12 | and Rescue coordinator. | 12 | us there? |
| 13 | MR. BUDDEN: | 13 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 14 | Q. Okay. | 14 | A. No, I would say, more often than not, there is a |
| 15 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 15 | requirement for incident command (referred to as |
| 16 | A. And just to clarify. Sorry, Sergeant Williams | 16 | ICS) 100 and 200 for all our employees. Those |
| 17 | here. In the context of our policy, the SAR | 17 | can be completed online. As well as the Critical |
| 18 | manager is detailed as the lead from the team | 18 | Incident Commanders Course that's mentioned |
| 19 | itself. So we heard from the search and rescue | 19 | there. |
| 20 | team yesterday. So I believe it was | 20 |  |
| 21 | Mr. Williams, Darren Williams, would have been | 21 | I can say just from what I've seen, there are |
| 22 | considered the SAR manager, just based in the | 22 | currently four people in the province trained in |
| 23 | confines of our policy here. | 23 | that. It's not a very common course to have, so |
| 24 |  | 24 | I understand that it is a recommendation but |
|  | Page 170 |  | Page 172 |
| 1 | So the RCMP is the search/incident commander and | 1 | likely that's why it's a recommendation. Because |
| 2 | the SAR manager is the team leader, as such, from | 2 | it's not a widely delivered RCMP course. |
| 3 | the search and rescue team itself. | 3 |  |
| 4 | MR. BUDDEN: | 4 | And it really is specific to a different type of |
| 5 | Q. Sure. And in this particular instance, would it | 5 | response to things. So a critical incident |
| 6 | be fair to say, Sergeant Cooper, in particular, | 6 | commander would be someone who oversees things |
| 7 | that the actual managing of the ground search and | 7 | like active shooter situations, armed and |
| 8 | rescue component of the search was really | 8 | barricaded persons. |
| 9 | Mr. Williams's responsibility and task? | 9 |  |
| 10 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 10 | So those courses, for the most part, are reserved |
| 11 | A. Yes, that's correct. | 11 | for people that will be fulfilling those roles, |
| 12 | MR. BUDDEN: | 12 | versus likely someone who is on the Detachment |
| 13 | Q. Okay. We go on, 1.17, I read, "There is no | 13 | who's in a supervisory role. As I mentioned, we |
| 14 | specific training requirement for Search/Incident | 14 | have three currently that go on call within the |
| 15 | Commanders." Which would be the position you | 15 | province. |
| 16 | held. And I believe you said that you had no | 16 |  |
| 17 | specific training at this time? | 17 | So understanding it's a recommendation, I would |
| 18 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 18 | say my experience, there are a limited number of |
| 19 | A. Yes, that's correct. | 19 | people in the RCMP who would have the Critical |
| 20 | MR. BUDDEN: | 20 | Incident Commanders Course and, to a greater |
| 21 | Q. Okay. So the next thing says, "Recommended | 21 | degree, more that have at least some training in |
| 22 | incident command courses include the Critical | 22 | incident command systems. |
| 23 | Incident Commanders Course and Incident Command | 23 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 24 | System," and then there looks like four stages of | 24 | Q. Okay. And actually, that flows into the next |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | page, at 2.3. This is page 4, Madam Clerk. I'm | 1 | point in the policy speaks to establishing the |
| 2 | sorry, I may not have made that clear. | 2 | command post, not necessarily where the |
| 3 |  | 3 | search/incident commander would be in that |
| 4 | The last section there which I'll be referring | 4 | scenario. |
| 5 | to, search/incident commander. And there's a | 5 |  |
| 6 | series of duties here: "For all SAR incidents, | 6 | Certainly as a best practice, all things being |
| 7 | establish a command post to aid in coordinating | 7 | ideal, and I would suggest in other scenarios |
| 8 | the search and facilitating communications | 8 | having the search/incident commander right there |
| 9 | between search members." | 9 | on scene, in the Command Post, makes sense. |
| 10 |  | 10 |  |
| 11 | In this case, the Command Post would have been | 11 | But as we detailed before, given the |
| 12 | the Detachment, I believe, Sergeant Cooper? | 12 | communicational challenges and the role needing |
| 13 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 13 | to be fulfilled, that's why it was elsewhere at |
| 14 | A. I would say the Command Post would have been the | 14 | the time. An incident commander here in Deer |
| 15 | GSART Command Post that was positioned in | 15 | Lake itself. |
| 16 | Jackson's Arm. | 16 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 17 | MR. BUDDEN: | 17 | Q. Sure. And some questions for you, Sergeant |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And so when it says "Command Post" here, | 18 | Williams. You obviously played a role to some |
| 19 | you weren't actually at the Command Post, but | 19 | degree in this search? |
| 20 | there were RCMP members there? | 20 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 21 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 21 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 22 | A. That's correct. The day that I was working from | 22 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 23 | the Detachment, Constable Canning was at the | 23 | Q. Okay. And we have here, obviously, what I would |
| 24 | Command Post. | 24 | suggest was a strong search and rescue team on |
|  | Page 174 |  | Page 176 |
| 1 | MR. BUDDEN: | 1 | the ground, and an experienced officer in |
| 2 | Q. Yes. And you've explained the communications | 2 | Sergeant Cooper and some of the others. |
| 3 | challenges and why you felt it necessary to be at | 3 |  |
| 4 | the Detachment, so I'm not pursing that. I just | 4 | If you had, perhaps, a situation where the |
| 5 | wanted to clarify for the record. | 5 | officer was more junior, the search team was less |
| 6 |  | 6 | established, would you at that point step up and |
| 7 | And that flows in the next one. That you have to | 7 | play a more significant role? |
| 8 | consider the most appropriate location for the | 8 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 9 | Command Post, which should normally be close to | 9 | A. So generally, in terms of the search/incident |
| 10 | the search area. But in this case, for reasons | 10 | commander themselves on the ground, it would be |
| 11 | you've explained, you thought it was best at the | 11 | someone who's in the jurisdiction themselves. |
| 12 | Detachment? | 12 |  |
| 13 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 13 | So what I would suggest just for ease, in this |
| 14 | A. The Command Post was -- sorry. I was at the | 14 | case had there been a younger member constable |
| 15 | Detachment for communication purposes. The | 15 | with limited experience, a person who would be in |
| 16 | Command Post was set up in Jackson's Arm. And | 16 | the area, say, if Sergeant Cooper was off, he |
| 17 | that was the furthest in, I think, you can get by | 17 | would be someone that would be contacted to |
| 18 | vehicle. Everything else, all their | 18 | fulfill the search/incident commander role. |
| 19 | transportation from then on was done by | 19 |  |
| 20 | snowmobile. | 20 | And those supports are still there from my unit |
| 21 | MR. BUDDEN: | 21 | or, as we spoke about earlier, Sergeant Ashford |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Fair enough. | 22 | and Sergeant Norman, based on their experiences, |
| 23 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 23 | to be a direct conduit. |

A. Sergeant Williams here. Well, I guess, the first
point in the policy speaks to establishing the command post, not necessarily where the search/incident commander would be in that scenario.

Certainly as a best practice, all things being ideal, and I would suggest in other scenarios having the search/incident commander right there on scene, in the Command Post, makes sense.

But as we detailed before, given the communicational challenges and the role needing to be fulfilled, that's why it was elsewhere at the time. An incident commander here in Deer Lake itself.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Sure. And some questions for you, Sergeant Williams. You obviously played a role to some degree in this search?

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. That's correct, yes.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. And we have here, obviously, what I would Page 176
the ground, and an experienced officer in
Sergeant Cooper and some of the others.

If you had, perhaps, a situation where the officer was more junior, the search team was less stablished, would you at that point step up and play a more significant role?
SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. So generally, in terms of the search/incident commander themselves on the ground, it would be someone who's in the jurisdiction themselves.

So what I would suggest just for ease, in this case had there been a younger member constable都 the area, say, if Sergeant Cooper was off, he would be someone that would be contacted to fulfill the search/incident commander role.

And those supports are still there from my unit r, as we spoke about earlier, Sergeant Ashford to be a direct conduit.

|  | Page 177 |  | Page 179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | But what I would suggest in this case, had | 1 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 2 | someone like Sergeant Cooper not been around -- | 2 | Q. Okay. So essentially, the goal is to ensure |
| 3 | or Constable Canning, himself, has a number of | 3 | there's adequate backup. If there's challenges |
| 4 | years experience in the RCMP, in fact, more than | 4 | in the field, that there's backup with you in |
| 5 | I do. So if it was a scenario where it was a | 5 | your office, to make sure that they're not left |
| 6 | more junior member or it was felt there was | 6 | hanging? |
| 7 | limited experience in that area, a resource that | 7 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 8 | was within the Detachment area, such as maybe | 8 | A. Yes. And to understand, to put a degree of |
| 9 | Sergeant Cooper in that case, had he not been | 9 | xperience in that position, should a call come |
| 10 | engaged previously, that would be someone that | 10 | in -- likely on a weekend, you do have your more |
| 11 | you would look to to fulfill the role of | 11 | junior people working those weekends. But the |
| 12 | search/incident commander. | 12 | ability to be situationally aware and engage |
| 13 | MR. BUDDEN: | 13 | someone that might not necessarily be working, to |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And you would be there to guide that | 14 | engage with them at the Detachment level, as |
| 15 | process in your overall role within the province? | 15 | ll, to provide them that extra layer of |
| 16 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 16 | experience and support. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 18 | MR. BUDDEN: | 18 | Q. Sure. And this question is for both of you |
| 19 | Q. Thank you. | 19 | really. From my review of the documents, there |
| 20 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 20 | d not appear to be a written search plan in |
| 21 | A. So certainly, when search and rescue matters -- | 21 | advance. That's something that would say |
| 22 | I'm not sure if we discussed this earlier. When | 22 | tomorrow we will do this or do that. |
| 23 | search and rescue matters arise, there are | 23 |  |
| 24 | reporting requirements through the RCMP to report | 24 | Do you understand what I'm suggesting? And is |
|  | Page 178 |  | Page 180 |
| 1 | search and rescue operations up through our chain | 1 | this something that is sometimes -- this is |
| 2 | of command, just to keep everyone situation | 2 | erhaps more for Mr. Williams, sometimes found in |
| 3 | aware. | 3 | searches of this sort? Or is it something that |
| 4 |  | 4 | isn't done as a matter of practice? |
| 5 | So I'm someone who receives all of those | 5 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: |
| 6 | notifications, which gives me the ability to | 6 | A. I would suggest that, I guess, given the |
| 7 | provide that information. | 7 | situational factors of each type of search that |
| 8 |  | 8 | you have, the search plan might be less because |
| 9 | Now, we have what's called an unfolding event | 9 | there's less specific areas. |
| 10 | notification, which is just a quick message to | 10 |  |
| 11 | summarize what's going on. And then further from | 11 | So there is a search plan that's formulated in |
| 12 | that, when the investigator is able to have the | 12 | terms of organizing the search and where best to |
| 13 | time to write out a formal multi-paragraph | 13 | place the assets. However, as we saw in |
| 14 | message with more details, that's done. | 14 | Makkovik, a lot of very specific areas and |
| 15 |  | 15 | locations with geographical features that would |
| 16 | But with the UENs that we receive now, it's a | 16 | have to be subdivided based on their locations. |
| 17 | message to a number of, I'll say, senior people | 17 |  |
| 18 | in the RCMP, myself being on that list as well, | 18 | Certainly Sergeant Cooper can speak more to this |
| 19 | to be able to discuss what's ongoing, what | 19 | for this specific case. But there are some cases |
| 20 | support they have there currently, and determine | 20 | where it's more of an open area and less specific |
| 21 | if the search/incident commander or the personnel | 21 | and more of specific grounds to cover. Lat and |
| 22 | that are on scene are the most beneficial for | 22 | long, what have you. |
| 23 | that situation. | 23 |  |
| 24 |  | 24 | But I would also agree, as a best practice, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | whether it was done in this case or not, I can't | 1 | extra resource. |
| 2 | confirm for sure because these conversations do | 2 |  |
| 3 | happen in the Command Post a lot of times between | 3 | The following day I arranged for another corporal |
| 4 | the search manager and the RCMP member on site or | 4 | to come in to supervise the file and to be at the |
| 5 | the search/incident commander, those details are | 5 | Command Post. So there was a plan to bring in |
| 6 | shared between the two, in which areas. | 6 | additional resources and more senior |
| 7 |  | 7 | investigators to assist with this file. |
| 8 | In terms of putting a formal, I guess best ways | 8 |  |
| 9 | to word it, or a formal way to do that, whether | 9 | For your last question, there wasn't a separate |
| 10 | it's a typed document or what have you, I've seen | 10 | report but in several reports, including mine, |
| 11 | both where it has been a conversation and notes | 11 | there were times when we had GPS coordinates of |
| 12 | taken on the area. And I've also seen after as a | 12 | structures that we wanted checked out. And that |
| 13 | follow-up, specific detailed areas that were | 13 | would have been passed on to the ground search |
| 14 | searched, like we saw in Makkovik in Corporal | 14 | and rescue teams and/or aerial assets to check |
| 15 | Howlett's notes. | 15 | out those locations. |
| 16 | MR. BUDDEN: | 16 |  |
| 17 | Q. Okay. So you recognize it, I guess, to sum that | 17 | This search, however, because of the poor weather |
| 18 | up, as certainly a goal, a best practice that | 18 | we weren't satisfied, obviously, that the main |
| 19 | should be aspired to, but the circumstances of a | 19 | locations were properly searched because there |
| 20 | particular search may make it difficult or | 20 | was no visibility. |
| 21 | unnecessary. Am I capturing that? | 21 |  |
| 22 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 22 | Had this search gone on in good weather, that |
| 23 | A. Yeah. Essentially, maybe not unnecessary, but it | 23 | would have definitely been the plan. Was to come |
| 24 | would be less complex. So with a wide, larger | 24 | up with a structured search plan to ensure that |
|  | Page 182 |  | Page 184 |
| 1 | search area it'd be, I'd say, easier to | 1 | each location was searched thoroughly. |
| 2 | generalize things to say we're covering this kind | 2 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 3 | of kilometre grid versus in a more, I'll say, | 3 | Q. Thank you. We'll return to some of these issues |
| 4 | diverse area geographically, it might make sense | 4 | at the policy roundtable at the end of this |
| 5 | to provide specific areas to say this lake, or | 5 | Inquiry, or towards the end. |
| 6 | this cove, or what it may be. | 6 |  |
| 7 |  | 7 | This question is primarily for Lieutenant Colonel |
| 8 | But I would agree that any documentation, | 8 | Marshall. And we would have explored similar |
| 9 | increased documentation, certainly helps; if | 9 | territory with you, with Mr. Rumbolt, in |
| 10 | nothing else, after the fact when looking back on | 10 | Makkovik. |
| 11 | things and reassessing. | 11 |  |
| 12 | MR. BUDDEN: | 12 | My starting point is that on February 2nd, around |
| 13 | Q. And learning from the experience and all those | 13 | noon of the first full day of the search, the |
| 14 | things? | 14 | Cormorant was called away. And I understand it |
| 15 | SERGEANT WILLIAMS: | 15 | was called away because of a marine search and |
| 16 | A. Absolutely. | 16 | rescue emergency where somebody had to be taken |
| 17 | MR. BUDDEN: | 17 | off a boat because of a medical crisis. |
| 18 | Q. Have you anything to add to that, Sergeant | 18 |  |
| 19 | Cooper? | 19 | And, again, this is rooted really in the DNA of |
| 20 | SERGEANT COOPER: | 20 | this Inquiry. It's a Ground Search and Rescue |
| 21 | A. Yes, Mr. Budden. I just wanted to go back to | 21 | Inquiry. But perhaps you can just explain to us |
| 22 | your previous question. I was actually scheduled | 22 | why is it that a helicopter would be taken away |
| 23 | off on the first day, and I was brought in on my | 23 | from one important matter to be dispatched to |
| 24 | scheduled day off as a senior member and as an | 24 | another important matter? What's the rationale |

there, or what's that grounded in?

```
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
```

A. Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. Yeah, there was two things at play for this re-tasking. One is the severity of the case. This was a heart attack victim and it was on a ship. The second is, is that the fact that it is a marine medevac because that is our primary mission. It would take priority, in principle. But I would suggest that it wouldn't always take priority just because it's a marine case.

If we're already on scene on a humanitarian case, it might make more sense to stay on that one and it would be a higher priority. So both items are going to come into our thought process when we're prioritizing the cases.

In this instance, because we're the only ones who were able to hoist down to a ship and extract a patient, it took precedence over this one because this was a search.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. And the background for that. We all know this,
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but just for the record or anybody who's just tuning in today. The ground search and rescue with the provincial responsibility, Marine Search and Rescue is a federal responsibility and any assistance that the federal agencies offer the Province in ground search and rescue is a discretionary humanitarian assistance. Is that a fair way of putting it?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. That is correct.

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. And in this particular instance, the marine operation was not discretionary. Your primary mission is to provide search and rescue facilities in marine and aviation and other federal circumstances?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. And that is correct. In other instances we would have to prioritize as well. If we had two different marine cases, we don't have two helicopters that would be able to respond. We would have to prioritize the taskings, and whichever one would make more sense, whether it's location, severity of the patient, or the
tasking, the controller in JRCC would have then made that determination and decide which one is a higher priority tasking.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. Do the air support resources available in Newfoundland compare -- I'm talking about the provincial asset resources. How do they compare to those available in other provinces within the jurisdiction of the Halifax JRCC?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Are you talking about federal resources?

MR. BUDDEN:
Q. No, I worded my question clumsily. But I'm talking about, in Newfoundland we know at that time there's Universal and the facilities they had available. The helicopters they had available.

I'm wondering other provinces, do they have the same level of provincial assets or are they different?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. I can't comment and compare between provinces. I don't have those numbers. Some provinces are
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better equipped, better funded.
Just as one example, in Ontario they have the contract with Orange Helicopters to do medevacs. Whereas, in Newfoundland, Labrador, they tend to use the Canadian Forces Cormorants for some medevacs more frequently than other provinces.

As a note, I do plan on bringing to the policy inquiry some of those statistics to compare different provinces. And I don't have them available right now, but I will be bringing them to the policy roundtable.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. That's right, because I brought that up in Makkovik as well, I believe. Thank you.

I don't have much more. I have two brief areas. The first one was - I'm asking, really, all of you - are there any resources that you're aware of, any practical resources, that may have assisted this search that weren't available that may have lead to a faster recovery or a faster outcome, or a better outcome? Anything you can

2
think of?
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. Not from a federal standpoint, but as I brought up -- sorry, Lieutenant Colonel Marshall.

As I brought up earlier, if the lost snowmobilers had some type of emergency device, like an inReach or Spot device, then they would have been found a lot quicker.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Officer Cooper, Officer Williams, anything to add to that?
SERGEANT COOPER:
A. No, I would agree. The search was hampered by weather. And essentially. Like an inReach or some sort of communication device may have been the only available resource to locate somebody.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay.

SERGEANT WILLIAMS:
A. Sergeant Williams here. I'd have to agree with Sergeant Cooper and Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. There were a number of resources used here. As Lieutenant Colonel Marshall had mentioned
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earlier, a lot of collaboration, as well.

And this search definitely speaks to the diversity of resources coming into play. So from that standpoint, weather obviously was the major factor here that made things more difficult. But from a resourcing standpoint, it seemed, at least at face value, to be well saturated with the resources.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Okay. And Lieutenant Colonel Williams' comment leads into my very final area of questions.

We have heard of, I guess, the wonders of inReach technology that you can put yourself really right to the person. And in our Hopedale session it was suggested by one member that this technology should be mandatory on certainly all snowmobiles going into the wilder areas of our province.

And I've suggested that to other people in the search and rescue community, and they say, well, it's great, but we'll be spending half our time responding to people accidentally triggering it
or people triggering it because they have some nonemergency reason for wanting to be brought out of the woods?

One of the options this Inquiry may pursue is a recommendation that such technology be mandated.
I'm just throwing that out there. But have you any comment on that? Do you think that such a mandate would ultimately be a positive thing or would it perhaps create more problems?

## LT.-COL. MARSHALL:

A. Lieutenant Colonel Marshall. We have a similar program Transport Canada has mandated for all airplanes, that they have a 406 beacon which is better than the old beacon. It gives a position, along with the signal, so we know precisely where an airplane crash would occur.

All of those beacons are managed at the Mission Control Centre in Trenton. It's a big job to manage those.

With these other beacons, although not a federal responsibility, if you're talking about mandating
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|  | Page 197 |  | Page 199 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | out of gas, they push the emergency button. Wait | 1 | with Bonne Bay Search and Rescue. Some of the |
| 2 | for the helicopter to come get them or bring them | 2 | things I train include navigation, GSP, inReach |
| 3 | another five gallons of gas. And they have ran | 3 | communications. |
| 4 | into a load of trouble with that part of it. And | 4 |  |
| 5 | costs significant money that now they've even -- | 5 | I'm also a volunteer with CASARA. I've been |
| 6 | if you go, and it's because a person is out of | 6 | there for about five years as well. I'm a chief |
| 7 | gas or something, you can actually try to charge | 7 | navigator at the Deer Lake base. And one of my |
| 8 | them under the Criminal Code of whatever they got | 8 | duties as navigator/trainer is to bring new |
| 9 | set up, up in Iqaluit. | 9 | navigators into the system and show how you can |
| 10 | MR. BUDDEN: | 10 | create different kind of search patterns, and use |
| 11 | I'm not sure, Mr. Commissioner, if anybody has | 11 | the iPad for flight planning system. |
| 12 | any follow-up questions before we move on to | 12 |  |
| 13 | Mr. Germani, or shall we go directly to him? | 13 | And I train new operators, drone operators. I'm |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 14 | trained as a search coordinator through the |
| 15 | Okay. No, you're good. | 15 | military. This was a training done in Greenwood |
| 16 | MR. BUDDEN: | 16 | a couple of years ago. We have a military |
| 17 | And perhaps we can clear a spot where Doug can | 17 | liaison officer, Captain Jeff Eisner. So that's |
| 18 | come to the table. We heard from Mr. Germani | 18 | my background. |
| 19 | yesterday as the Bonne Bay Search and Rescue | 19 |  |
| 20 | representative on that panel yesterday. | 20 | This is an inReach device. It's a satellite |
| 21 |  | 21 | communications device for text messaging and also |
| 22 | I'm going to ask him to tell us a little bit more | 22 | for navigation. It requires line of sight with |
| 23 | about his professional background and experience. | 23 | the sky, so it doesn't work very well inside a |
| 24 | And then he's going to probably reference the | 24 | building. Very likely it will not work at all in |
|  | Page 198 |  | Page 200 |
| 1 | exhibits entered earlier today, tell us a little | 1 | a building like this, and possibly not in the |
| 2 | bit about some of his thoughts on one of these | 2 | Command Post. But as soon as you hold it outside |
| 3 | technological questions. Mr. Germani? | 3 | the door, it sees the sky, it can send and |
| 4 | MR. GERMANI: | 4 | receive signals. |
| 5 | A. Yes, Doug Germani here. I've got about 45 years' | 5 |  |
| 6 | experience in aviation, basically, since 1976. | 6 | Having said that, I inadvertently left my |
| 7 | About 25,000 hours in fixed wing airplanes, large | 7 | tracking turned on and drove halfway across the |
| 8 | and small. So from single engine Cessnas up to | 8 | province with this in my backpack, in the back of |
| 9 | the Airbus 321. | 9 | my car, and it tracked me every single step of |
| 10 |  | 10 | the way. |
| 11 | Range of operations, all of North America, | 11 |  |
| 12 | including the Caribbean and the Canadian Arctic. | 12 | You can select intervals for this tracking system |
| 13 | And experience with different kinds of navigation | 13 | to send a location, and it depends on the |
| 14 | and communication systems. I retired just over | 14 | subscription plan that you're using. It can be |
| 15 | five years ago, and I currently just hold a | 15 | every two minutes you can have a ping |
| 16 | private pilot license. | 16 | automatically sent from your device up to a |
| 17 |  | 17 | satellite, down to the IERCC centre just outside |
| 18 | In retirement, GSAR volunteer for the last five | 18 | Houston, Texas. And from there, it'll go to the |
| 19 | years. The older GSAR searcher levels 1 and 2. | 19 | recipient of your choice. |
| 20 | Currently, a high angle and ice water rescue | 20 |  |
| 21 | instructor I have ICS 300, which was discussed | 21 | There is a cost involved. Units range in price |
| 22 | just a few minutes ago. | 22 | from about $\$ 600$ up to about $\$ 1,000$. And a |
| 23 |  | 23 | subscription cost starts at about \$17 Canadian |
| 24 | I'm a training officer and assistant coordinator | 24 | per month, and can go up to well over \$50 a |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | And I've been on the same plan for the last three |
| 4 | years, \$17. Doesn't cost very much. So a lot |
| 5 | less than a cell phone plan. So it's definitely |
| 6 | an affordable unit. |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | When you send a location ping, that costs about |
| 9 | 15 cents. I use this when I go skiing in the |
| 10 | back country. I set my pings to about 20 |
| 11 | minutes. So what's that, 45 cents an hour? Not |
| 12 | expensive. |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | Messages are a little more expensive. They cost about 75 cents each. Again, I don't use that |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | very much. I did a three-day bicycle trip across |
| 17 | the Long Range last summer, and in three days I |
| 18 | sent six messages, so five bucks. |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | So the point I'm making is it's not expensive. |
| 21 | It's not difficult to use. If you can use a GPS, |
| 22 | you can use an inReach. That said, it still |
| 23 | needs to be set up properly. Otherwise, you do |
| 24 | get mistakes made. |
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Garmin has refined the design of the inReach, so it's very difficult to send a false alarm.
There's a big knob on the side that says "SOS." You can't just press it and expect something to happen. No. You have to flip it open and then press, physically press the SOS button inside.

When that happens, a messages go up the satellite, down to Houston, and the operators there will detect the SOS, and they will attempt to communicate with the user.

If they can't communicate with the user, then they will contact the agency having jurisdiction in that area, and at least two contacts that you've specified when you set up your account. If they can contact the user they will say, what's the nature of your problem.

There was one guy in the Rockies. His life was not in immediate danger, but he was in a forest fire situation. He wasn't sure that he could continue down the trail. He triggered the SOS and Houston contacted the local AHJ and they
determined that the trail was, in fact, safe for him to continue down.

And so communication was established and maintained throughout the rest of his travel out of a possible dangerous situation.

That is the beauty of the inReach versus a personal locator beacon, you've got communication. The agency that's responding to the SOS is able to discuss the situation with you.

There could easily be a situation where if I'm skiing back country, I've turned on tracking and I put it on my pack and off I go. I take a tumble and I can't trigger the SOS but I'm unresponsive, then whoever I have chosen to follow my route via the tracking can see, okay, I've tracked him as far as this point and I'm not getting anything else. He's supposed to be home by now. I know where he is. So that information can be passed to a GSAR team.
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Battery life: I gave my inReach device to one of the team members on the snowmobile team that responded with the Deer Lake team on the Jackson's Arm. When I turned the inReach device on at my house, at 3:00 in the morning, the battery was at 100 percent. I gave it to the team. Off they went. Searched all day. Came back around 6:00 in the evening. The battery was still at 85 percent.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Sorry for my interrupting. You could call up Exhibit, Madam Clerk, P-179. And that one and the next two Mr. Germani may wish to refer to these, or he may not. But I thought I would call them up, since you seem to be getting into that part of your presentation.
MR. GERMANI:
A. Okay. If you could just scroll up just a little bit, or down a bit, so we can see a bit more of the message. Okay. That is a typical message.

So if we focus on the message, Bonne Bay Search and Rescue 1. This, I should point out, is a sample message. It's not the day of the

1 Jackson's Arm, but the purple track file that goes up to the search area is the actual track of the snowmobile team.

But the message is starting at the top. It's time stamped and date stamped. So in that case we've got 19th of May 2019 at 10:44 in the morning. And the message is, I'm checking in. Everything is okay.

So on a typical search, every two hours your team member sends that message back to the search manager. The search manager is constantly updated, in this case every 20 minutes, of the location of the search team.

It gives the lat and long (latitude and longitude) of the inReach device at the time that message was sent. So after ten or 15 minutes if the search manager is wondering, okay, I wonder exactly where he is right now, the button on the right says "locate." You select that and it'll send a ping from the search manager's computer down to Houston, up the satellite and down to the
user. So it's two-way communication.

There was some mention made of abuse. Yes, it's like any emergency locator device, there could be abuse of the system.

There's also a concern that a device like this can introduce a false sense of security in the user. So they could potentially go back country, not properly prepared equipment-wise. They don't have the proper equipment, they don't have food, but they've got the device. So that's their security blanket. It's not a substitute.

And there have been numerous articles written about how this could introduce a false sense of security. But I'm more than satisfied that the safety factor of this outweighs that false sense of security.

Preset messages: There are three preset messages in here which can be sent at no cost. So this Regular 1, it should be done every couple of hours, can be a preset message.

1 The team leader in the field just has to press the button, off it goes. He doesn't have to laboriously choose a recipient or manually enter text, it's all programmed. Just boom, press the button, it's gone. And no cost. So there are three preset messages you can send.

There are also quick text messages which are partially completed messages. So one of them that I've got is "I'm going to be late." So I can add to that and I can say "due weather," or "I'm going to be late. ETA 1800." So it minimizes the amount of time you have to spend texting.

This can be paired with a cell phone, but then you're dependent on the life of the cell phone battery. Quite often you will choose to use this as a standalone unit.

In the summer I pair it with my phone. I put this in my backpack up as high as I can because the antenna sends signals out as a mushroom. So if it's lying flat like this, you've only got
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half a mushroom. It's only seeing half the sky. So it's got to be used properly.

When a message does come through to me or when
I'm sending a message, this gives a little squawk
so I'm alerted that there's a message either coming or going.

I've used this on every search we've had this summer. Because I've got this time and date stamp, makes recordkeeping a lot easier.

But, more importantly, is if I want to send a location to somebody it's written down. It's text. That's more foolproof than using a handheld radio to give voice communication of a location. Because if I'm reading out 4-9-2-6 and so on, is it 4-9, you said, or 4-5? So if it's a text message, it's a lot less likely that somebody's going to make a mistake.

I heard this morning about communications was a difficulty. And yes, it was. This would ease communications on a search like this one.
1 So if I was a team leader I would turn tracking $\quad 1$

2
on and I would share my location with multiple recipients. So the location would have to be shared with a cell phone number, but if the RCMP officer and JRCC and the search manager each supplied the team leader with a cell phone number, then those individuals could follow the team leader in the field, as I did on the day of their travel. So multiple recipients can follow where a team is going in the field.

And if you have a command post, the search manager can send a message to multiple recipients. So if he's got a number of section chiefs, whether it's an air operations chief or JRCC or the RCMP or an ambulance, or any other interested party, the search manager can send that message. That one message goes out to all those people at the same time. So it's one message that goes to all those people. So there is a way.

And, of course, you don't need a cell phone connection at the point where the message is
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sent. So you can be off in the woods somewhere and you can send your message, but the recipients, if they're receiving it on a cell phone, do have to be in cell phone range.

I've been thinking about Transport Category Military Aircraft that could be involved, like the Herc in this search. I'm not sure what the military uses for communications between JRCC and the Herc, but in Transport Category Airline Ops we would use ACARS. I don't know if you would have the same.
LT.-COL. MARSHALL:
A. I'm not familiar with the app, but we have many ways to communicate with RCC from the air, whether it's with high frequency radios or we can also call some of the Coast Guard stations and do a phone patch through them. So there's many ways that we can communicate.

Even using a satellite phone, and the Cormorant is equipped with a satellite phone imbedded in the equipment. And with the Hercules we bring one, and sometimes you get a signal, sometimes

you don't. But you're trying to go through the window, so it's a little bit more difficult.
MR. GERMANI:
A. Okay. With Transport Category Aircraft, I've used HF and ACARS. HF works better because it's a great distance. You could go halfway around the world with HF.

## But ACARS is an acronym for Aircraft

 Communications Addressing and Reporting System. And it's a digital data link. So the beauty of that is, again, you get a text message. There's less room for error. And it's a convenient way of transmitting weather information or a request, a command system from ground to the aircraft. And if the aircraft had a query they could text the message back to ground using ACARS.ACARS is generally VHF line of sight, but in practice it encompasses all of North America and out to about 200 miles offshore.

Could we go to the next image, please.
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Okay. That's just a zero in on the search area. And you'll notice that the lines are fairly straight and they seem to go from point to point. That's the 20-minute ping points.

So when the inReach comes back to the search manager, the search manager would typically sync it with the inReach website. And the GPS pings are one minute apart, and it would smooth out those straight lines and you would get a more accurate picture where the snowmobile travelled.

What you're seeing on that image is where the inReach was every 20 minutes, and they've just joined those points with a straight line.

And the next image, please.

The next image is just a big picture of where the -- Okay. And scroll down, please. Yes.

So on the far left of the screen the tracking started in my home in Norris Point, in Gros Morne, and I gave it to the search team and they

|  | Page 213 |  | Page 215 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | drove in their truck and trailer out to Jackson's | 1 | great information. |
| 2 | Arm. Parked the trailer and off they went. So | 2 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 3 | as a search manager, I was able to follow them | 3 | I have no further questions. Particularly, if |
| 4 | every step of the way. | 4 | any of the present panel have any questions or |
| 5 |  | 5 | Mr. Blackmore, or comments, or Mr. Smith, that |
| 6 | And I have actual - here we are - messages that I | 6 | would be fine as well. Obviously and counsel. |
| 7 | sent that day. At one point I sent a message, | 7 |  |
| 8 | "Thanks for the updates. We're following your | 8 | I don't believe there's any questions from |
| 9 | progress," and that was at 12:36 in the afternoon | 9 | counsel. If nobody else, thank you very much, |
| 10 | of the Monday. | 10 | Mr. Germani. That was very interesting. |
| 11 |  | 11 |  |
| 12 | And at 3:24 in the afternoon, "Subject found. | 12 | Ms. Bedford, I believe that's it for your |
| 13 | Coming home." And I have a timestamp. I have a | 13 | witnesses for today. |
| 14 | date stamp. I have a location stamp. And I | 14 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 15 | said, "Awesome. When you get a chance give me | 15 | Yes, that's it. I did want to clear up that one |
| 16 | your ETA. Thanks so much for your efforts." | 16 | issue abut the Grundy supplemental report. |
| 17 | MR. BUDDEN: | 17 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 18 | Q. Is that Zulu time? | 18 | Oh, yes. |
| 19 | MR. GERMANI: | 19 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 20 | A. No, that's all local time. | 20 | It was, indeed, in the documents. It is in |
| 21 |  | 21 | Exhibit 170 at page 82. So it doesn't need to be |
| 22 | Now, consider that those times are when that | 22 | added now. |
| 23 | message was sent. Now, these guys were in the | 23 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 24 | field. It doesn't mean that that was exactly | 24 | Okay. That's two pages of notes from Officer -- |
|  | Page 214 |  | Page 216 |
| 1 | when the subject was found. It's when they got | 1 | what's the gentleman's name again? |
| 2 | the message that the subject was found. So | 2 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 3 | there's going to be a time lag there. | 3 | Grundy, G-r-u-n-d-y. |
| 4 |  | 4 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 5 | And a typical use for this, this summer, I was at | 5 | Thank you. |
| 6 | a location in the middle of Gros Morne, and I was | 6 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 7 | able to communicate with the search manager. I | 7 | Thank you. |
| 8 | was the team leader. I was able to communicate | 8 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 9 | with the search manager. I had a handheld VHF | 9 | I believe the only remaining evidence for today |
| 10 | radio. I was able to communicate with the | 10 | would be Mr. Ralph's provincial government |
| 11 | Cormorant on Channel 6. I have a marine radio | 11 | representative, Mr. Rumbolt. I don't know if you |
| 12 | license and an aviation radio license. And I was | 12 | wish to start or do you wish to take a break, as |
| 13 | able to communicate with Parks Canada on the | 13 | the Commissioner pleases. |
| 14 | other side of the river, using a VHF radio. | 14 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 15 |  | 15 | No, no break required. |
| 16 | So there are multiple ways to communicate. | 16 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 17 | Sometimes it's a challenge to stay on top of the | 17 | Okay. |
| 18 | new technology, but it's there. | 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 19 |  | 19 | Thank you, Commissioner. I'm not sure how we |
| 20 | That's all I've got. If anybody has any | 20 | want to set up here. Perhaps we can get a second |
| 21 | questions for me? | 21 | microphone? |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 22 |  |
| 23 | That's all you've got. You got our heads | 23 | Yes. So the only person that's going to be |
| 24 | spinning. No, it's fabulous. I think that's | 24 | speaking on behalf of the Province during this |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | roundtable is Mitch Rumbolt. | 1 | we offer a 24/7 emergency telephone line that the |
| 2 |  | 2 | municipalities or emergency services providers, |
| 3 | Last day, in Makkovik, Paul Peddle joined by | 3 | first responders can contact if they need |
| 4 | phone and Mr. Rumbolt participated in Makkovik. | 4 | provincial government assistance, in the event |
| 5 | And today Mr. Rumbolt will briefly go over some | 5 | their own capabilities or capacities are |
| 6 | of the same territory he did in Makkovik in terms | 6 | exceeded, and they wish to seek provincial help. |
| 7 | of the role of the Province in ground search and | 7 |  |
| 8 | rescue. And then he will address the emails and | 8 | I guess the most common uses would be one of two |
| 9 | other documents that were created by REMPO Blair | 9 | police agencies in the province. When they |
| 10 | Hogan who was involved in the search for the | 10 | require air support for ground search and rescue |
| 11 | gentleman in the search in question. | 11 | task, they're given to a Ground Search and Rescue |
| 12 |  | 12 | team, that's how they would reach out to our |
| 13 | And we believe that he'll be able to address the | 13 | division and request air support for that search. |
| 14 | questions that you may have with regard to the | 14 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 15 | Province's role in this particular search and | 15 | Q. Perhaps you can describe how that works. Again, |
| 16 | rescue. | 16 | we spoke earlier about this and I understand that |
| 17 | BY RALPH, Q.C.: | 17 | the local Detachment can't call directly to |
| 18 | Q. Again, Mr. Rumbolt, perhaps you can describe your | 18 | Emergency Services or FES-NL, as it once was |
| 19 | position within the provincial government? | 19 | called. Perhaps you can describe that process. |
| 20 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 20 | How that works. |
| 21 | A. Thank you. It's Mitch Rumbolt speaking. I'm the | 21 | MR. RUMBOLT |
| 22 | Director of Emergency Services Division for the | 22 | A. That's correct. So best practice would be for a |
| 23 | Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, and we | 23 | general duty member or patrol officer, whatever |
| 24 | fall under the Department of Justice and Public | 24 | you wish to call it, they would reach out through |
|  | Page 218 |  | Page 220 |
| 1 | Safety. | 1 | their chain of command to the appropriate persons |
| 2 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 2 | within each police organization who are |
| 3 | Q. And what role does your division play, and you, | 3 | designated and authorized to call and ask for air |
| 4 | yourself, play in the provision of air support | 4 | support. |
| 5 | for Ground Search and Rescue? | 5 |  |
| 6 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 6 | So if that officer analyzed the situation and |
| 7 | A. As Director, I would oversee the team that works | 7 | deemed it was warranted, they would place the |
| 8 | out of our office, not just within our office but | 8 | call to our number, telephone number, and make |
| 9 | across the province. We have field staff, | 9 | the request. |
| 10 | referred to as Regional Emergency Management and | 10 |  |
| 11 | Planning Officers, located in three locations on | 11 | If it was after hours, that phone number is |
| 12 | the island, a portion of the province, and one in | 12 | answered by an answering service that we |
| 13 | Happy Valley-Goose Bay, in Labrador. | 13 | contract. The officer's information would be |
| 14 |  | 14 | taken. The answering service would then contact |
| 15 | So those Planning Officers would be involved with | 15 | the Emergency Management and Planning official, |
| 16 | municipalities and other emergency service | 16 | and REMPO would then call back the police officer |
| 17 | providers to offer them assistance or guidance as | 17 | and obtain the details of the search. |
| 18 | needed in emergency situations, and also in the | 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 19 | planning and preparative phases as well. | 19 | Q. And once you have a request, I understand that |
| 20 |  | 20 | would have to be from a designated official with |
| 21 | So I would oversee that, ultimately having the | 21 | the RCMP or RNC; is that right? |
| 22 | final say on any issues that arise. | 22 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 23 |  | 23 | A. That's correct. |

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And once you have a request from them, from one of the police services, what does the REMPO official do with that, or the Emergency Services Division official do with that?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. It's just basically capturing the key information. A lot of specifics is not required, is not relevant directly to what we're doing. So that information would primarily include the location of the incident, the name and contact information for the officer making the request, possibly the name and contact number for the officer that's on site who has task or direct involvement with the Ground Search and Rescue team on site. And that would just be then processed and a call placed to Government Air Services, following our protocols, to seek air support.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. So when a REMPO or, I guess yourself, get a request from the police for air support in a ground search and rescue, are you exercising any discretion at that point or are you just
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accepting that the RCMP have assessed the situation and they need air support?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. It would be discretion in a very small level. We're primarily concerned, is this an authorized request. So if it's coming from a patrol officer or a general duty member, we want to make sure that has the sufficient authorization and the appropriate officials are aware of the situation within each police service. And just ensuring that this is for a ground search and rescue incident.

Once we know it's been approved by a respective police official, we don't do any analysis with that information, we just act on it.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And I'm sorry, so then once you act on it you go to Government Air Services. I understand that's a division of Transportation ...?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Infrastructure.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Infrastructure with the provincial government; is
that correct?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's correct. That would be our first step to seek -- a contracted helicopter would be contacting Government Air Services.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And maybe you can just briefly describe what do you mean by "contracted helicopter company"?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. So the province doesn't own its own helicopters, rotary wing aircraft. A contract is maintained, which is facilitated through Transportation and Infrastructure.

So there are aircraft that are contracted and stationed throughout the province in various locations that are available to numerous government departments, four or five government departments that would potentially need air services or services of a rotary wing aircraft, a helicopter, at various times.

One of those government users would be the Emergency Services Division in providing air
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RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Now, I understand the helicopters that are available through that service, and I guess there's a couple companies, but primarily it's Canadian, and I think Newfoundland Helicopter Company; is that correct?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's correct.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And I understand those helicopters, they can't fly at night and perhaps in certain conditions that other helicopters, like a Cormorant helicopter, can fly in; is that your understanding?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That is correct. And for clarity, the contract is with Canadian Helicopters. And the helicopters that are currently required as per the contract cannot fly at night and are limited in some austere weather conditions.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. So assume for a moment that a helicopter is

1 available through the Canadian Helicopter

Company. What's the role of the REMPO or yourself after you've, I guess, arranged to have a helicopter through Government Air Services?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Once they reach out to the Government Air Services, a representative from the Air Services Division would reach out to the pilots in the closest area based on where the helicopter will be based. If it was on the West coast, for instance, that would be, say, Pasadena. If it was in Labrador, it would be Happy Valley-Goose Bay.

So the official from Government Air Services would be talking to the pilot to see if there was an aircraft available, and if weather conditions permitted them to launch from where they were and, also, if weather was suitable, to where their destination was.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And so is the REMPO involved in how the search is conducted?
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MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Not at all. Once the REMPO learns that there is an aircraft available and they are able to fly, then the information of the police officer on site at the search/incident is conveyed to the pilot, and the REMPO removed themselves from that communication process and then the pilot of that aircraft contacts the police officer that is on site to have the most current information.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And I understand that if a helicopter's not available through Canadian and then the REMPO would then go to JRCC?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That is correct. If, say, darkness as an example, was a factor, and the contracted helicopter was unable to fly, the REMPO would then reach out to the Joint Rescue Coordination Centre in Halifax, identify themselves, and request a humanitarian mission for the JRCC consideration then to provide assistance.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And, again, once the JRCC indicate to Emergency Services Division that they're prepared to be
involved in the air search, they're going to prepare to task a Cormorant or some other type of aircraft, what role does the Emergency Services Division, or the REMPO, have in that search?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Once we ensure that the JRCC or the aircraft pilot, whichever asset the JRCC task, once they have the contact information again for the officer on site, the REMPO removes themselves from that equation and has no further dealings in determining search techniques, patterns, or anything of that nature.

The only subsequent involvement a REMPO would have would be what we refer to after a two-hour block of search time, sometimes we reach out, sometimes the police agencies who are quite familiar with the process, sometimes they contact us and let us know that additional search time would be required. And it's more of an administrative function piece on our end. That search time is approved and that would continue then every two hours until the air asset is no longer required.
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RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Now, Mr. Rumbolt, I understand that you weren't actually in your position in February of 2019. You weren't in the position you're in right now; is that right?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's correct.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. But I understand you're familiar with the documents and, of course, you're very familiar with the process?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Absolutely.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. In this search, there was a couple other aircraft that were involved. An RCMP plane and also a PAL aircraft was involved. And would your division have any role in tasking those aircraft or getting those aircraft to participate?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. We would have no role whatsoever. To be asked would be highly unusual. We could potentially be asked to identify other air assets, but we would just provide contact information for those
businesses or whatever with those capabilities. But we wouldn't be engaged in any other services or dictating how that is conducted.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And I believe the documents indicate in this search it would have been the RCMP that sort of directly retain the services of the RCMP plane and also the PAL aircraft; is that your understanding?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's my understanding.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Now, the Emergency Services Division official that was involved in this search, his name was Blair Hogan?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's correct.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And have you reviewed the documents that he's created in the process of this?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. I have.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And hopefully you'll be in a position to answer
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any questions that people may have about the documents he's created?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. I will.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And perhaps we can go to Exhibit 125.

And Mr. Rumbolt, so this is an email from Blair Hogan, and it's to a number of officials. And perhaps you can identify who those officials are?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. As a part of our standard process, I guess, of providing situational awareness to the management and the executive of Justice and Public Safety, at the time we weren't a part of Justice and Public Safety. We would have been under the Department of Municipal Affairs and Environment.

So the Regional Emergency Management and Planning
Officer, Blair Hogan, would have sent an email to numerous people as we can see here. There was the manager of Disaster Financial Assistance, I believe an ADM director, Manager of Plans and Operations, as well as the other REMPOs for their
situational awareness within our division, and communications officials, fire commissioner, and a generic email address we use where we cc all event-related information.

## RALPH, Q.C.:

Q. And perhaps you can read the email. It's Friday, February the 1st, 2019. It's 5:49 p.m. And the subject is missing 75-year-old snowmobilers. Perhaps you can read the email.
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Would you like me to read it or summarize what's going on?
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Well, perhaps just summarize and see how that goes, rather than spending too much time on it.
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Okay. It's from, as I said, Mr. Blair Hogan.

It's advising the distribution group as he had put in his address line there. It's indicating that he had a call from the RCMP Officer, Sergeant Curtis Ashford, regarding two missing snowmobilers which, at this time, were reported to him as 75 years of age, who were missing as a result of a five-person party going missing near
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Jackson's Arm. It was a snowmobile trip.

The group had done this trip previously, and they had been missing since noon that day. And Deer Lake Ground Search and Rescue Team were mustering to deploy.

Mr. Blair Hogan indicated that it was too late for Government Air Services to fly as in this particular case, given the time. At that time of year it's my understanding it would be dark or getting dark very soon. And the RCMP were, therefore, requesting assistance.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. So to your point earlier, it's too late for Air Services, and I understand that would mean that it's too late for Canadian Helicopters to operate because they can't operate at night; is that right?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. At that time it would have been Universal Helicopters. But yes, that's correct.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Oh, that's right. Right. Sorry, continue on.

MR. RUMBOLT:

1
A. So the RCMP had requested air support for that night, which would mean that we would contact the Joint Rescue Coordination Centre for humanitarian assistance. And Mr. Hogan indicated that he had made that request.

Further information he provided indicated that a Officer Mark Grundy was the primary point of contact, and the secondary point of contact was a Guy Boudreau. And that the JRCC will have a conversation with the RCMP and determine if they can assist.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Perhaps we can go, now, to Exhibit 127. And Mr. Rumbolt, perhaps you can describe this document and explain it?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. So from Blair Hogan, again, to the same distribution group. I believe it's a different order of names but same distribution group, regarding the same incident.

Blair is providing an update, saying that he just
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spoke with the Joint Rescue Coordination Centre and they had tasked both a helicopter and an airplane to assist in the search.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And perhaps we can now go to 129 .

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Another email from Blair Hogan to the distribution group, as noted previously. The time on this one is 9:24 p.m., 2124 hours.

Blair indicates that he just spoke with Sergeant Chad Norman with the RCMP and that the Joint Rescue Coordination Centre had to call off the search because off weather issues. And he indicates at first light he will follow up with Air Services, which is Government Air Services Division, regarding the weather and if they may be on weather hold or not. And if they're able to fly then his intent was to engage Universal Helicopters to assist the Ground Search and Rescue Team.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Perhaps we can go to Exhibit 97, page 8. And there should be, I think -- scroll down a bit.

See, there's an entry. This is from JRCC and there's an entry, sunrise at 7:50 NL time. Does that sound about right to you, Mr. Rumbolt, for February?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. I checked the same thing myself today. And, yes, I believe that's correct.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And next exhibit will be 130.

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Will I proceed?

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Okay. Yes, again, could you describe this and explain this email?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Email again from Blair Hogan to the distribution group regarding the same incident, dated February 2nd, at 7:35 a.m., 0735 hours. Indicating that he had spoke with the RCMP and Government Air Services Division this morning. Helicopter assistance this very morning is on weather hold. Joint Rescue Coordination Centre has also been in contact with the RCMP and they, too, are on weather hold.
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RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And Exhibit 131?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Email from Blair Hogan to the same group on that date, Saturday, February 2nd, at 9:12 a.m.

Blair was reporting an update and indicated that JRCC (Joint Rescue Coordination Centre) and Universal Helicopters are both on weather hold and that he'll keep the group posted.
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And 133?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Another email from Blair Hogan to the same distribution group, February 2nd, Saturday, 2019 at 12:31 p.m.

Blair is indicating a further update. He corrected the information he had previously indicated. Indicating now that the gentlemen were 64 and 68 years of age, respectively, instead of 75.

The helicopter is on weather hold for the rest of

|  | Page 237 |  | Page 239 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the day. | 1 | 8:30. |
| 2 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 2 |  |
| 3 | Q. And I guess there he's referring to the Universal | 3 | The RCMP is landing in Deer Lake to drop off some |
| 4 | Helicopter, I would think? | 4 | spotters, which I presume to be the RCMP |
| 5 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 5 | aircraft, fixed wing. |
| 6 | A. That would be my deduction, yes. And the Joint | 6 |  |
| 7 | Rescue Coordination Centre and the Cormorant did | 7 | Joint Rescue Coordination Centre was asked if |
| 8 | have some air time in the area today, but got | 8 | they could assist again today. RCMP will look at |
| 9 | called away on a medical emergency. They're | 9 | using Provincial Airlines and FLIR today. "PAL" |
| 10 | hoping to return to that site before dark. | 10 | eing Provincial Airlines and "FLIR" being |
| 11 |  | 11 | forward-looking infrared. |
| 12 | And the Ground Search and Rescue Team are still | 12 |  |
| 13 | progressing but no further update at this time. | 13 | No sign of the missing individuals when Ground |
| 14 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 14 | Search and Rescue Team concluded last evening. |
| 15 | Q. And I understand, I think, when the Cormorant was | 15 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 16 | returning from the medical evacuation in Labrador | 16 | Q. Right. So I understand that day, I think the |
| 17 | it flew over the search area. Do you recall that | 17 | RCMP plane and the PAL aircraft were engaged in |
| 18 | evidence today? | 18 | the air search for these gentlemen? |
| 19 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 19 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 20 | A. Yes, it was recalled or stated earlier today. | 20 | A. It appears so. Correct. |
| 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 21 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 22 | Q. And I think they'd intended to come back later |  | Q. Exhibit 135. Again, could you describe this and |
| 23 | that night but because of weather conditions they | 23 | explain what's happening here. |
| 24 | couldn't return back that evening on February the | 24 |  |
|  | Page 238 |  | Page 240 |
| 1 | 2nd; is that right? Is that your understanding? | 1 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 2 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 2 | A. Exhibit 135 is an email from Blair Hogan to the |
| 3 | A. I believe so. | 3 | same distribution group, dated Sunday, February |
| 4 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 4 | 3rd, 2019, at 0956 hours, 9:56 a.m. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. That's fine. | 5 |  |
| 6 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 6 | He indicates he was speaking with Air Services |
| 7 | A. Or did they actually get back? | 7 | and Sergeant Ashford. Universal Helicopters did |
| 8 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 8 | ke off but had to return as a result of weather |
| 9 | Q. I think they couldn't come back that night. | 9 | and they are back on weather hold. |
| 10 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 10 |  |
| 11 | A. Okay. | 11 | The RCMP plane is searching the area and the PAL |
| 12 | RALPH, | 12 | aircraft, with FLIR, is on route. No sign or |
| 13 | Q. Perhaps we can go down to 134. So now, I think | 13 | update from the Ground Search and Rescue Team. |
| 14 | we're into Sunday again, February the 3rd. | 14 | And he'll keep us posted. |
| 15 | Perhaps you can describe what you're seeing and | 15 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 16 | explain it? | 16 | Q. And Exhibit 147. This is an invoice from |
| 17 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 17 | Universal Helicopters for this search. Perhaps |
| 18 | A. Correct. It's an email from Blair Hogan again to | 18 | we can go to page 2. Can you identify this and |
| 19 | the distribution group, Sunday, February 3rd, | 19 | describe the contents of it? |
| 20 | 6:50 a.m., 0650 hours. | 20 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 21 |  | 21 | A. My photocopy is much like the screen, it's kind |
| 22 | Blair indicates that he had just spoken with | 22 | of hard to read. But it appears to be Universal |
| 23 | Sergeant Ashford. Universal Helicopter will | 23 | Helicopter's internal document. |
| 24 |  | 24 |  |

A. Correct.
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RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. And the location, I don't know if you can see that, but it says, "Pasadena." Can you see that?

This right here. This right there. My copy is clear. It says, "Pasadena."

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Yes, it appears to be Pasadena.

RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. Perhaps we can go to 136.

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. This is an email from Blair Hogan to the distribution Group dated Sunday, February 3rd, 2019 at 1514 hours, 3:14 p.m.
"Hello All. Good news. Just located one of the missing individuals. He is on route to the hospital. Still searching for other individuals. Will keep you posted."
RALPH, Q.C.:
Q. 138 ?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. This would be another email from Blair Hogan to the distribution group, dated Sunday, February

3rd, 2019 at 6:33 p.m., 1833 hours.
"Hi All. I just spoke with Sgt. Wayne Newell of the RCMP. We discussed the latest status update. Ground Search and Rescue are gearing down for the evening and retiring to base to dialogue the next steps."

He had spoken with Air Services to request a helicopter to be placed on alert for first thing in the morning, indicating that the weather could be 50/50. Good or bad.

Sergeant Newell and Blair will talk later this evening to discuss the status after the Ground Search and Rescue Team have their meeting for the night.

## RALPH, Q.C.:

Q. And then Exhibit 139?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Another email from Blair Hogan to the distribution group, dated Sunday, February 3rd, 2019 at 9:45, 2145.



MR. RUMBOLT.
A. This would be another email from Blair Hogan to the distribution group, dated Monday, February 4th, 2019 at 7:11 a.m., 0711 hours.

Hi All. He had just spoken with Officer Lodge. Joint Rescue Coordination Centre are still searching and had about 30 minutes remaining before they return to base for day.


|  | Page 249 |  | Page 251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | They're referring to us as Fire and Emergency | 1 | tactics or procedures or anything of that nature. |
| 2 | Services for the Emergency Services Division, and | 2 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 3 | our address is different, but it's still our | 3 | Q. I have no further questions, unless there's |
| 4 | group. | 4 | something else you want to raise? |
| 5 |  | 5 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 6 | The invoice date is February 4th, 2019, and it | 6 | A. No. I'm good. Thank you. |
| 7 | was for helicopter usage. It was a 206. And | 7 | RALPH, Q.C.: |
| 8 | flying is per their internal flight reports. | 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 |  | 9 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: |
| 10 | It indicates that on February 3rd there was a | 10 | Go ahead, Mr. Budden. |
| 11 | half hour used, 0.5 hours. And on February 4th a | 11 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 12 | total of 5.7 hours. And it indicates fuel as | 12 | Ms. Bedford, have you any questions for this |
| 13 | well. | 13 | witness? |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 14 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 15 | Ruth wants to know why she never got that price | 15 | Q. Yes, I just have one. When Emergency Services |
| 16 | in Hopedale. | 16 | Division ends up going to JRCC - I'll say it's a |
| 17 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 17 | night search - if JRCC is unavailable, what's the |
| 18 | I don't think Mr. Rumbolt can answer that | 18 | next step? |
| 19 | question for Ruth, unfortunately. | 19 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 20 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 20 | A. The next step at that point would be just to see |
| 21 | No, I cannot, Commissioner. Sorry. | 21 | what could be available in terms of when JRCC |
| 22 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 22 | could be available. There is no contracted |
| 23 | Q. So Mr. Rumbolt, perhaps you can summarize, from | 23 | helicopter with the Province that can fly at |
| 24 | your review of the documents, what role Mr. Hogan | 24 | night. |
|  | Page 250 |  | Page 252 |
| 1 | played in the search. | 1 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 2 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 2 | Q. I guess I'm just asking because we heard from the |
| 3 | A. His role would have been exactly what our role is | 3 | Lieutenant Colonel that if JRCC's main mandate |
| 4 | identified to be. We are a supporting, I guess, | 4 | would be, say, a rescue at sea, for example, and |
| 5 | mechanism within provincial government to other | 5 | if they were conducting at that point in time a |
| 6 | municipalities and emergency responders. | 6 | rescue at sea, which could take several hours, is |
| 7 |  | 7 | there an alternative for the Province? |
| 8 | So he would have received a request for a | 8 | MR. RUMBOLT: |
| 9 | helicopter, processed that by determining and | 9 | A. No. As I just indicated, there's no contracted |
| 10 | calling to verify that as a result of darkness a | 10 | helicopter that can fly at night, provincially. |
| 11 | contracted helicopter couldn't fly. And then | 11 | So contacting JRCC is our last resort. And if |
| 12 | exercising the next step of contacting the Joint | 12 | they were previously committed, then we would |
| 13 | Rescue Coordination Centre to request a | 13 | have to address that situation at that time. |
| 14 | humanitarian assistance mission. And then | 14 | MS. BEDFORD: |
| 15 | continued to actively converse with the RCMP | 15 | Okay, thank you. |
| 16 | officers that were directly involved and address | 16 | MR. BUDDEN: |
| 17 | any subsequent requests they had for air support. | 17 | Mr. Williams? |
| 18 | RALPH, Q.C.: | 18 | WILLIAMS, Q.C.: |
| 19 | Q. So in terms of his role in the search, does he | 19 | Q. Yes, I just want to follow up on a point my |
| 20 | have any sort authority to influence how the air | 20 | friend made there because it's specially where I |
| 21 | search or the ground search is conducted? | 21 | was headed, is that so we have a gap, recognized |
| 22 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 22 | gap, in the system that if a person is lost after |
| 23 | A. No. Members of the Emergency Services Division | 23 | dark and JRCC are already engaged in another |
| 24 | don't have any input or say regarding search | 24 | mission, unavailable because they're already |

contacted on something else, we have no means to search for somebody until next daylight?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. At that time, in 2019, it was before my time, before my arrival, I'd have no knowledge of what would have been the practice at that time.

Since that time we have been given some guidance, I guess, to look at other options. JRCC has often requested -- not often, on occasion, have asked if we've explored all options. So at that time we would look to see if there are any other corporate assets or entities within the Province that have the capabilities to fly at night. And if there were, then we would reach out and ask if they could provide assistance.
WILLIAMS, Q.C.:
Q. And there does exist other entities that have that capacity. It's just that the Province hasn't contracted with them formally at this point in time?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That is correct, sir.
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WILLIAMS, Q.C.:
Q. Okay. And are there ever instances whereby a search is ongoing -- obviously provincial resources can't fly at night, and you don't contact JRCC?

So, I mean, what I'm getting at is, is it standard practice in all instances that if an individual is missing and the search goes into nighttime hours, that JRCC are automatically contacted?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. So we would be taking our direction from the police agency having jurisdiction, the RNC or the RCMP. If, for instance, a helicopter had flown all day it would be their decision if they would want air support at night. If they ask us for air support at night, we automatically call JRCC.
WILLIAMS, Q.C.:
Q. Okay. So that decision would lie in the discretion of the incident commander on scene?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's correct. For instance, like weather could have deteriorated at the end of the day, and at
that point they might decide, okay, based on their knowledge it might not be practical for any aircraft to fly. So we just take our direction from them.
WILLIAMS, Q.C.:
Q. Okay. All right, thank you. That's all the questions I have.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Please continue.
MR. BUDDEN:
Q. Thank you, Mr. Rumbolt. You'll recall a line of questioning I pursued in the Makkovik about the relative responsibilities of the government. I don't plan to go over that fully. But you did hear Lieutenant Colonel Marshall's reply to the question I put to him about the responsibility of the federal government primarily being a marine responsibility and any assistance they provide to the province for Ground Search and Rescue being a discretionary humanitarian responsibility.

Do you disagree at all with any of the evidence he gave and, if so, please elaborate.
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## MR. RUMBOLT:

A. Regarding that point, what Lieutenant Colonel Marshall had said is correct. They have other objectives, I guess, or missions. And when we request a humanitarian mission it depends upon their availability to fulfil that request.
MR BUDDEN:
Q. And we'll be returning to some of this at the policy roundtable. So I won't ask you now, but in advance of that perhaps you could look into some of those other options that you indicated are available if the JRCC isn't. And I may have some more questions at that time about those.
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. We'll do our best to have that information available.
MR. BUDDEN:
Thank you. Other than that, I have nothing further, Mr. Commissioner. I'm sorry, just one, if I may.
BY MR. BUDDEN:
Q. From reading these documents, this appears, I guess I would characterize it as an effective, unremarkable engagement of the Emergency

|  | Page 257 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Services. | 1 |
| 2 |  | 2 |
| 3 | You were contacted. Things went fairly smoothly | 3 |
| 4 | in that the resources were called on and, weather | 4 |
| 5 | permitting, were made available and with the one | 5 |
| 6 | interruption for the medevac. | 6 |
| 7 |  | 7 |
| 8 | Would you disagree with that? | 8 |
| 9 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 9 |
| 10 | A. Seems that the weather was the biggest factor to | 10 |
| 11 | this. Everything else seems to have fallen in | 11 |
| 12 | place. | 12 |
| 13 | MR. BUDDEN: | 13 |
| 14 | Q. Okay, thank you. That is it? | 14 |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE: | 15 |
| 16 | Q. Thank you. Did you have any dealings with the | 16 |
| 17 | health boards and medevacs, and how does that | 17 |
| 18 | system work? | 18 |
| 19 | MR. RUMBOLT: | 19 |
| 20 | A. Other than having situational awareness of | 20 |
| 21 | knowing when they have requested humanitarian | 21 |
| 22 | assistance from the military as well, for | 22 |
| 23 | medevac, we have no dealing with that. It's a | 23 |
| 24 | separate entity. And that process was only | 24 |
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recently put in place between myself and one of their officials so that we would know how things were taking place with respect to rotary wing aircraft, the helicopters.

So they make their requests to JRCC directly as well, through their authorized personnel.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Yes, but the Province has a system of fixed wing medevac?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. They do, indeed. Fixed wing, yes.

COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Tell us about that.

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That would be outside of my purview, Mr. Commissioner. I know that they do. I can't speak to the exact capabilities, numbers, anything of that nature, as it doesn't fall under our division.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. And what is the route for getting that kind of service? Who does it go through? Maybe you don't know?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. It's through the aid group known as Medflight NL.

We could get that information and make that information available to you.
COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Not through your office?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Not through my office, no.

COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Okay.

RALPH, Q.C.:
But certainly, Commissioner, we can get that information and that shouldn't be very difficult to answer your questions.

## COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:

Q. Thank you. And again, your office does not have any contract with fixed wing assets?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That is correct, we do not.

COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Just the helicopters?

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That is correct. Helicopters through the current contract of Canadian Helicopters.
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COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. And you do not have any line of communication then with how, say, CASARA members may be used?
MR. RUMBOLT:
A. That's a good question. I believe we alluded to that briefly in Makkovik. So CASARA has an MOU with the Province which outlines that for CASARA to be tasked it's done through the two police forces responsible for ground search and rescue.

The Emergency Services Division doesn't have the mandate to task CASARA spotters to use their personal aircraft or to get on a provincial government asset or any asset. It has to be done through the police.

COMMISSIONER IGLOLIORTE:
Q. Okay. All right. Well, thank you very much.

MR. RUMBOLT:
A. Thank you.

MR. BUDDEN:
Mr. Commissioner, that is all the evidence we have ready to call today. At 9:00 tomorrow morning we'll be hearing from members of the Bay of Islands Search and Rescue team.

|  | Page 261 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The parties may wish to review the exhibit that | 2 |  |
| 2 | was entered earlier today. I misplaced the | 3 |  |
| 3 | numbers. 179, Madam Clerk, or 180 ? | 4 | CERTIFICATE |
| 4 | THE CLERK: | 5 |  |
| 5 | 178. | 6 |  |
| 6 | MR. BUDDEN: | 7 |  |
| 7 | 178. And also, there was a written submission | 8 | I, Beverly Guest, of Elite Transcription, of |
| 8 | dated August 19th from the same search and rescue | 9 | St. John's, in the Province of Newfoundland |
| 9 | team. I believe that's Exhibit 76. And that is | 10 | and Labrador, hereby certify that the |
| 10 | a short letter. | 11 | foregoing, numbered 1 to 262, dated |
| 11 |  | 12 | September 21, 2021, is a true and correct |
| 12 | The counsel at least may wish to review that in | 13 | transcript of the proceedings which has been |
| 13 | advance of this team appearing because I would | 14 | transcribed by me to the best of my |
| 14 | imagine they'll be wanting to speak to that as | 15 | knowledge, skill and ability. |
| 15 | well. So they'll be here to tell us about | 16 |  |
| 16 | themselves. | 17 |  |
| 17 |  | 18 | Certified By: |
| 18 | As we've heard from Deer Lake, they have had | 19 | Beverly Guest ${ }^{\text {Digitally signed by Beverly }}$ |
| 19 | success in recruiting younger members and we hope | $2080{ }^{\prime}$ | Guest ${ }^{\text {Date: 2021.10.14 11:20:01- }}$ |
| 20 | to have a couple of those here tomorrow as well. |  | Beverly Guest, |
| 21 | So we'll be hearing from the Executive and | 21 | Court Reporter |
| 22 | hopefully a couple of those members. They wish | 22 |  |
| 23 | to discuss the (inaudible) of general concern, | 23 |  |
| 24 | and also they're going to talk about the Cody | 24 |  |

Peddle search so that we can learn a bit about
how that successful operation was carried out.

4 That's it for today, Mr. Commissioner.
5 THE CLERK:
All rise. This Commission of Inquiry is concluded for today. Thank you.

## (Inquiry is adjourned)
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